## CORRECTION NOTE: HIGHER ORDER ELICITABILITY AND OSBAND'S PRINCIPLE

By Tobias Fissler<sup>1</sup> and Johanna F.  $Ziegel^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, WU Vienna University of Economics and Business, tobias.fissler@wu.ac.at <sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Bern, johanna.ziegel@stat.unibe.ch

A pair of errors regarding Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 5.2(ii) of Fissler and Ziegel (2016) have been noted. Corrections to them along with comments on imprecisions in two other results, Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 in the same article, are provided in the online supplement Fissler and Ziegel (2020).

Acknowledgments. Tobias Fissler gratefully received funding of his Chapman Fellowship by the Department of Mathematics at Imperial College London.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

**Supplement to "Correction note: Higher order elicitability and Osband's principle"** (DOI: 10.1214/20-AOS2014SUPP; .pdf).

## REFERENCES

FISSLER, T. and ZIEGEL, J. F. (2016). Higher order elicitability and Osband's principle. *Ann. Statist.* 44 1680–1707. MR3519937 https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AOS1439

FISSLER, T. and ZIEGEL, J. F. (2021). Supplement to "Correction note: Higher order elicitability and Osband's principle." https://doi.org/10.1214/20-AOS2014SUPP

Received August 2020.

MSC2020 subject classifications. Primary 62C99; secondary 91B06.

*Key words and phrases.* Consistency, decision theory, elicitability, expected shortfall, point forecasts, propriety, scoring functions, scoring rules, spectral risk measures, value at risk.