Let

(19)
$$f_j^*(v) = f_j(v)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum v_i^2} \qquad (j = 1, 2).$$

Now we shall show that for any positive values β_1, \dots, β_k

$$(20) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_j^*(v_1, \cdots, v_k) e^{\beta_1|v_1|+\cdots+\beta_k|v_k|} dv_1 \cdots dv_k < \infty.$$

In fact, consider the 2^k sets (a_1, \dots, a_k) where $a_i = \pm 1$ $(i = 1, \dots, k)$. Denote by $R_{a_1 \dots a_k}$ the subset of the k-dimensional Cartesian space which consists of all points $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ for which v_i is either zero or signum $v_i = \text{signum } a_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, k)$. Putting $\alpha_i = a_i\beta_i$, it follows from (17) and (18) that

(21)
$$\int_{R_{a_1...a_k}} f_i^*(v_1, \dots, v_k) e^{\beta_1|v_1|+\dots+\beta_k|v_k|} dv_1 \dots dv_k < \infty.$$

Since (21) holds for any of the 2^k sets $R_{a_1 \cdots a_k}$, equation (20) is proved. From (1) it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v_1^{r_1} \cdots v_k^{r_k} [f_1^*(v_1, \cdots, v_k) - f_2^*(v_1, \cdots, v_k)] dv_1 \cdots dv_k = 0,$$

for all non-negative integers r_1 , \cdots , r_k . Hence, because of (21) and Lemma A we see that

$$(22) f_1^*(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = f_2^*(v_1, \cdots, v_k),$$

except perhaps on a set of measure zero. From (22) it follows that

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_k) = f_1(v_1, \dots, v_k) - f_2(v_1, \dots, v_k) = 0,$$

except perhaps on a set of measure zero. Hence Proposition I is proved.

A NOTE ON SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS

By J. Ernest Wilkins, Jr.

University of Chicago

It is the purpose of §1 of this paper to prove the following inequality:

$$\alpha_4 \geqq \alpha_3^2 + 1.$$

This inequality seems to have first been stated by Pearson¹. The inequality also follows from a result appearing in the thesis of Vatnsdal. Here we give a proof based on the theory of quadratic forms which seems to be more direct and more elementary than either of the previous proofs.

[&]quot;Mathematical contributions to the theory of evolution, XIX; second supplement to a memoir on skew variation," Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. (A), Vol. 216 (1916), p. 432.