## PUSHING AN (n-1)-SPHERE IN S<sup>n</sup> ALMOST INTO ITS COMPLEMENT

## ROBERT J. DAVERMAN

Bing [2] has proved that if  $\Sigma$  is a 2-sphere in the 3-sphere  $S^3$ , U is one of its complementary domains, and  $\epsilon$  is a positive number, then there exist a zerodimensional subset T of  $\Sigma$  and a map of  $\Sigma$  into  $U \cup T$  such that no point is as much as  $\epsilon$  in distance from its image. In discussing possible generalizations for an (n-1)-sphere  $\Sigma$  in the *n*-sphere  $S^n$ , Wilder [9] conjectured that T should be at most an (n-3)-dimensional subset of  $\Sigma$ . Here we provide a stronger solution to his conjecture. For  $n \geq 5$ , T can be obtained of dimension at most one. The property fundamental to this work is given in Theorem 2, namely, for a complementary domain U of an (n-1)-sphere  $\Sigma$  in  $S^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , there exists a one-dimensional set F such that  $U \cup F$  is 1-ULC.

1. Definitions and notation. For a positive integer k,  $I^k$  denotes a k-cell,  $\partial I^k$  its boundary, and Int  $I^k$  its interior.

Let S denote a space with a metric  $\rho$ . For  $A \subset S$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N_{\epsilon}(S)$  denotes  $\{s \in S \mid \rho(s, A) < \epsilon\}$ , diam A denotes the diameter of A, Cl A denotes the closure of A, and Bd A denotes the topological boundary of A in S. For two maps f and g of a compact space X into S,  $\rho(f, g)$  is defined as lub  $\{\rho(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ .

Essential to the development of this paper are the ulc and ULC properties (See [8; 292 ff] and [3].). We define only the uniform properties required here. Let *i* be a nonnegative integer and *S* a space with (fixed) metric  $\rho$ . We say that *S* is *i*-ULC (uniformly locally *i*-connected) if corresponding to each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that each map of  $\partial I^{i+1}$  into a  $\delta$ -subset of *S* can be extended to a map of  $I^{i+1}$  into an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *S*. If *S* is *i*-ULC for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , then we say that *S* is ULC<sup>k</sup>. Similarly, for  $A \subset S$  we say that *A* is *i*-ULC in *S* if corresponding to each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that each be extended to a map of  $A^{i+1}$  into an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *S* and  $A^{i+1}$  into an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *S*. If *S* is *i*-ULC for *i* = 0, 1,  $\dots, k$ , then we say that *S* is ULC<sup>k</sup>. Similarly, for  $A \subset S$  we say that *A* is *i*-ULC in *S* if corresponding to each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that each map of  $\partial I^{i+1}$  into an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *A* can be extended to a map of  $I^{i+1}$  into an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *S*, which definition we apply here only in the case i = 1. Furthermore, we say that *S* is *i*-ulc (uniformly locally homologically *i*-connected) if to each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds a  $\delta > 0$  such that each *i*-cycle (integer coefficients) supported on a  $\delta$ -subset of *S* bounds homologically an (i + 1)-chain having support in an  $\epsilon$ -subset of *S*. Again, *S* is ulc<sup>k</sup> if it is *i*-ulc for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

We make applications of several techniques, results, and notations from [5]. In particular dim S denotes the dimension (covering, small inductive, large inductive) of a separable metric space S. Contrary to occasional usage in the

Received May 10, 1972. Revisions received August 10, 1972. The author was supported in part by NSF Grant GP19966.