

SURFACES WITH PRESCRIBED GAUSS CURVATURE

SAGUN CHANILLO AND MICHAEL KIESSLING

1. Introduction. Let $S_g = (\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ denote a conformally flat surface over \mathbb{R}^2 with metric given by

$$ds^2 = g^{ij} dx_i dx_j = e^{2u(x)} (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2), \quad (1.1)$$

where u is a real-valued function of the isothermal coordinates $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. If u is given, the Gauss curvature function K for S_g is then explicitly given by

$$K(x) = -e^{-2u(x)} \Delta u(x), \quad (1.2)$$

where Δ is the Laplacian for the standard metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . The quantity

$$\mathcal{H}(u) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u(x)} dx, \quad (1.3)$$

where dx denotes Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 , is called the integral curvature of the surface (sometimes called total curvature). We say that S_g is a *classical* surface over \mathbb{R}^2 if $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Clearly, $K \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in that case. The inverse problem, namely, to prescribe K and to find a surface S_g pointwise conformal to \mathbb{R}^2 for which K is the Gauss curvature, renders (1.2) a semilinear elliptic partial differential equation (PDE) for the unknown function u . The problem of prescribing Gaussian curvature thus amounts to studying the existence, uniqueness or multiplicity, and classification of solutions u of (1.2) for the given K . A particularly interesting aspect of the classification problem is the question under which conditions radial symmetry of the prescribed Gauss curvature function K implies radial symmetry of the classical surface $S_g = (\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ and under which conditions radial symmetry is broken. Notice that the inverse problem may not have a solution. In particular, when considered on \mathbb{S}^2 instead of \mathbb{R}^2 , there are so many obstructions to finding a solution u to (the analog of) (1.2) for the prescribed K that Nirenberg was prompted many years ago to raise the question, Which real-valued functions K are Gauss curvatures of some surface S_g over \mathbb{S}^2 ? For Nirenberg's problem, see [4], [6], [9], [10], [11], [33], [36], [38], [44], [45], [47], [48], [50], and [51]. For related works on other compact 2-manifolds, see, for example, [26] and [60]. In this work, we are interested in the prescribed

Received 7 June 1999. Revision received 14 January 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C21; Secondary 60K35.

Chanillo's work supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-9623079.

Kiessling's work supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-9623220.