

## Behavior of the Bergman projection on the Diederich–Fornæss worm

by

DAVID E. BARRETT

*University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.*

### § 1. Introduction

In this paper we show that the Bergman projection operator for certain smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains does not preserve smoothness as measured by Sobolev norms.

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $P^{(t)}$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto the Bergman subspace  $B(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  with respect to the weighted norm  $\|f\|^{(t)} = (\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 e^{-t\|z\|^2} dV)^{1/2}$ . Let  $W^k(\Omega)$  denote the Sobolev space consisting of functions whose derivatives of order  $\leq k$  are in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . An important result of Kohn [Ko1] implies that  $P^{(t)}$  maps  $W^k(\Omega)$  to  $W^k(\Omega)$  when  $t \geq t_0(k, \Omega)$ . On the other hand, there is a large collection of results implying that for certain types of domains the unweighted Bergman projection  $P = P^{(0)}$  preserves  $W^k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . (See [FK] for the strictly pseudoconvex case; for results on weakly pseudoconvex domains the reader may consult [Ko2], [Ca], [Si] and the recent [BSt1], [Ch] as well as the references cited therein. Most of these results are focused on the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator rather than  $P$ ; see [BSt2] for the connection. Also, except for [Ko1], positive results in this area are typically valid for any choice of smooth positive weight function on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .)

The question of whether or not  $P$  is similarly well-behaved for *all* weakly pseudoconvex domains has remained open for many years. In this paper we show that this is not the case; in fact when  $\Omega$  is the so-called “worm domain” of Diederich and Fornæss then  $P$  does not map  $W^k$  to  $W^k$  when  $k \geq \pi/(\text{total amount of winding})$ . This latter quantity is explained in section 4 below, where the construction of the worm is reviewed and the main result is proved. The proof depends on computations for a piecewise Levi-flat model domain depending in turn on certain one-dimensional computations; these are treated in sections 3 and 2, respectively. Section 5 contains additional remarks and questions.