

ON THE TEMPERED SPECTRUM OF  
QUASI-SPLIT CLASSICAL GROUPS

DAVID GOLDBERG AND FREYDOON SHAHIDI

**§1. Introduction.** One of the most striking aspects of the Langlands program is the conjectural relation between harmonic analysis and number theory. The proof of a conjecture of Langlands given in [20] shows that determining the poles of certain (conjectural) Langlands  $L$ -functions is equivalent to determining the nondiscrete tempered spectrum of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. The theory of endoscopy [16] and twisted endoscopy [13], [14] has proved particularly useful in giving a context within which to explore these problems, at least for classical groups (see the introduction and Section 3 of [21]).

Previously the authors separately determined the nondiscrete tempered spectrum of classical groups supported in their Siegel parabolic subgroups (see [8] and [21]) or, equivalently, computed the symmetric square and the exterior square  $L$ -functions for  $GL_n(F)$  [21], as well as the Asai  $L$ -functions for  $GL_n(E)$ , where  $E$  is a quadratic extension of  $F$  [8]. In fact, these  $L$ -functions were determined for an arbitrary irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_n(F)$  or  $GL_n(E)$ , accordingly. Finally, in [22], the second author addressed the problem for arbitrary maximal parabolic subgroups of split even special orthogonal groups. In terms of  $L$ -functions, the work in [22] determines the Rankin-Selberg product  $L$ -functions attached to irreducible admissible representations of  $GL_n(F) \times SO_{2m}(F)$ .

The purpose of the present paper is twofold. First we generalize the work in [22] to symplectic and quasi-split special orthogonal groups and thus, using [9], eventually determine the nondiscrete tempered spectrum of these groups. The second purpose is to remove a gap that existed in the proof of Theorems 7.8 and 8.1 of [22]. The final results of the present paper, Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.9, while having the similar main (regular) term  $R_G$ , have a different and more complicated singular contribution than the singular terms given in Theorems 7.8 and 8.1 of [22]. However, in Proposition 5.2 of the present paper, we manage to relate the singular terms from the two different versions to each other (see Remark 4.11). The reader of [22] must therefore consider Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.9 of the present paper as correct versions of Theorems 7.8 and 8.1 of [22], and it is most efficient if Sections 4 and 5 of the present paper are sub-

Received 30 October 1996. Revision received 16 January 1997.

Goldberg partially supported by National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship number DMS9206246 and National Science Foundation Career grant number DMS9501868.

Shahidi partially supported by National Science Foundation grant numbers DMS9301040 and DMS9622585.