

ERRATA

H. W. Gould, *A series transformation for finding convolution identities*, vol. 28 (1961), p. 200. The last line should read

$$C_k(a, b) = \frac{a}{a + bk} G_k(a, b).$$

David Dean and Ralph A. Raimi, *Permutations with comparable sets of invariant means*, vol. 27 (1960). In Theorems 3.3 and 4.2 it is necessary to add the hypothesis that $F_\sigma = F_\mu$, where F_σ is the collection of finite cycles in σ , as in the definition preceding Lemma 4.3. This error does not affect what follows Theorem 4.2 and is irrelevant to what precedes Theorem 3.3.

Page 468, line 6: The displayed formula should read

$$l_{p_\alpha} x_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \circ \beta \in A} (\bigcup_{\alpha > \beta} \{x_\alpha\}).$$

Page 468, line 19: The displayed formula should read

$$M'_\sigma = \{\bigcup [l_{p_\alpha} S'_\alpha p'_\alpha]\}^{\wedge}.$$

Jack Levine, *Coefficient identities derived from expansions of elementary symmetric function products in terms of power sums*, vol. 28(1961).

In (2.2) read $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_m}$ instead of $\frac{\partial}{\partial s^m}$.

In first line under (2.10) read 1^{n_i} instead of 1^{n^i} .

Page 95, in (6) read "entries" instead of "entires".

In (3.1), (3.5), (3.9), (4.9) read \sum_m instead of \sum_m .

In (3.10) read \sum_{m-1} instead of \sum_{m-1} .

In (6.5) read \sum_m on left and $\sum_{m' \alpha}$ on right.

Page 102, line 8 from bottom, read \sum_3, \sum_4, \sum_5 , instead of \sum_3, \sum_4, \sum_5 .

Eckford Cohen, *Representations of even functions (mod r)*, III. *Special topics*, vol. 26(1959), pp. 491-500. *Remark.* In §4 of this paper the function $G_s(n, r)$ was defined to be the number of solutions of $n \equiv p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_s x_s \pmod{r}$ such that $(x_i, r) = 1$, $p_i \mid r$, p_i prime ($i = 1, \dots, s$). In §1 this function was interpreted to be the number of representations of n as a sum of s primes π_i in the residue class ring J_r of the integers (mod r). Actually, $G_s(n, r)$ represents the number of *weighted* compositions of n in J_r as a sum of s primes π_i with each π_i counted p_i or $p_i - 1$ times (p_i being the prime divisor associate d with π_i), according as p_i does or does not divide r to a power higher than the first.

M. Lees and M. H. Protter, *Unique continuation for parabolic differential equations and inequalities*, vol. 28(1961), page 369, line 2, $L = A - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ instead of $L = A = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.