

Note on *KO*-Rings of Lens Spaces Mod 2^r

Teiichi KOBAYASHI and Masahiro SUGAWARA

(Received August 26, 1977)

§1. Introduction

Let η be the canonical complex line bundle over the standard lens space mod p^r :

$$L^n(p^r) = S^{2n+1}/Z_{p^r} \quad (p: \text{prime}, r \geq 1; n \geq 0).$$

Then, we have the stable classes

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma = \eta - 1 \in \tilde{K}(L^n(p^r)), \quad r\sigma = r\eta - 2 \in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(p^r)),$$

where r is the real restriction. On the orders of the powers of these elements, the following results are proved in [1, Th. 1.1]:

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma^i \in \tilde{K}(L^n(p^r)) \text{ is of order } p^{r+\lfloor (n-i)/(p-1) \rfloor} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } \sigma^{n+1} = 0.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{If } p \text{ is an odd prime, then } (r\sigma)^i \in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(p^r)) \text{ is of order } p^{r+\lfloor (n-2i)/(p-1) \rfloor} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \text{ and } (r\sigma)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} = 0.$$

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem, by using the partial result of M. Yasuo [5, Prop. (3.5)] which shows the theorem under the assumption $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$:

THEOREM 1.4. *In the reduced *KO*-group $\widetilde{KO}(L^n(2^r))$ ($r \geq 2$), the order of $(r\sigma)^i$ is equal to*

$$2^{r+n-2i+1} \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad 2^{r+n-2i} \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor;$$

$$1 \text{ if } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad 2 \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad \text{for } i = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1;$$

and 1 for $i \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$.

As an application of this theorem, we have the following corollary by the method of M. F. Atiyah using the γ -operation.

COROLLARY 1.5 (cf. [3, Th. C, Prop. 7.6]). *The $(2n+1)$ -manifold $L^n(2^r)$ ($r \geq 2$) cannot be immersed in the Euclidean space R^{2n+2L} and cannot be imbedded in $R^{2n+2L+1}$, where*

$$L = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq [n/2], \binom{n+i}{i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+n-2i+1}} \right\} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \max \left\{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq [n/2], \binom{n+i}{i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r+n-2i}} \right\} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

§2. Some relations in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$

In this section, we study some relations in the reduced K -group

$$\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r)) \quad (r \geq 2).$$

The element $\sigma \in \tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$ in (1.1) satisfies the relations

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma^{n+1} = 0, \quad (1 + \sigma)^{2^r} - 1 = 0,$$

(cf., e. g., [1, Prop. 2.6]). Consider the following elements in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$:

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(0) = \sigma, \quad \sigma(s) = (1 + \sigma)^{2^s} - 1 = 2\sigma(s-1) + \sigma(s-1)^2 \quad (0 < s \leq r).$$

LEMMA 2.3 ([2, Prop. 3.2]). For any integers $k_0, \dots, k_{s-1} \geq 0$ and $k_s > 0$ ($0 \leq s \leq r$), we have the following in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$:

$$2^{r-s+h} \prod_{i=0}^s \sigma(t)^{k_i} = 0 \text{ if } r - s + h \geq 0,$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^s \sigma(t)^{k_i} = 0 \text{ if } r - s + h < 0,$$

where $h = h(k_0, \dots, k_s) = 1 + [(n-1 - \sum_{i=0}^s 2^i k_i)/2^s]$.

PROOF. If $s=0$ and $h=n-k_0 < 0$, then the relation is obtained from $\sigma^{n+1} = 0$ in (2.1).

Assume inductively that $h \geq 0$ and the relation on $\alpha\sigma(s)^k$ ($\alpha = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma(t)^{k_i}$) holds for $k > k_s$. Since $(1 + \sigma(s))^{2^{r-s}} - 1 = 0$ by (2.1-2), we have

$$2^{r-s+h} \alpha\sigma(s)^{k_s} + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{r-s}} \binom{2^{r-s}}{i} 2^h \alpha\sigma(s)^{k_s-1+i} = 0.$$

If $i=2^v j \geq 2$ and j is odd, then $h(k_0, \dots, k_s-1+i) = h - (i-1) \leq h - v$. Thus the above equality and the inductive assumption imply $2^{r-s+h} \alpha\sigma(s)^{k_s} = 0$.

Assume inductively that $s \geq 1$, $h < 0$ and the relation on $\alpha\sigma(s-1)^k$ ($\alpha = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma(t)^{k_i}$) holds for $k > 0$. Then, by using (2.2), we see

$$2^{r-s+h} \alpha\sigma(s)^{k_s} = \sum_{i=0}^{k_s} \binom{k_s}{i} 2^{r-s+h+i} \alpha\sigma(s-1)^{2k_s-i} = 0,$$

as desired, since $h(k_0, \dots, k_{s-2}, k_{s-1} + 2k_s - i) \leq 2h + i < h + i$.

Therefore, we have the lemma by the induction.

q. e. d.

LEMMA 2.4. For any integers $k_0, \dots, k_{s-1} \geq 0$ and $k_s > l \geq 0$ ($0 \leq s < r$), we have the following in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$:

$$2^{h'} \alpha \sigma(s)^{k_s} = (-1)^l 2^{h'+l} \alpha \sigma(s)^{k_s-l} \quad (\alpha = \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} \sigma(t)^{k_t}),$$

where h' is any non-negative integer such that

$$h' \geq r - s + [(n - 1 - \sum_{t=0}^s 2^t k_t) / 2^{s+1}].$$

PROOF. We see easily that $2^{h'+l} \alpha \sigma(s)^{k_s-l-2} \sigma(s+1) = 0$ if $k_s - 1 > l \geq 0$, by the above lemma. Thus we have the lemma by $\sigma(s+1) = \sigma(s)^2 + 2\sigma(s)$ in (2.2). *q. e. d.*

LEMMA 2.5. If $0 < s < r$, $d \geq 0$, $k > 0$ is even and $n < d + 2^s k$, then we have the following in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$ ($r \geq 2$):

$$2^{r-s-2+k} \sum_{t=0}^s 2^k (2^{t-1}) \sigma^d \sigma(s-t) = 0.$$

PROOF. For any $0 < t \leq s$, we show the equality

$$(*) \quad 2^{r-s-1} \sigma^d (\sigma(s-t+1)^{2^{t-1}k} - \sigma(s-t)^{2^t k}) = 2^{r-s-2+2^t k} \sigma^d \sigma(s-t).$$

By (2.2), the left hand side of (*) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{t-1}k} \binom{2^{t-1}k}{i} 2^{r-s-1+i} \sigma^d \sigma(u)^{2^t k-i} \quad (u = s-t \geq 0).$$

If $i = 2^v j$ and j is odd, then we see easily from $n < d + 2^s k$ that

$$\begin{aligned} r - u - 1 + 1 + [(n - 1 - d - 2^u(2^t k - i)) / 2^{u+1}] \\ \leq r - s - 1 + i + t - v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the above lemma and the assumption that k is even, the above sum is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{t-1}k} (-1)^{i-1} \binom{2^{t-1}k}{i} 2^{r-s-1+i+2^t k-i-1} \sigma^d \sigma(u),$$

which is equal to the right hand side of (*).

Since $n < d + 2^s k$ by the assumption, we see that $2^{r-s-1} \sigma^d \sigma(s)^k = (-1)^k 2^{r-s-2+k} \sigma^d \sigma(s)$ by the above lemma and that $\sigma^{d+2^s k} = 0$ by (2.1). Therefore, we obtain the desired equality by summing up the equalities (*). *q. e. d.*

LEMMA 2.6. If $0 < s < r$, $d \geq 0$, $k \geq 3$ is odd and $n < d + 2^s k$, then we have the following in $\tilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$ ($r \geq 2$):

$$2^{r-s-2+k} \{ \sigma^d \sigma(s) + \sum_{t=1}^s 2^{(k-1)(2^{t-1})-1} \sigma^{d+2^s} \sigma(s-t) + \sigma^{d+2^{s-1}} \sigma(s) \} = 0,$$

where $2^{r-s-2+k}\sigma^{d+2^{s-1}}\sigma(s)=0$ if $n+2^{s-1}\leq d+2^s k$.

PROOF. We see easily that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{r-s-1}\sigma^d\sigma(s)^k &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^s-1} \binom{2^s}{i} 2^{r-s-1}\sigma^{d+2^s-i}\sigma(s)^{k-1} \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &= -2^{r-s-1}\sigma^{d+2^s}\sigma(s)^{k-1} + 2^{r-s}\sigma^{d+2^{s-1}}\sigma(s)^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption $n < d + 2^s k$ and Lemma 2.3. Hence we have

$$2^{r-s-2+k}\sigma^d\sigma(s) = 2^{r-s-3+k}\sigma^{d+2^s}\sigma(s) - 2^{r-s-2+k}\sigma^{d+2^{s-1}}\sigma(s),$$

by Lemma 2.4. Since $n < d + 2^s + 2^s(k-1)$ and $k-1 > 0$ is even, this implies the desired equality by the above lemma, where the last term is zero if $n + 2^{s-1} \leq d + 2^s k$ by Lemma 2.3. *q. e. d.*

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

To study some relations in $\widetilde{K}\mathcal{O}(L^n(2^r))$, we use the following result due to M. Yasuo [5, (A. 13)]:

(3.1) *The complexification $c: \widetilde{K}\mathcal{O}(L^n(2^r)) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}(L^n(2^r))$ is monomorphic if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

LEMMA 3.2. *For the real restriction $r\sigma(s) \in \widetilde{K}\mathcal{O}(L^n(2^r))$ of $\sigma(s)$ in (2.2), we have*

$$r\sigma(s+1) = 4r\sigma(s) + (r\sigma(s))^2 \quad (0 \leq s < r).$$

PROOF. Since $1 + \sigma(s) = \eta^{2^s}$ is a complex line bundle, we see that

$$(3.3) \quad cr\sigma(s) = -2 + (1 + \sigma(s)) + 1/(1 + \sigma(s)) = \sigma(s)^2/(1 + \sigma(s)).$$

Therefore, by the fact that c is multiplicative and (2.2), it holds that

$$c(4r\sigma(s) + (r\sigma(s))^2) = (2\sigma(s) + \sigma(s)^2)^2/(1 + \sigma(s))^2 = cr\sigma(s+1).$$

Thus we have the desired equality for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ by (3.1) and so for any n by the naturality. *q. e. d.*

LEMMA 3.4. *For any integers $k_0, \dots, k_{s-1} \geq 0$ and $k_s > 0$ ($0 \leq s \leq r$), we have the following in $\widetilde{K}\mathcal{O}(L^n(2^r))$ ($n \leq 4m+3$):*

$$2^{r-s+k} \prod_{t=0}^s (r\sigma(t))^{k_t} = 0 \text{ if } r-s+k \geq 0, \quad \prod_{t=0}^s (r\sigma(t))^{k_t} = 0 \text{ if } r-s+k < 0,$$

where $k = 1 + [(4m+2 - \sum_{t=0}^s 2^{t+1}k_t)/2^s]$.

PROOF. By (3.3) and Lemma 2.3, the c -image of the left hand side is zero in $\tilde{K}(L^{4m+3}(2^r))$. Thus we see the equality for $n=4m+3$ by (3.1) and so for $n \leq 4m+3$ by the naturality. *q. e. d.*

LEMMA 3.5. For any integers $k_0, \dots, k_{s-1} \geq 0$ and $k_s > l \geq 0$ ($0 \leq s < r$), we have the following in $\tilde{K}\tilde{O}(L^n(2^r))$ ($n \leq 4m+3$):

$$2^{k'} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (r\sigma(t))^{k_i} \{(r\sigma(s))^{k_s} - (-1)^l 2^{2l} (r\sigma(s))^{k_s-l}\} = 0,$$

where k' is any non-negative integer such that

$$k' \geq r - s + [(4m + 2 - \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{i+1} k_i) / 2^{s+1}].$$

PROOF. We see the lemma using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.2, by the same way as Lemma 2.4. *q. e. d.*

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

LEMMA 3.6. The following holds in $\tilde{K}\tilde{O}(L^{4m+1}(2^r))$ ($r \geq 2, m > 0$):

$$2^{r+4m+1-2i}(r\sigma)^i = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2m.$$

PROOF. By applying the above lemma for $s=0, k_0=2m, k'=r+1$, we see that $2^{r+1}(r\sigma)^{2m} = (-1)^i 2^{r+1+2(2m-i)}(r\sigma)^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$. Hence, it is sufficient to show the equality for $i=1$, which is a consequence of

$$(*) \quad 2^{r+2m-2}r\sigma(1) + 2^{r+4m}r\sigma = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}\tilde{O}(L^{4m+3}(2^r)),$$

$$(**) \quad 2^{r+2m-2}r\sigma(1) + 2^{r+4m-1}r\sigma = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}\tilde{O}(L^{4m+1}(2^r)).$$

By Lemma 2.5 for $n=4m+3, s=1, d=0$ and $k=2m+2$, we have

$$2^{r+2m-1}\sigma(1) + 2^{r+4m+1}\sigma = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}(L^{4m+3}(2^r)).$$

This and Lemmas 2.3–4 show the equality

$$2^{r+2m-2}\sigma(1)^2 + 2^{r+4m}\sigma^2(1 + \sigma) = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}(L^{4m+3}(2^r)).$$

Multiplying this by $1/(1+\sigma)^2$, we obtain the c -image of $(*)$ by (3.3), and hence $(*)$ by (3.1).

By Lemma 2.6 for $n=4m+1, s=1, d=0$ and $k=2m+1$, we have

$$2^{r+2m-2}\sigma(1) + 2^{r+4m-3}\sigma^3 = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}(L^{4m+1}(2^r)).$$

Thus, we see by Lemma 2.4 that

$$2^{r+2m-2}\sigma(1) + 2^{r+4m-1}\sigma = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{K}(L^{4m+1}(2^r)),$$

whose r -image is $(**)$.

q. e. d.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. By [5, Prop. (3.5)], it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case $n=4m+1$.

Since $c(r\sigma)^i = \sigma^{2i}/(1+\sigma)^i$ by (3.3), we see immediately that $(r\sigma)^i \in \widetilde{KO}(L^{4m+1}(2^r))$ ($r \geq 2, m > 0$) is of order $2^{r+4m+1-2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, by the above lemma and (1.2).

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{KO}(S^{8m+4}) & \xrightarrow{j^!} & \widetilde{KO}(CP^{4m+2}) & \xrightarrow{i^!} & \widetilde{KO}(CP^{4m+1}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \pi^! & & \downarrow \pi^! \\ \widetilde{KO}(S^{8m+4}) & \xrightarrow{j^!} & \widetilde{KO}(L_0^{4m+2}) & \xrightarrow{i^!} & \widetilde{KO}(L^{4m+1}(2^r)) \end{array}$$

for $m \geq 0$, where $L_0^{4m+2} = L^{4m+1}(2^r) \cup e^{8m+4}$ is the $(8m+4)$ -skeleton of $L^{4m+2}(2^r)$ and π is the restriction of the natural projection $\pi: L^{4m+2}(2^r) \rightarrow CP^{4m+2}$ onto the complex projective space CP^{4m+2} . It is proved by B. J. Sanderson [4, Th. (3.9)] that the image of $\widetilde{KO}(S^{8m+4}) = Z$ by the upper $j^!$ is generated by $2y^{2m+1}$, where y is the real restriction of the stable class of the canonical complex line bundle over CP^{4m+2} . Hence $(r\sigma)^{2m+1} = i^! \pi^! y^{2m+1} \in \widetilde{KO}(L^{4m+1}(2^r))$ is of order 2, since the lower sequence in the above diagram is exact. *q. e. d.*

References

- [1] T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara: *K- and KO-rings of the lens space $L^n(p^2)$ for odd prime p* , Hiroshima Math. J., **1** (1971), 273–286.
- [2] T. Kobayashi, S. Murakami and M. Sugawara: *Note on J-groups of lens spaces*, Hiroshima Math. J., **7** (1977), 387–409.
- [3] T. Kobayashi and M. Sugawara: *K_A -rings of lens spaces $L^n(4)$* , Hiroshima Math. J., **1** (1971), 253–271.
- [4] B. J. Sanderson: *Immersion and embeddings of projective spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **14** (1964), 137–153.
- [5] M. Yasuo: *γ -dimension and products of lens spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, **31** (1977), 113–126.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University