

REPLY TO “ON SOME PROBLEMS IN THE ARTICLE *EFFICIENT LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION IN STATE SPACE MODELS*<sup>1</sup>”

BY CHENG-DER FUH

[*Ann. Statist.* 34 (2006) 2026–2068]

BY CHENG-DER FUH

*National Central University and Academia Sinica*

The author is grateful for the comments by Dr. Jensen. This note is in reply to his comments.

**Problem 2.1. Definition of iterated function system.**

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_j)h(x) = \int_{y \in \mathcal{X}} p_\theta(y, x) f(\xi_j; \theta | x, \xi_{j-1}) h(y) m(dy).$$

Define the composition of two random functions as

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_{j+1}) \circ \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_j) h(x) \\ &= \int_{z \in \mathcal{X}} p_\theta(z, x) f(\xi_{j+1}; \theta | x, \xi_j) \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{y \in \mathcal{X}} p_\theta(y, z) f(\xi_j; \theta | z, \xi_{j-1}) h(y) m(dy) \right) m(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Page 2042. C1. ...for all  $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , and  $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \int p_\theta(y, x) m(dy) < \infty$ . Since  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, there exist pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{X}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$ , and  $0 < m(\mathcal{X}_n) < \infty$ . Assume  $E[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_n} f(\xi_1; \theta | x, s_0)] < \infty$  for all  $s_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ . Denote  $g_\theta(\xi_0, \xi_1) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \int p_\theta(y, x) f(\xi_1; \theta | x, \xi_0) m(dy)$ . Furthermore, we assume that there exists  $p \geq 1$  as in K2 such that

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{(x_0, s_0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{R}^d} E_{(x_0, s_0)}^\theta \left\{ \log \left( g_\theta(s_0, \xi_1) \cdots g_\theta(\xi_{p-1}, \xi_p) \frac{w(X_p, \xi_p)}{w(x_0, s_0)} \right) \right\} < 0.$$

The example on Page 2044, L12, holds if  $\alpha \neq 0$ . The original (5.6) was wrong; it should be

$$(5.6) \quad M_n := \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_n) \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_1) \circ \mathbf{P}_\theta(\xi_0) \pi \quad (\text{page 2045}).$$

---

Received August 2009; revised September 2009.

<sup>1</sup>Editors' note: The standard *Annals of Statistics* policy is that brief author responses and corrigenda are only reviewed for style and appropriateness; authors themselves are responsible for their correctness.