ON A NONLINEAR VOLTERRA EQUATION

Kenneth B. Hannsgen

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the integrodifferential equation

(1.1)
$$x'(t) = -\int_0^t a(t - \tau) g(x(\tau)) d\tau - b(t) + f(t) \qquad (0 \le t < \infty)$$

(primes denote differentiation with respect to t), where a(t) satisfies the conditions

$$a(t) \in C(0, \infty) \cap L_1(0, 1); \ a(t) \ is nonnegative, nondecreasing,$$

$$(1.2) \\ and \ convex \ on \ (0, \infty); \ and \ 0 < a(0+) \le \infty.$$

The functions g and f will be subject to the conditions

(1.3)
$$g(x) \in C(-\infty, \infty), \quad xg(x) \geq 0, \quad G(x) = \int_0^x g(\xi) d\xi \to \infty \quad (|x| \to \infty)$$

and

(1.4)
$$f(t) \in C[0, \infty), \quad K_0 = \int_0^\infty |f(t)| dt < \infty.$$

We first find conditions ensuring that all solutions x(t) of (1.1) satisfy the condition

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0.$$

Our result extends a theorem of J. J. Levin and J. A. Nohel [6, Theorem 1(ii)], which deals with the case where $a(t) \in C[0, \infty)$ and $(-1)^k a^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$ $(0 < t < \infty; \ k = 0, 1, 2, 3).$

For the linear case (g(x) = x) with $f(t) \equiv 0$ and $b(t) \equiv \text{constant}$, we showed in [3] that there exist kernels a(t), satisfying (1.2), for which a solution x(t) does not satisfy (1.5); indeed there exists a nonconstant periodic function $\omega(t)$ such that $[x(t) - \omega(t)] \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. These kernels satisfy the equation

(1.6)
$$a(t) = \delta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \left(1 - \frac{\min\{t, kt_0\}}{kt_0} \right),$$

Received November 14, 1968.

The author thanks Professor J. J. Levin for discussions of this work. This research was begun while the author held an NSF Graduate Fellowship. The author also wishes to acknowledge partial support from NSF grant GP-9658.

where $\delta_k \ge 0$ and $t_0 > 0$. In studying the nonlinear equation (1.1) with kernels a(t) that satisfy (1.6), we find asymptotic behavior similar to that found by Levin and Nohel in [5] for the delay equation

$$x'(t) = -\frac{1}{R} \int_{t-R}^{t} [R - (t - \tau)] g(x(\tau)) d\tau$$

where R is a positive constant. Our proof of this result is adapted from [5].

Note that when a(t) satisfies conditions (1.2), we may write ([7, p. 230])

$$a(t) = a(\infty) + \int_{\infty}^{t} \alpha(\tau) d\tau,$$
(1.7)

where $\alpha(t)$ is a nonpositive, nondecreasing function for which $\alpha(t) = \alpha(t+)$.

When $a(0) < \infty$, we obtain the equation

(1.8)
$$x''(t) + a(0)g(x(t)) = -\int_0^t \alpha(t - \tau)g(x(\tau))d\tau - b'(t) - f'(t)$$

from (1.1) by differentiation. We also introduce the differential equation

(1.9)
$$x''(t) + a(0)g(x(t)) = 0$$

and the equivalent system

(1.10)
$$x' = y$$
, $y' = -a(0)g(x)$.

In connection with equations (1.9) and (1.10), we use the notation of [5]. We let $\phi(t) = \phi(t, t_0, \alpha, \beta)$ denote the solution of (1.9) for which $\phi(t_0) = \alpha$ and $\phi'(t_0) = \beta$. Then $x = \phi(t)$, $y = \phi'(t)$ is the solution of (1.10) that passes through the point (α, β) at $t = t_0$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, let $\rho = \rho(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ denote the (common) least period of all solutions of (1.10) passing through (α, β) . For each pair (α, β) , let

$$\Gamma(\alpha, \beta) = \{(x, y) \mid x = \phi(t, t_0, \alpha, \beta), y = \phi'(t, t_0, \alpha, \beta) \quad (-\infty < t, t_0 < \infty)\}.$$

Then $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is the orbit of (1.10) passing through (α, β) . Finally, for any two pairs (α_1, β_1) and (α_2, β_2) , we define $D(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ as the closed, connected set whose boundary is composed of the two curves $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and $\Gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$.

In the following, K denotes a finite, *a priori* bound; its value may change from line to line. By LAC $[T, \infty)$ we denote the class of functions that are absolutely continuous on every bounded subinterval of $[T, \infty)$.

THEOREM 1. (i) Let a(t) satisfy the conditions (1.2), and let $\alpha(t)$ be as in (1.7). Assume that the hypotheses (1.3) and (1.4) hold. In addition, suppose that

$$|g(x)| \leq K_1(1+G(x)) \quad (|x| < \infty) \quad \text{for some } K_1 < \infty,$$

(1.12)
$$b(t) \in LAC[0, \infty),$$

(1.13) there exists
$$c(t) \in LAC[0, \infty)$$
 such that $b^2(t) \le a(t) c(t)$ ($0 < t < \infty$) and $[b'(t)]^2 < \alpha(t) c'(t)$ a.e. on $0 < t < \infty$.

If x(t) is a solution of (1.1) on $0 \le t < \infty$, then

$$|x(t)| \leq M_0 \quad (0 \leq t < \infty) \quad \text{for some } M_0 < \infty.$$

(ii) In addition, suppose that

(1.15)
$$xg(x) > 0 \quad (x \neq 0)$$

and that either

(1.16)
$$\begin{cases} (a) \ a(0) = a(0+) < \infty, \\ (b) \ f(t) \in LAC[R, \infty) \ for \ some \ R < \infty, \ and \\ \left| f'(t) \right| + \left| b'(t) \right| \le K \ a.e. \ on \ R \le t < \infty, \end{cases}$$

or

(1.17)
$$\begin{cases} (a) \ a(t) \in L_1(0, \infty), \\ (b) \ |f(t)| \le K \quad (0 \le t < \infty). \end{cases}$$

Finally, suppose (1.5) does not hold.

Then there exist a $\delta>0$ and sequences $\left\{\xi_k\right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\left\{\delta_k\right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

(1.18)
$$\xi_1 > \delta, \quad \xi_{k+1} > \xi_k + \delta, \quad \delta_k \geq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

(1.19)
$$a(t) = a(\infty) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \left(1 - \frac{\min\{t, \xi_k\}}{\xi_k} \right).$$

Moreover, if $\Delta = \{k \, \big| \, \, \delta_k > 0 \}$, then

(1.20)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-\xi_k}^t g(x(\tau)) d\tau = 0 \quad (k \in \Delta).$$

(iii) Suppose the hypotheses of parts (i) and (ii) are satisfied; assume further that $f(t) \in LAC[R, \infty)$,

(1.21)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\text{ess sup } \left| f'(\tau) - b'(\tau) \right| \right) = 0,$$

and

(in other words, for each positive number A there exists a number N = N(A) such that $|g(x_1) - g(x_2)| \le N |x_1 - x_2|$ whenever $|x_1| + |x_2| < A$). Let Ω be the limit set (for $t \to \infty$) of the curve x = x(t), y = x'(t). Then

(1.23)
$$\Omega = D(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \alpha_2, \beta_2)$$
 for some pairs (α_1, β_1) and (α_2, β_2) .

Moreover, there exists a positive number L such that

(1.24)
$$\xi_{k} = jL \text{ for some integer } j = j(k) \quad (k \in \Delta)$$

and

(1.25)
$$L = \rho(\alpha, \beta) \text{ with } (\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega, (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0).$$

If in addition

(1.26)
$$|tb(t)| + |t^2a(t)| < K (0 < t < \infty)$$

and

$$(1.27) B_0 = -\int_0^\infty t^2 \alpha(t) dt < \infty,$$

then there exists a pair (α_0, β_0) such that

$$\Omega = \Gamma(\alpha_0, \beta_0);$$

and whenever $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega$ and $0 < K_3 < \infty$, then the relations

(1.29)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\max_{0\leq t\leq K_3} |x^{(j)}(t+nL) - \phi^{(j)}(t, t_n, \alpha, \beta)| \right] = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1)$$

hold for some sequence $\{t_n\}$ $(0 < t_n = t_n(\alpha, \beta) < \rho(\alpha, \beta))$.

As in [6], we may use the estimate (1.14) to prove the existence of a solution x(t) of (1.1) on $0 \le t < \infty$.

As in [6], we may omit condition (1.11) in Theorem 1 if f(t) = b(t) = 0. A. Halanay [1] treated this case of (1.1) under the hypothesis that $a(t) - \varepsilon_0 e^{-\alpha t}$ defines a positive kernel for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Suppose now that a(t) satisfies conditions (1.2) and that a(R) = 0 for some positive number R. Consider the functional differential equation

(1.30)
$$x'(t) = -\frac{1}{R} \int_{t-R}^{t} a(t-\tau)g(x(\tau)) d\tau - b(t) + f(t)$$

with initial data $x(t) = \psi(t)$ (-R $\leq t \leq 0$), where $\psi(t)$ is a prescribed function in C[-R, 0]. Setting

$$f^*(t) = f(t) - \frac{1}{R} \int_{\min\{0, t-R\}}^{0} a(t - \tau)g(\psi(\tau))d\tau,$$

one sees that (1.30) is of the form (1.1), so that Theorem 1 applies. When $f(t) \equiv b(t) \equiv 0$, we can obtain a stronger result (see [5, Theorems 1 and 2]) by applying our method to the energy function introduced by Levin and Nohel in [5] (the method of J. K. Hale [2] will also work).

To prove parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1, we combine Lemma 2 with the method used by Levin in [4] and by Levin and Nohel in [6]. Similarly, one can generalize the other theorems of [6] to the case of convex kernels a(t).

2. LEMMAS

LEMMA 1. Let a(t) satisfy conditions (1.2), and let $\alpha(t)$ be as in (1.7). Then

(i)
$$ta(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad as \quad t \rightarrow 0+$$

(ii)
$$B_1 = -\int_0^1 t \alpha(t) dt < \infty,$$

(iii)
$$B_2(v) = \int_v^{\infty} t d\alpha(t) < \infty \quad (v > 0),$$

(iv)
$$-t^2 \alpha(t) \leq 2 \int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau \leq 2 \int_0^1 a(\tau) d\tau \qquad (0 < t < 1), \quad \text{and}$$

(v)
$$B_3 = \int_0^1 t^2 d\alpha(t) < \infty.$$

Proof. The assertions (i), (ii), and (iii) are easily obtained with the aid of conditions (1.2) and (1.7) and integration by parts. The inequality

$$a(\tau) = a(t) - \int_{\tau}^{t} \alpha(s) ds \ge a(t) - \alpha(t)[t - \tau]$$
 (0 < $\tau \le t < 1$)

implies the relation $\int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau \ge ta(t) - t^2 \alpha(t)/2$, so (iv) holds. By (iv), $t^2 \alpha(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0+$; this, together with (ii) and integration by parts, yields (v). This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $x(t) \in C'[0, \infty)$ and $|x(t)| + |x'(t)| \le K$ $(0 \le t < \infty)$. Let a(t) and g(x) satisfy conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.15), and let $\alpha(t)$ be as in (1.7). Let

$$H(t) = \int_0^t \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(x(s)) ds \right]^2 d\alpha(\tau),$$

and suppose that $\int_0^\infty H(t) dt < \infty$. Then either (1.5) holds, or the conclusions of Theorem 1(ii) hold.

Proof. For $0 \le y_1 < y_2 < \infty$ and $t > y_2$, define

$$S(t; y_1, y_2) = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left[\int_{t-\tau}^{t} g(x(s)) ds \right]^2 d\alpha(\tau).$$

Then

(2.1)
$$0 \le S(t; y_1, y_2) \le H(t) \quad (y_2 < t < \infty).$$

Since |x(t)| is bounded and g(x) is continuous, there exists a finite number M such that $|g(x(t))| \le M$ ($0 \le t < \infty$). Using Lemma 1(iii), we find that

(2.2)
$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} S(t; y_1, y_2) \right| = 2 \left| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(x(s)) ds \right] [g(x(t)) - g(x(t-\tau))] d\alpha(\tau) \right|$$

$$\leq 4M^2 B_2(y_1) \quad (0 < y_1 < y_2 < \infty).$$

From (2.1), (2.2), the mean-value theorem, and the inequality \int_0^∞ H(t) dt $<\infty$, we conclude that

(2.3) S(t;
$$y_1, y_2 \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty \quad (0 < y_1 < y_2 < \infty).$$

Now suppose that (1.5) does not hold. Then there exist a positive constant λ and a sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n\uparrow \infty$ and $|x(t_n)|\geq \lambda.$ The inequality $|x'(t)|\leq K,$ together with conditions (1.3) and (1.15), implies the existence of positive constants δ and η such that $|g(x(t))|\geq \eta$ provided $|t_n-t|<\delta$ for some n.

Now write $\alpha(t) = \beta(t) + \gamma(t)$, where $\beta(t)$ is continuous and $\gamma(t)$ is the saltus function of $\alpha(t)$. Then $\beta(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are nonpositive, nondecreasing functions.

Suppose either $\beta(t)$ is not identically 0 or $\gamma(t)$ has discontinuities at t_1 and t_2 (0 < t_1 < t_2 < $^{\infty}$ and t_2 - t_1 \leq δ). Then there exist positive numbers v_1 and v_2 such that 0 < v_2 - v_1 < 2δ and

(2.4)
$$\rho = \min \left\{ \alpha(\mathbf{v}_2) - \alpha(\mathbf{v}_2 - \varepsilon), \, \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1 + \varepsilon) - \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1) \right\} > 0,$$

where $\epsilon = (v_2 - v_1)/4$. On the other hand, we shall show that $\rho = 0$, contrary to (2.4).

Set $v_0=(v_1+v_2)/2$ and $T_n=t_n+v_0$. Then $\big|T_n-\tau-t_n\big|=\big|v_0-\tau\big|<\delta$ when $v_1\leq\tau\leq v_2$. Thus, for each sufficiently large n, either the inequality $g(x(T_n-\tau))\geq\eta$ $(v_1\leq\tau\leq v_2)$ or the inequality $g(x(T_n-\tau))\leq-\eta$ $(v_1\leq\tau\leq v_2)$ holds. Since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\int_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\tau}^{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}}\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{s}))\mathrm{d}\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\tau)),$$

we have for each sufficiently large n either the inequality

$$\left| \int_{T_{n}-\tau}^{T_{n}} g(x(s)) ds \right| \geq \epsilon \eta \qquad (v_{1} \leq \tau \leq v_{1} + \epsilon)$$

or the inequality

$$\left| \int_{T_n-\tau}^{T_n} g(x(s)) ds \right| \geq \varepsilon \eta \qquad (v_2 - \varepsilon \leq \tau \leq v_2).$$

We conclude that $S(T_n; v_1, v_2) \ge \rho(\epsilon \eta)^2$ for sufficiently large n. Relation (2.3) implies that $\rho = 0$. Thus $\beta \equiv 0$, and

(2.5)
$$\alpha(t) = \gamma(t) = \sum_{t < \xi_k} \gamma_k \quad (0 < t < \infty),$$

where $\gamma_k < 0$, $\sum_k \gamma_k > -\infty$, $0 < \xi_1$, and $\xi_{k+1} > \xi_k + \delta$ (k = 1, 2, ...). Note also that

(2.6)
$$S(t; \xi_1/2, \xi_k) = S(t; 0, \xi_k) = -\sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j \left(\int_{t-\xi_j}^t g(x(s)) ds \right)^2$$
 (k = 1, 2, \cdots).

Setting k = 1 in (2.6) and arguing as above, we obtain the inequality $\xi_1 > \delta$. Using (1.7) and (2.5), we obtain relation (1.19) with $\delta_k = -\xi_k \gamma_k$ (set $\delta_k = 0$ for large k, if there are only finitely many γ_k). An inductive argument, involving relations (2.3) and (2.6) and the fact that $\gamma_j < 0$, yields (1.20). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

(i) For $0 \le t < \infty$, define

$$\begin{split} E(t) &= G(x(t)) + \frac{1}{2} a(t) \left(\int_0^t g(x(s)) ds \right)^2 + b(t) \int_0^t g(x(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} c(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(x(s)) ds \right]^2 \alpha(\tau) d\tau \,, \\ F(t) &= \int_0^t \left| f(\tau) \right| d\tau \,, \quad V(t) = \left[1 + E(t) \right] e^{-K_1 F(t)} \,, \quad \text{and} \\ H(t) &= \int_0^t \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(x(s)) ds \right]^2 d\alpha(\tau) \,. \end{split}$$

From conditions (1.2), (1.7), and (1.13), and from Lemma 1, we see that $0 \le E(t)$, V(t), $H(t) < \infty$. Now define $E_1(t)$ almost everywhere on $0 < t < \infty$ by the expression

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{1}(t) &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t))\mathbf{f}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(s))ds\right)^{2} \\ &+ \mathbf{b}'(t)\int_{0}^{t}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(s))ds + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}'(t) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(t). \end{split}$$

Then (1.1), together with integration by parts (justified where necessary by Lemma 1), yields the relation

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{1}(t) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \mathbf{b}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) \, \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{c}(t) \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \bigg(\int_{t-\tau}^{t} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \bigg)^{2} \alpha(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{a}(t) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \bigg(\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \bigg)^{2} \, . \end{split}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, integration by parts, and Lemma 1, we now find that

(3.1)
$$V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t V_1(\tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$V_1(t) = -K_1 |f(t)| V(t) + E_1(t) e^{-K_1 F(t)}$$

Inequality (1.11) shows that

$$-K_1 |f(t)| [1 + G(x(t))] + g(x(t))f(t) \leq 0,$$

hence conditions (1.2), (1.13), and (1.4) imply the formulas

(3.2)
$$V_1(t) \le -\frac{1}{2} H(t) e^{-K_1 K_0} \le 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

and

$$G(x(t)) \leq \left[\ 1 + G(x(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \ c(0) \ \right] e^{K_1 K_0} \, ;$$

therefore, by (1.3), (1.14) holds. Relations (3.1) and (3.2), together with the inequality $V(t) \ge 0$, yield

$$\int_0^\infty H(t) dt < \infty.$$

(ii) In view of (1.14), (3.3), the hypotheses, and Lemma 2, we need only show that |x'(t)| is bounded on $0 \le t < \infty$.

If (1.16) holds, then $\alpha(t) \in L_1(0, \infty)$. Integration of (1.8), together with (1.16b) and (1.1), shows that $x'(t) - x'(0) = \int_0^t x''(\tau) d\tau$, where $|x''(t)| \leq K$ a.e. on $0 < t < \infty$. Thus $|x'(t_1) - x'(t_2)| \leq K |t_1 - t_2|$; in view of (1.14) and the mean-value theorem, boundedness of |x'(t)| follows.

When (1.17) holds, we note first that (1.12) and (1.13) imply that $|b(t)| \le K$ ($0 \le t < \infty$). Then (1.1) and (1.17) show that x'(t) is bounded, as claimed. This proves (ii).

(iii) We set $M = \sup_{0 \le t < \infty} |g(x(t))|$. Then M is finite. Using (1.19), we can write

$$h(t) = -\int_0^t \alpha(t - \tau)g(x(\tau))d\tau = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\delta_k}{\xi_k} \int_{\max\{0, t - \xi_k\}}^t g(x(\tau))d\tau.$$

It follows that

$$\left|h(t)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\delta_{k}}{\xi_{k}} \left| \int_{t-\xi_{k}}^{t} g(x(\tau)) d\tau \right| + M \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \delta_{k} \quad (t > \xi_{n}).$$

In view of (1.20), we see that $h(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Using (1.21), we may thus write equation (1.8) as a system

$$x'(t) = y(t), y'(t) = -a(0)g(x(t)) + z(t),$$

where ess $\sup_{t \le \tau < \infty} |z(\tau)| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, and where y is absolutely continuous. Assertions (1.23), (1.24), and (1.25) now follow from an argument adapted from [5, pp. 38-41]; we give an outline indicating the modifications.

Using Gronwall's inequality, we can find, for each $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Omega$, sequences $\{t_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$ $(t_n\to\infty,\,T_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty)$ such that

(3.4)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\max_{t_n \leq t \leq t_n + T_n} (|x(t) - \phi(t, t_n, \alpha, \beta)| + |x'(t) - \phi'(t, t_n, \alpha, \beta)|) \right) = 0.$$

An immediate consequence of (3.4) is that $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta) \subset \Omega$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega$, and an argument involving the definition of Ω yields relation (1.23).

Using (3.4), (1.20), (1.3), periodicity of $\phi(t, t_0, \alpha, \beta)$, and the identity $\phi(t+t_0, t_0) = \phi(t, 0)$, we find, for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, that

(3.5)
$$\int_{t-\xi_k}^t g(\phi(\tau, t_0, \alpha, \beta)) d\tau = 0 \quad (k \in \Delta, -\infty < t, t_0 < \infty).$$

Differentiation of (3.5), together with (1.9), yields the relation

$$\phi(t + \xi_k, t_0, \alpha, \beta) = \phi(t, t_0, \alpha, \beta) \qquad (k \in \Delta, -\infty < t, t_0 < \infty);$$

hence $\xi_k = j(k; \alpha, \beta)\rho(\alpha, \beta)$ ((0, 0) \neq (α , β) $\in \Omega$, $k \in \Delta$, j an integer). From (1.23) and the continuity of $\rho(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \neq$ (0, 0), we conclude that $j(k; \alpha, \beta) = j(k)$; thus (1.24) and (1.25) hold.

For the final assertions, we claim first that $t^{-1} \int_0^t g(x(s)) ds$ tends to 0 as $t \to \infty$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and let $k \in \Delta$. Using (1.20), choose t' so large that the inequality $t \ge t'$ implies that

$$\left|\int_{t-\xi_k}^t g(x(s))ds\right| < \epsilon \xi_k/2$$
.

Choose $t"\geq t'$ so that $t"\geq 2M(t'+\xi_k)/\epsilon$. Finally, for t>t", let n=n(t) be the integer satisfying the condition $t'\leq t$ - $n\xi_k< t'+\xi_k$. For such t we then have the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_0^t g(x(s)) ds \right| &\leq \left| \int_{t-n\xi_k}^t g(x(s)) ds \right| + \left| \int_0^{t-n\xi_k} g(x(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq n\epsilon \xi_k / 2 + M(t' + \xi_k) < \epsilon t \,. \end{split}$$

This proves our claim.

From (1.26) it now follows that

(3.6)
$$b(t) \left| \int_0^t g(x(s)) ds \right| + a(t) \left(\int_0^t g(x(s)) ds \right)^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

From (3.1), (3.2), and the fact that $V(t) \ge 0$, we see that the function V(t) decreases to V_0 ($V_0 \ge 0$) as t increases to ∞ . Then, by (1.4),

$$\mathbf{E}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_0 \; \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{K}_1 \, \mathbf{K}_0} \; - \; \mathbf{1} \; = \; \mathbf{E}_0 \qquad (t \rightarrow \infty) \, .$$

From (1.13), we see that c(t) decreases to $c(\infty)$ ($c(\infty) \ge 0$) as t increases to ∞ . Set $D_0 = E_0 - c(\infty)/2$, and define D(t) by the expression

$$D(t) = G(x(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(x(s)) ds \right]^2 \alpha(\tau) d\tau \geq 0.$$

Using (3.6) and the definition of E(t), we find that $D(t) \to D_0 \ge 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Let $\phi_A(t, t_0) = \phi(t, t_0, -A, 0)$ (0 < A < ∞). From the study of (1.10), it is well known that

G(-A) = G(
$$\phi_A(t, t_0)$$
) + $\frac{1}{2a(0)} [\phi'_A(t, t_0)]^2$ (0 < A < ∞ , - ∞ < t, t₀ < ∞).

This, together with (1.25) and the substitution

$$\phi'(t) - \phi'(t - \tau) = -a(0) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} g(\phi(s)) ds$$

shows that

W(t, t₀, A) = G(
$$\phi_A(t, t_0)$$
) + $\frac{a(0)}{2L} \int_0^L \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(\phi_A(s, t_0)) ds \right]^2 d\tau$

=
$$G(-A) + \frac{1}{2a(0)L} \int_{t-L}^{t} [\phi'_{A}(\tau, t_{0})]^{2} d\tau$$
,

if $A \in Q = \{A > 0 | (-A, 0) \in \Omega\}$ and $-\infty < t$, $t_0 < \infty$. As in [5], using (1.25), one can show that on Q the last expression is a strictly increasing function of A alone. Thus, by (1.23), to prove (1.28) we need only show that

(3.7)
$$\inf_{-\infty < t, t_0 < \infty} |W(t, t_0, A) - D_0| = 0 \quad (A \in Q).$$

Note that inequality (1.26) implies that $a(\infty) = 0$. Using relations (1.19), (1.24), and (1.25), we compute the identities

$$\begin{split} \frac{a(0)}{2L} \int_0^L \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(\phi_A(s, t_0)) \, ds \right]^2 d\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha(kL-) \int_{(k-1)L}^{kL} \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(\phi_A(s, t_0)) \, ds \right]^2 d\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(\tau) \left[\int_{t-\tau}^t g(\phi_A(s, t_0)) \, ds \right]^2 d\tau \quad (A \in \mathbb{Q}, -\infty < t, t_0 < \infty). \end{split}$$

Fix A \in Q. For $\{t_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$ as in (3.4), and for $(\alpha,\,\beta)$ = (-A, 0), set σ_n = t_n+T_n . Then

$$\begin{split} \left| \left| W(\sigma_n,\,t_n,\,A) - D_0 \right| &\leq \left| W(\sigma_n,\,t_n,\,A) - D(\sigma_n) \right| + \left| D(\sigma_n) - D_0 \right| \\ &\leq \left| G(\phi_A(\sigma_n,\,t_n)) - G(x(\sigma_n)) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^{T_n} \alpha(\tau) \left\{ \left[\int_{\sigma_n - \tau}^{\sigma_n} g(\phi_A(s,\,t_n)) \, \mathrm{d}s \right]^2 - \left[\int_{\sigma_n - \tau}^{\sigma_n} g(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \right]^2 \right\} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_n}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau) \left[\int_{\sigma_n - \tau}^{\sigma_n} g(\phi_A(s,\,t_n)) \, \mathrm{d}s \right]^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_n}^{\sigma_n} \alpha(\tau) \left[\int_{\sigma_n - \tau}^{\sigma_n} g(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \right]^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_n}^{\sigma_n} \alpha(\tau) \left[\int_{\sigma_n - \tau}^{\sigma_n} g(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \right]^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t_n \leq t \leq \sigma_n} \left| G(\phi_A(t,\,t_n)) - G(x(t)) \right| + \epsilon_n \, \text{NMB}_0 \end{split}$$

+
$$M^2 \int_{T_n}^{\infty} \tau^2 |\alpha(\tau)| d\tau + \max_{t \geq t_n} |D(t) - D_0|$$
,

where $\varepsilon_n = \max_{t_n \leq t \leq \sigma_n} |\phi_A(t, t_n) - x(t)|$, B_0 is from (1.27), and N is a local Lipschitz constant for g(x). By (1.27) and (3.4), we have (3.7), and therefore (1.28) holds.

As in [5], relation (1.29) follows from (1.28) by means of an argument similar to the proof of (3.4).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Halanay, On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of an integro-differential equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 10 (1965), 319-324.
- 2. J. K. Hale, Sufficient conditions for stability and instability of autonomous functional-differential equations. J. Differential Equations 1 (1965), 452-482.
- 3. K. B. Hannsgen, *Indirect abelian theorems and a linear Volterra equation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 4. J. J. Levin, The asymptotic behavior of the solution of a Volterra equation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 534-541.
- 5. J. J. Levin and J. A. Nohel, On a nonlinear delay equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 8 (1964), 31-44.
- 6. ——, Perturbations of a nonlinear Volterra equation. Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965), 431-447.
- 7. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Vol. II. Frederick Ungar Publ. Co., New York, 1960.

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024