

# DISTORTION OF HYPERBOLIC AREA UNDER QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

JOHN A. KELINGOS

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we show that the techniques of [4] and [5], in which the effect of quasiconformal mappings on euclidean area is investigated, can be adapted to prove a similar result for hyperbolic area. Indeed, it follows as an easy corollary of [4; Theorem 1], quoted as Theorem A in Section 2, that for each measurable subset of the unit disk

$$m_h(f(E)) \leq C(R_h, K)m_h(E)^{K-a},$$

where  $f$  is a  $K$ -quasiconformal self-mapping of the unit disk,  $m_h$  is hyperbolic area,  $C$  is a constant depending on  $K$  and  $R_h$ , the hyperbolic radius of the set  $E$ , and  $a$  is a certain universal constant,  $1 \leq a \leq 20$ . (It was reported in [5] that  $1 \leq a \leq 17$ , but a computational error there results in the correct estimate of 20, using the same techniques.) This estimate however is imprecise in its behavior as  $K \rightarrow 1$  and, indeed, is misleading in its behavior as  $m_h(E) \rightarrow \infty$ . It appears that  $m_h(f(E))$  behaves no worse than a constant times  $m_h(E)^{K-a}$ , whereas in fact it can become as big as  $K m_h(E)$  (cf. [3; Theorem 3] reproduced as Theorem B in Section 2). The difficulty is that as  $m_h(E) \rightarrow \infty$  so does its hyperbolic radius  $R_h$  and, subsequently,  $C(R_h, K)$ . The problem then is to find a distortion function  $\psi(\tau)$ ,  $0 < \tau < \infty$ , such that  $m_h(f(E)) \leq \psi(m_h(E))$  and which behaves correctly for  $\tau$  near 0 and  $\infty$  and as  $K \rightarrow 1$ . The "correct" behavior is something that must be decided. The distortion function will of course depend on  $K$ . It is somewhat surprising that it must also depend on  $R_h$ , the hyperbolic radius of the set  $E$ . In [3; Theorem 3] (Theorem B), where the distortion of disks is investigated, a sharp estimate is found which is independent of  $R_h$ . Since this estimate is sharp, the behavior of this distortion function near 0 and  $\infty$  is taken as the "correct" behavior in the general case. That dependency on  $R_h$  is essential in the general case is demonstrated by an example at the end of Section 2.

**2. Preliminaries.** Suppose  $D$  is a simply connected domain of hyperbolic type in the finite  $z = x + iy$  plane,  $\Omega$ , and that  $g$  is a conformal mapping of  $D$  onto the unit disk  $U : |z| < 1$ . Then the function  $|g'|/(1 - |g|^2)$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ , and it defines the hyperbolic metric in  $D$ . In particular the hyperbolic area of a measurable set  $E \subset D$  is

$$(1) \quad m_h(E) = \iint_E \frac{|g'(z)|^2 d\sigma}{(1 - |g(z)|^2)^2}.$$

Received July 19, 1973.