

Erratum

Erratum to “Compact Operators for Almost Conservative and Strongly Conservative Matrices”

S. A. Mohiuddine,¹ M. Mursaleen,² and A. Alotaibi¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Mursaleen; mursaleenm@gmail.com

Received 18 April 2014; Accepted 16 June 2014; Published 3 July 2014

Copyright © 2014 S. A. Mohiuddine et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We redefine the space f and state the results of [1] in this light.

Let \mathcal{B} be a semigroup of positive regular matrices $B = (b_{nk})$.

A bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{B} -almost convergent to the value l if and only if $t_{pn}(x) \rightarrow l$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n , where

$$t_{pn}(x) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{m=0}^p B_{m+n}(x); \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1)$$

and $B_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k$ which is B -transform of a sequence x (see Mursaleen [2]). The number l is called the generalized limit of x , and we write $l = f - \lim x$. We write

$$f = \left\{ x \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} t_{pn}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Using the idea of \mathcal{B} -almost convergence, we define the following.

An infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be \mathcal{B} -almost conservative if $Ax \in f$ for all $x \in c$, and we denote it by $A \in (c, f)$. An infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be \mathcal{B} -strongly conservative if $Ax \in c$ for all $x \in f$, and we denote it by $A \in (f, c)$.

Now, we restate Theorem 11 and Theorem 15 of [1] as follows, respectively.

Theorem 11. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a \mathcal{B} -almost conservative matrix. Then, one has

$$0 \leq \|L_A\|_{\chi} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \right), \quad (3)$$

$$L_A \text{ is compact if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \right) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{a}_{nk} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{nj} b_{jk}$.

Proof. It follows on the same lines as of Theorem 11 [1] by only replacing a_{nk} by \tilde{a}_{nk} . \square

Theorem 15. Let B be a normal positive regular matrix. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix. Then, one has the following.

(i) If $A \in (f, c_0)$, then

$$\|L_A\|_{\chi} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \right). \quad (4)$$

(ii) If $A \in (f, c)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk} - \alpha_k| \right) \\ & \leq \|L_A\|_{\chi} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk} - \alpha_k| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{a}_{nk}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.