

A SHARP CASTELNUOVO BOUND FOR SMOOTH SURFACES

ROBERT LAZARSFELD

Introduction. Consider a smooth irreducible complex projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ of degree d and dimension n , not contained in any hyperplane. There has been a certain amount of interest recently in the problem of finding an explicit bound, in terms of n , d and r , on the degrees of hypersurfaces that cut out a complete linear system on X . At least for $r \geq 2n + 1$, the best possible linear inequality would be:

$$(*) \quad H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, I_{X/\mathbb{P}^r}(k)) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq d + n - r.$$

This was established for (possibly singular) curves in [GLP], completing classical work of Castelnuovo [C]. For X of arbitrary dimension, Mumford (cf. [BM]) showed that $H^1(I_{X/\mathbb{P}^r}(k)) = 0$ for $k \geq (n + 1)(d - 2) + 1$. Pinkham [P] subsequently obtained the sharper estimate that if X is a surface, then hypersurfaces of degree $\geq d - 2$ [resp. $\geq d - 1$] cut out a complete series when $r \geq 5$ [resp. $r = 4$], but he left open the question of whether or not (*) holds. Recently Gruson has extended Pinkham's theorem to threefolds.

The purpose of this note is to complete Pinkham's result by establishing the optimal bound (*) for surfaces:

THEOREM. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth irreducible complex projective surface of degree d , not contained in any hyperplane. Then hypersurfaces of degree $d + 2 - r$ or greater cut out a complete linear series on X .*

By the theory of Castelnuovo–Mumford [M, Lecture 14], the theorem has implications for the equations defining X in \mathbb{P}^r :

COROLLARY. *In the situation of the theorem, the ideal sheaf I_{X/\mathbb{P}^r} is $(d + 3 - r)$ —regular in the sense of Castelnuovo–Mumford. In particular, the homogeneous ideal of X is generated by forms of degrees $(d + 3 - r)$ or less.*

Bounds on the regularity of an ideal sheaf are important in connection with algorithms for computing syzygies (cf. [BS]), and this accounts for some of the recent interest in these questions.

The proof of the theorem revolves around a technique used by Gruson and Peskine in their work on space curves [GP1, GP2]. As in the arguments of

Received October 14, 1986. Partially supported by a Sloan Fellowship and NSF grant DMS 86-03175.