

# COMPLETELY REDUCIBLE ACTIONS OF CONNECTED ALGEBRAIC GROUPS ON FINITE-DIMENSIONAL ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

BY

JOHN BRENDAN SULLIVAN<sup>1</sup>

## Introduction

Let  $G$  be a group which acts completely reducibly by algebra automorphisms on a finite-dimensional associative  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , which is separable modulo its radical.

When the characteristic of  $K$  is zero, Mostow showed in [4], using the representation theory of reductive algebraic groups, that there is a  $G$ -invariant separable subalgebra of  $A$  complementary to the radical (a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor).

Taft in [5] conjectured that there is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor when characteristic  $K$  is  $p \neq 0$ .

In this paper, we verify the conjecture when  $K$  is perfect and the image of  $G$  in the algebraic group of algebra automorphisms of  $A \otimes_K \bar{K}$  has connected closure [see Theorem 1].

Relevant facts about separable algebras may be found in [1, Section 72], and about algebraic groups in [3].

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional associative algebra over a field  $K$ , with radical  $R$ . Suppose that  $A/R$  is a separable algebra and that  $S$  is a separable subalgebra of  $A$  complementary to  $R$ .  $S$  will be called a Wedderburn factor of  $A$ . Let  $p: A \rightarrow R$  be the projection of the sum  $A = S \oplus R$  onto the factor  $R$ ; let  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/R$  be the quotient map.

Let  $G$  be a group which acts completely reducibly on  $A$  by algebra automorphisms. Write  $gb$  for the image of  $b \in A$  under  $g \in G$ .

All mappings are  $K$ -linear.

## Section 1

Throughout this section,  $R^2 = (0)$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $A$  complementary to  $R$ , and let  $h: A \rightarrow R$  be the projection of the sum  $A = V \oplus R$  onto the factor  $R$ . Let  $f = h|S: S \rightarrow R$ .

We introduce a second action  $(*)$  of  $G$  on  $A$  which stabilizes  $S$ . The two actions coincide if and only if  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant under the original action.

---

Received January 16, 1975.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by a National Science Foundation grant.

DEFINITION 1. For  $g \in G$ , let

$$g * b = \begin{cases} gb & \text{if } b \in R \\ gb - p(gb) & \text{if } b \in S. \end{cases}$$

When a subspace  $W$  of  $A$  is invariant under the  $(*)$ -action of  $G$ , we will say that  $W$  is  $G_*$ -invariant.

LEMMA 1. Under  $(*)$ ,  $G$  acts completely reducibly on  $A$  by algebra automorphisms.

*Proof.* Section 4.1.

Lemmas 2–4 and Proposition 1 below describe some properties of  $f$  relative to the two actions of  $G$  on  $A$ . Let  $\text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)$  be the  $G$ -module homomorphisms relative to the  $(*)$ -action.

LEMMA 2. For  $a \in S$ ,  $ga - g * a = g * f(a) - f(g * a)$ .

*Proof.* Section 4.2.

Thus,  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if  $f \in \text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)$ .

The following Hochschild cohomology sequence will be convenient for our purposes.

DEFINITION 2.

$$R \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \text{Hom}(S, R) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \text{Hom}(S \otimes S, R)$$

is the exact [2, Theorem 4.1] sequence such that:

- (a) For  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $\delta_1 r(s) = sr - rs$ .
- (b) For  $f \in \text{Hom}(S, R)$  and  $s, s' \in S$ ,

$$\delta_2 f(s \otimes s') = sf(s') + f(s)s' - f(ss').$$

The kernel of  $\delta_2$  is the space  $\text{Der}(S, R)$  of derivations in  $\text{Hom}(S, R)$ ; since the sequence is exact,  $\delta_1 R = \text{Der}(S, R)$ , i.e., every derivation is inner.

Let  $G$  act on  $S \otimes S$  by the diagonal  $*$ -action:

$$g * (s \otimes s') = g * s \otimes g * s'.$$

For  $N = S$  or  $S \otimes S$ , let  $G$  act on  $\text{Hom}(N, R)$  by

$$(gf)(n) = g * (f(g^{-1} * n)) \quad \text{for } f \in \text{Hom}(N, R) \text{ and } n \in N.$$

$\text{Hom}_{G_*}(N, R)$  is then the space of  $G$ -fixed elements in  $\text{Hom}(N, R)$ ; furthermore, a straightforward verification shows that  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are  $G$ -module morphisms.

Since  $R^2 = (0)$ ,  $\{(1 + r)S(1 - r) \mid r \in R\}$  is the set of Wedderburn factors in  $A$ . In what follows,  $f = h \mid S$ .

LEMMA 3.  $(1 + r)S(1 - r)$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if  $f - \delta_1 r$  is in  $\text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)$ .

*Proof.* Section 4.3.

As a consequence of Lemma 3, we have the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *There is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor in  $A$  if and only if  $f$  is in  $\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R) + \delta_1 R$ .*

**LEMMA 4.**  $\delta_2 f \in \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R)$ .

*Proof.* Section 4.4.

**PROPOSITION 2.** (a) *The condition*

$$\delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)) = \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2 (\text{Hom}(S, R))$$

*is sufficient for the existence of a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor.*

(b) *Let  $F$  be a field extension of  $K$ .*

$$\delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)) = \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2 (\text{Hom}(S, R))$$

*if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes F, R \otimes F)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes F \otimes_F S \otimes F, R \otimes F) \cap \delta_2 (\text{Hom}(S \otimes F, R \otimes F)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (a) From the condition and Lemma 4, we have

$$\delta_2 f \in \delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)),$$

i.e., there exists  $f_1 \in \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)$  such that  $f - f_1 \in \text{Ker } \delta_2 = \delta_1 R$ .

(b) This is a straightforward verification which we omit.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If there is a  $G$ -invariant complement  $M$  to  $\text{Der}(S, R)$  in  $\text{Hom}(S, R)$ , then*

$$\delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)) = \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2 (\text{Hom}(S, R)).$$

*Proof.* The proof is group-theoretical. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_2 (\text{Hom}(S, R)) \cap \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R) \\ &= \delta_2 (M) \cap \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S \otimes S, R) \\ &= \delta_2 (M \cap \text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)) \quad \text{since } \delta_2 \mid M \text{ is an injective } G\text{-module morphism,} \\ &= \delta_2 (\text{Hom}_{G^*}(S, R)). \end{aligned}$$

## Section 2

We give circumstances under which the hypothesis of Proposition 3 holds.

2.1. Let  $R^2 = (0)$ . Let  $K$  be a perfect field,  $\bar{K}$  the algebraic closure of  $K$ , and  $\bar{A}$  the  $\bar{K}$ -algebra  $A \otimes \bar{K}$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  be the algebraic group of  $\bar{K}$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\bar{A}$ .

Let  $t_*: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  be the group homomorphism determined by the  $(*)$ -action of  $G$  on  $\bar{A}$ , and  $\overline{t_*(G)}$  the closure of  $t_*(G)$  in  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A})$ .

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $\overline{t_*(G)}$  is connected, then there is a  $G$ -invariant complement to  $\text{Der}(S, R)$  in  $\text{Hom}(S, R)$ .*

*Proof.* Section 4.5.

2.2. Let  $n$  be the index of nilpotency of  $R$ . Let  $t: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  be the group homomorphism determined by the original action of  $G$  on  $A$ .

THEOREM 1.  *$K$  a perfect field.*

*If  $\overline{t(G)}$  is a connected subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A})$ , then there is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor in  $A$ .*

*In particular, if  $G$  is a connected algebraic group which acts rationally on  $A$ , then there is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor in  $A$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . Denote  $\overline{t(G)}$  by  $H$ .

By [3, Proposition 1.4], since  $K$  is perfect and  $G$  acts completely reducibly on  $A$ ,  $G$  acts completely reducibly on  $\bar{A}$ . Since  $G$  and  $H$  stabilize the same subspaces of  $\bar{A}$ ,  $H$  acts completely reducibly on  $\bar{A}$ .

Let  $j: \text{Aut}(\bar{A}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{A}/\bar{R}^2)$  be the natural morphism of algebraic groups.  $j$  induces a completely reducible rational action of  $H$  on  $\bar{A}/\bar{R}^2$ . The  $(*)$ -action of  $H$  on  $\bar{A}/\bar{R}^2$  is also rational, since  $\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2$ , as an  $H_*$ -module, is canonically isomorphic to the rational  $H$ -module  $\bar{A}/\bar{R}$ . Thus the natural map  $t_*: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{A}/\bar{R}^2)$  is a morphism of algebraic groups, and hence  $t_*(H)$  is a connected algebraic subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A}/\bar{R}^2)$ . By Proposition 4, there is an  $H$ -invariant (hence  $G$ -invariant) complement to  $\text{Der}(\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2, \bar{R}/\bar{R}^2)$  in  $\text{Hom}(\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2, \bar{R}/\bar{R}^2)$ ; therefore by Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(\text{Hom}_{G_*}(\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2, \bar{R}/\bar{R}^2)) \\ = \text{Hom}_{G_*}(\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2 \otimes \bar{S}/\bar{R}^2, \bar{R}/\bar{R}^2) \cap \delta_2(\text{Hom}(\bar{S}/\bar{R}^2, \bar{R}/\bar{R}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Proposition 2(b), (a), there is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor  $T$  in  $A/R^2$ .

Let  $p: A \rightarrow A/R^2$  be the quotient  $G$ -module morphism.  $p^{-1}(T)$  is a  $G$ -invariant subalgebra of  $A$  with radical  $R^2$ , which has index of nilpotency less than  $n$ . The action of  $H$  on  $\overline{p^{-1}(T)}$  is completely reducible and the image of  $H$  in  $\text{Aut}(\overline{p^{-1}(T)})$  is connected since  $H$  is connected. Therefore, by induction, there is an  $H$ -invariant (hence  $G$ -invariant) Wedderburn factor  $S$  in  $p^{-1}(T)$ .  $S$  is also a Wedderburn factor in  $A$ .

### Section 3

Here more information is given on the significance of the condition of Proposition 2(a) with regard to the existence of  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factors.

Let  $R^2 = (0)$ . Let  $(*)$  be any completely reducible action of  $G$  on  $A$  which stabilizes  $S$ . A completely reducible action of  $G$  on  $A$  is called a twisting of  $(*)$  if the action induces  $(*)$  according to Definition 1.

PROPOSITION 5. *There is a  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factor for each twisting of  $(*)$  if and only if*

$$\delta_2(\text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)) = \text{Hom}_{G_*}(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2(\text{Hom}(S, R)).$$

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  Proposition 2(a).

$\Rightarrow$  Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(S, R)$  have the property  $\delta_2 f \in \text{Hom}_{G_*}(S \otimes S, R)$ . The following action is a twisting of  $(*)$ :

$$gb = g * b \quad \text{if } b \in R,$$

$$gb = g * b + g * f(b) - f(g * b) \quad \text{if } b \in S.$$

By the hypothesis, there is a  $G$ -invariant (relative to the twisted action) Wedderburn factor  $(1 + r)S(1 - r)$ . As in the proof (Section 4.3) of Lemma 3, one can compute that  $f - \delta_1 r \in \text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)$ . Hence,

$$\text{Hom}_{G_*}(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2(\text{Hom}(S, R)) \subset \delta_2(\text{Hom}_{G_*}(S, R)).$$

The other inclusion holds since  $\delta_2$  is a  $G$ -module morphism.

Using an induction on the index of nilpotency of  $R$  and Proposition 5, we have:

COROLLARY. *Let  $G$  be a group. There are  $G$ -invariant Wedderburn factors for all algebras and all completely reducible actions of  $G$  if and only if*

$$\delta_2(\text{Hom}_G(S, R)) = \text{Hom}_G(S \otimes S, R) \cap \delta_2(\text{Hom}(S, R))$$

*holds for all algebras with radical of square zero and completely reducible actions of  $G$  which stabilize a Wedderburn factor  $S$ .*

4.1. *Proof of Lemma 1.* We have:

(a) Via  $\pi | S$ ,  $S$  under  $(*)$  is  $G$ -(and algebra) isomorphic to  $A/R$  under the original action.

(b) The two actions agree on  $R$ .

Since  $G$  acts completely reducibly on  $A$ ,  $G$  acts completely reducibly on  $A/R$ . Therefore, by (a) and (b)  $G$  acts (via  $(*)$ ) completely reducibly on  $S$  and  $R$ , and so on  $A$ .

The  $(*)$ -action is by algebra automorphisms: let  $a, a' \in S$ ;  $b, b' \in R$ ; and  $g \in G$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g * ((a + b)(a' + b')) \\
 &= g * (aa' + ab' + ba') \quad \text{since } R^2 = (0), \\
 &= g * (aa') + g(ab') + g(ba') \\
 &= (g * a)(g * a') + (ga)(gb') + (gb)(ga') \quad \text{by (a) above,} \\
 &= (g * a)(g * a') + (g * a)(g * b') + (g * b)(g * a') \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{from the definition of } * \text{ and the fact that } R^2 = (0), \\
 &= (g * (a + b))(g * (a' + b')).
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2. Proof of Lemma 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g * f(a) - f(g * a) \\
 &= g * f(a) - h(g * a - ga + ga) \\
 &= g * f(a) - h(-p(ga) + ga) \\
 &= g * f(a) + p(ga) - g(h(a)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{since } h \mid R = \text{id} \text{ and } h \text{ is a } G\text{-module morphism,} \\
 &= p(ga) \quad \text{since } f(a) = h(a) \in R \\
 &= ga - g * a.
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.3. Proof of Lemma 3. Let $s \in S$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((1 + r)s(1 - r)) &= gs + (gr)(gs) - (gs)(gr) \\
 &= g * s + (gs - g * s) + (gr)(gs) - (gs)(gr).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the  $S$  and  $R$  components, we have that

$$g((1 + r)s(1 - r)) \in (1 + r)S(1 - r)$$

if and only if

$$(gs - g * s) + (gr)(gs) - (gs)(gr) = r(g * s) - (g * s)r$$

if and only if

$$g * f(s) - f(g * s) + (gr)(gs) - (gs)(gr) = \delta_1 r(g * s) \quad \text{by Lemma 2}$$

if and only if

$$(f - \delta_1 r)(g * s) = g * ((f - \delta_1 r)(s)).$$

4.4. Proof of Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g * f(ss') - f(g * (ss')) \\
 &= (gs)(gs') - (g * s)(g * s') \quad \text{by Lemmas 2 and 1,} \\
 &= (g * s + g * f(s) - f(g * s))(g * s' + g * f(s') - f(g * s')) - (g * s)(g * s') \\
 &\hspace{20em} \text{by Lemma 2,} \\
 &= g * (f(s)s') - (g * s)f(g * s') + g * (sf(s')) - f(g * s)(g * s').
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g * (\delta_2 f(s \otimes s')) = \delta_2 f(g * (s \otimes s'))$ .

4.5. Proof of Proposition 4. Since  $S$  is  $G_*$ -invariant,  $S$  is  $\overline{t_*(G)}$ -invariant.  $\overline{t_*(G)}$  permutes the simple components of  $S$ , and the isotropy subgroup of a component has finite index in  $\overline{t_*(G)}$ . Since  $\overline{t_*(G)}$  is connected, each isotropy subgroup is  $\overline{t_*(G)}$ , i.e., each simple component of  $S$  is  $\overline{t_*(G)}$  (hence  $G_*$ )-invariant.

$S$  is the direct sum of full matrix algebras  $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , since  $K$  is algebraically closed. Let  $e_i$  be the identity element of  $M_i$ ;  $1 \in S$  is the orthogonal direct sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i$  and each  $e_i$  is  $G_*$ -fixed.

$R$  has the  $G_*$ -invariant decomposition  $\sum e_i Re_j$ , where each  $e_i Re_j$  is an  $S$ -bimodule. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(S, R)$  has the two  $G$ -invariant decompositions  $\sum \text{Hom}(S, e_i Re_j)$  and

$$\sum_i \text{Hom}(M_i, e_i Re_i) \oplus \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Hom}(M_i \oplus M_j, e_i Re_j) \oplus \sum_{k \neq i, j} \text{Hom}(M_k, e_i Re_j).$$

The derivations, which are all inner, have the  $G$ -invariant decomposition

$$\text{Der}(S, R) = \sum_i \text{Der}(M_i, e_i Re_i) \oplus \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Der}(M_i \oplus M_j, e_i Re_j),$$

since  $\text{Der}(M_k, e_i Re_j) = 0$  for  $k \neq i, j$  by the orthogonality of  $\{e_k\}$ .

To prove the proposition we show that there are  $G$ -invariant complements to

- (1)  $\text{Der}(M_i \oplus M_j, e_i Re_j)$  in  $\text{Hom}(M_i \oplus M_j, e_i Re_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Der}(M_i, e_i Re_i)$  in  $\text{Hom}(M_i, e_i Re_i)$ .

Let  $M_i = M$  and  $e_i Re_j = T$ .

LEMMA A.  $f_1: M \otimes T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, T)$ , defined by  $f_1(m \otimes t)(n) = mnt$  for  $m, n \in M, t \in T$ , is an isomorphism of  $G$ -modules.

Here  $M \otimes T$  has the diagonal  $G_*$ -module structure.

Proof. Let  $m \times m$  be the size of  $M$ . Let  $T = \sum_k V_k$  be a decomposition of  $T$  into simple left  $M$ -modules. Since  $V_k$  is isomorphic to  $K^m$  as  $M$ -modules, it will suffice to show

$$M \otimes K^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(M, K^n).$$

This is readily checked by linear algebra.

Similarly,  $f_2: T \otimes M_j \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_j, T)$ , defined by  $f_2(t \otimes m)(n) = tnm$ , is a  $G$ -module isomorphism.

For (1) above, it follows from the lemma that

$$M_i \otimes T \oplus T \otimes M_j \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(M_i \oplus M_j, T).$$

Under this isomorphism,  $\text{Der}(M_i \oplus M_j, T)$  and  $\{(e_i \otimes r, -r \otimes e_j) \mid r \in T\}$  correspond.

Let  $W$  be a  $G_*$ -invariant complement to  $K \cdot e_j$  in  $M_j$ . Then,  $M_i \otimes T \oplus T \otimes W$  is a  $G_*$ -invariant complement to

$$\{(e_i \otimes r, -r \otimes e_j) \mid r \in R\}$$

in  $M_i \otimes T \oplus T \otimes M_j$ . This completes the proof of (1).

(2) Let  $M = M_i$  and  $T = e_i R e_i$ . Let  $M^\circ$  be the algebra opposite to  $M$ .

$M \otimes M^\circ$  is a full matrix algebra and  $T$  is a left- $M \otimes M^\circ$ -module, where  $(N \otimes N')r = NrN'$  for  $N \in M$ ,  $N' \in M^\circ$  and  $r \in R$ . Let  $T = \sum_k V_k$  be the decomposition of  $T$  into simple  $M \otimes M^\circ$ -modules. Each  $V_k$  is isomorphic to  $M$  with the natural  $M \otimes M^\circ$ -module structure. Therefore, we may identify each  $V_k$  with a copy  $M^{(k)}$  of  $M$ .

Let  $W$  be a  $G_*$ -invariant complement to  $Ke_i$  in  $M$ , and let  $W^{(k)}$  be the copy of  $W$  in  $M^{(k)}$ . Let  $e (= e_i)$  be the neutral element of  $M$  and  $e^{(k)}$  that of  $M^{(k)}$ .

LEMMA B.  $\sum W^{(k)} \subset T$  is a  $G_*$ -invariant complement to  $\sum Ke^{(k)}$  in  $T$ .

*Proof.* For  $g \in G$ , let  $t$  be the automorphism of  $M \oplus T$  given by the  $(*)$ -action of  $G$  on  $A$ . Let  $u = t \mid M$ . By the Skolem-Noether theorem,  $u$  is conjugation by some invertible element  $B$  of  $M$ . Extend  $u$  to an automorphism  $\bar{u}$  of  $M \oplus T$  by:  $\bar{u} \mid M^{(k)} =$  conjugation by  $B$ .

$t \circ \bar{u}: \sum M^{(k)} \rightarrow \sum M^{(k)}$  is readily checked to be an  $M \otimes M^\circ$ -module automorphism of  $T$ . Therefore,  $t \circ \bar{u}$  is described by a matrix  $(N_{ij})$  where  $N_{ij}: M^{(i)} \rightarrow M^{(j)}$  is an  $M \otimes M^\circ$ -module morphism. Therefore, by linear algebra,  $N_{ij}$  is a scalar multiplication. Hence,  $t \circ \bar{u}$  leaves  $\sum W^{(k)}$  invariant.

Since  $\bar{u}$  leaves  $W$ -invariant,  $\bar{u}$  leaves  $\sum W^{(k)}$  invariant. Therefore,  $t = t \circ \bar{u} \circ \bar{u}$  leaves  $\sum W^{(k)}$  invariant. This completes the proof of Lemma B.

By Lemma A,  $f_1: M \otimes T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, T)$  is a  $G$ -module isomorphism. Under  $f_1$ ,  $\text{Der}(M, T)$  and

$$\left\{ e \otimes \sum N^{(k)} - \sum_k (N_k \otimes e^{(k)}) \mid N_k \in W; N^{(k)} \text{ the copy of } N_k \text{ in } W^{(k)} \right\}$$

correspond.

A  $G_*$ -invariant complement to the latter space in  $M \otimes T$  is

$$W \otimes T \oplus \left( K \cdot e \otimes \sum_k K \cdot e^{(k)} \right).$$

This completes the proof of (2), and of Proposition 4.

## REFERENCES

1. C. CURTIS AND I. REINER, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
2. G. HOCHSCHILD, *On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra*, Ann. of Math., vol. 46 (1945), pp. 58–67.
3. ———, *Introduction to affine algebraic groups*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1971.
4. G. D. MOSTOW, *Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 78 (1966), pp. 200–221.
5. EARL J. TAFT, *On Certain  $d$ -groups of algebra automorphisms and antiautomorphisms*, J. of Algebra, vol. 3 (1966), pp. 115–121.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON