NORMAL AND TANGENT RANKS OF CR MAPPINGS

E. M. CHIRKA AND C. REA

In recent years, several papers [B], [P1], [DF1], [BBR], [BR1], [BR2] have been published on the nonvanishing of the differential of CR mappings between hypersurfaces, in particular, on the nonvanishing of the derivative in a direction which is transverse to the complex tangent space. The first result on this subject seems to be in a paper of S. Pinchuk [P1] where this transversal derivative is estimated from below by means of the Hopf lemma.

We study here the higher-codimensional case. Nevertheless, even for hypersurfaces, our results are new or strictly generalize old ones.

Note with $T_n M$, the usual tangent space of a real manifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ at $p \in M$, by $T_p^c M \equiv T_p M \cap i T_p M$ its complex tangent space. M is said to be generic if $T_pM + iT_pM = \mathbb{C}^N$ holds for all $p \in M$. Each CR manifold is locally equivalent to a generic one. Note by $F_*: TM \to TM'$ the differential of a C^1 map $F: M \to M'$. Our main results are the following.

THEOREM 1. Let $F: M \to M'$ be a CR mapping of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ between generic manifolds of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, and let p be a minimal point of M, such that $F_*(T_qM) + T^c_{F(q)}M' = T_{F(q)}M'$ holds for q arbitrarily close to p. Then $F_*(T_pM) \notin T^c_{F(p)}M'$ unless CR functions on M' extend holomorphically to a common full neighborhood of F(p) in $\mathbb{C}^{N'}$. In any case, F(-p) is minimal in M'.

THEOREM 2. Let $F: M \to M'$ be a homeomorphic CR mapping of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ between CR manifolds of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ with the same CR dimension. Then F is a diffeomorphism at all minimal points of M.

Minimality is understood in the sense of Tumanov [T1].

THEOREM 3. Let M, M' be CR manifolds of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, and $F: M \to M'$ a CR mapping of class $C^{2,\alpha}$. Suppose that, for some $p \in M$, p' = F(p) is minimal and $F_*(T_p^c M) = T_{p'}^c M'$. Then F has surjective differential at p and p is minimal.

We are grateful to an anonymous referee who pointed out to us an error in the proof of this theorem. We added Lemma 3 and the proof goes now on the same lines.

Theorem 3 permits us to give a definition of minimality of $p \in M$ which may be much easier to handle than the classic one [T1]. Suppose for simplicity that we

Received 18 May 1993. Revision received 23 February 1994.

During the preparation of the manuscript, the first author was a visitor at the Universities Roma La Sapienza and Roma Tor Vergata with M.P.I. and C.N.R. funds and supported by the University of Roma Tor Vegata.

The second author is supported by M.P.I. funds.