SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS IN SOBOLEV SPACES, AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM

BY

LARS INGE HEDBERG(¹)

University of Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden

1. Introduction

Consider functions f in the Sobolev space $W_m^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $1 < q < \infty$, i.e. functions such that $\sum_{0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |D^\alpha f|^q dx = \|f\|_{m,q}^q < \infty$. For any set E in $\mathbf{R}^d$ one can define the trace on E of f and of its partial derivatives $D^\alpha f$, $|\alpha| \leqslant m-1$, in a natural way. (See Section 2.) We denote these traces by $f|_E$ and $D^\alpha f|_E$. Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $f \in W_m^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for some $q > 2 - 1/d$, and some positive integer m. Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ be closed, and suppose that $D^\alpha f|_K = 0$ for all α, $0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant m-1$. Then $f \in \overset{0}{W}_m^q(K^c)$, i.e. there exist functions $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty$ such that each φ_n vanishes on a neighborhood of K, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f - \varphi_n\|_{m,q} = 0$.*

By analogy with the classical spectral synthesis of Beurling (see e.g. [20]) we say that sets K with the approximation property in the theorem admit *(m, q)-synthesis*. Thus, in contrast to the situation in harmonic analysis, the conclusion here is that *all closed sets in* $\mathbf{R}^d$ *admit (m, q)-synthesis, at least if* $q > 2 - 1/d$.

Among the consequences we mention the following uniqueness theorem for the Dirichlet problem. This is in fact an equivalent formulation of the result in the case $q = 2$. By way of illustration we only formulate the theorem in the simplest case. Generalizations to more general elliptic equations are immediate. See T. Kolsrud [21] for an extension to situations where u is defined only in G.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $G \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ be a bounded open set. Let $u \in W_m^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfy $\Delta^m u = 0$ in G, and $D^\alpha u|_{\partial G} = 0$, $0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant m-1$. Then $u \equiv 0$ in G.*

That this is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 is obvious, because it is well known that if $\Delta^m u = 0$ in G and $u \in \overset{0}{W}_m^2(G)$, then $u = 0$.

(¹) Supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.