L^p ESTIMATES FOR RADON TRANSFORMS IN EUCLIDEAN AND NON-EUCLIDEAN SPACES

ROBERT S. STRICHARTZ

§1. Introduction. The Radon transform in Euclidean spaces assigns to functions on \mathbb{R}^n their integrals over all affine hyperplanes. A natural generalization, called the k-plane transform or the X-ray transform, involves integrals over all affine k-dimensional subspaces, where k is fixed, $1 \le k \le n-1$. These operators have been widely studied, and the reader is referred to Helgason [6] and Smith, Solmon and Wagner [14] for expositions of their basic properties and significance. Many generalizations of the Radon transform to spaces other than \mathbb{R}^n have been considered; in particular, Helgason [4], [6], considers a Radon transform on the classical non-Euclidean spaces of constant curvature where the hyperplanes are replaced by the totally geodesic submanifolds.

The purpose of this paper is to study the L^p mapping properties of these operators. There are two reasons why we believe this study is important. The first is that we would like to know how the size of a function influences the size and smoothness of its Radon transform, and the L^p spaces furnish a fairly refined measurement of size. The second is that the Radon transform is a natural test case to explore the powers and limitations of our technical understanding of various aspects of harmonic analysis on Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces.

The Euclidean case, discussed in Section 2, is relatively simple. If Π denotes a fixed k-dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and y denotes a variable in Π^\perp , let $L_\Pi f(y) = \int_\Pi f(y+x) dx$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 we will show that <math>L_\Pi f$ has k/p' derivatives in the y-variable in L^p . This result is well known for p=2, and we obtain the general result using an interpolation technique of Fefferman and Stein [2]. Our result is a refinement of a theorem of Solmon [16] who used a less precise interpolation argument. We also obtain some results for p>2 which do not involve smoothness, and these seem to be new. A little thought shows that the only reason we can expect any smoothness at all is that parallel k-planes remain close together; conversely, we can never expect smoothness in the Π -variable because nonparallel k-planes diverge. By the same token we can expect no smoothness in the hyperbolic non-Euclidean case because there are no parallel geodesics.

In section 3 we discuss the Radon transform in hyperbolic non-Euclidean space, restricting attention to the hypersurface case, k = n - 1. Here the natural tool to use is harmonic analysis, since both the domain and range are homogeneous spaces of the Lorentz group $SO_e(n, 1)$ for which the harmonic