## THE ELEMENTARY THEORY OF RECURSIVELY ENUMERABLE SETS

## By A. H. LACHLAN

This paper continues the author's work [3] on the lattice of r.e. sets. Let R denote the lattice of r.e. sets, and let A(R) denote the Boolean algebra generated by R whose elements are finite unions of differences of r.e. sets. Denote the quotients of R, A(R) by the ideal of finite sets by  $R^*$  (called the lattice of r.e. sets modulo finite sets),  $A(R^*)$  respectively. We consider the first order language which has function symbols  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$ , ' to be interpreted as union, intersection, complement respectively and which has just two unary predicate constants E, L. We ask what sentences are true when the quantifiers range over  $R^*$  and when E(x), L(x) are interpreted as  $x = \emptyset$  the class of finite sets,  $x \in R^*$  respectively.

The paper is devoted to giving a decision procedure for  $\forall \exists$ -sentences. The method is briefly as follows. We consider finite sublattices C of R which are closed in the sense that any r.e. set which can be generated from the members of C by Boolean operations is in C, and such that the subalgebra of A(R) generated by C has no finite atoms. We define a notion of *characteristic* for these sublattices which well-orders them. Next we use the well known result: if  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are r.e. sets, there exist r.e. subsets  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  respectively such that  $\alpha_1 \cup \beta_1 = \alpha \cup \beta$  and  $\alpha_1 \cap \beta_1 = \emptyset$ . Call a sublattice C of R separated if this theorem is satisfied within C. We show that every sublattice C can be imbedded in a separated sublattice  $C_1$  of R such that the characteristic of  $C_1$  is less than or equal the characteristic of C. It follows that we need only consider sentences of the form  $(\forall \mathbf{x})(\exists \mathbf{y})[D(\mathbf{x}) \supset P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})]$ , where  $D(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  are quantifierless formulas containing just the variables displayed, and where  $D(\alpha)$  says essentially that the sublattice of R generated by the r.e. sets  $\alpha$  is of a particular separated isomorphism type. Such a sentence is called *separated* and its characteristic is defined to be the characteristic of the corresponding isomorphism type. Next by using the existence of a maximal set, a strengthening of Friedberg's Splitting Theorem for a non-recursive r.e. set, and a strengthening of the major subset construction, we construct counterexamples which imply the falsity of a certain recursive class of separated sentences. Finally, in Theorem 4 we give **a** method whereby the decision problem for any separated  $\forall \exists$ -sentence not ruled out by one of the counterexamples can be reduced to the decision problem for  $\forall \exists$ -sentences of lesser characteristic. The proof of Theorem 4 extends the method by which we proved [3, Theorem 2] that for any pair of r.e. sets  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$  and  $\alpha$  hh-simple in  $\beta, \alpha \cup \beta'$  is r.e. The proof in [3] used index

Received August 8, 1966.