

INDECOMPOSABLE REPRESENTATIONS

BY

A. HELLER AND I. REINER¹

1. Introduction

Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field K . By a Λ -module we shall mean always a finitely generated left Λ -module on which the unity element of Λ acts as identity operator. It is well known that the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for Λ -modules: each module is a direct sum of indecomposable Λ -modules, and these summands are uniquely determined up to order of occurrence and Λ -isomorphism. Thus the problem of classifying Λ -modules is reduced to that of finding the isomorphism classes of indecomposable Λ -modules. We denote the set of these by $M(\Lambda)$.

A central problem in the theory of group representations is that of determining a set of representatives of $M(\Lambda)$ for the special case where $\Lambda = KG$, the group algebra of a finite group G over the field K . A definitive answer can be given when the characteristic of K does not divide the group order $[G:1]$; in this case KG is semisimple, all indecomposable modules over KG are irreducible, and a full set of non-isomorphic minimal left ideals of KG constitute a set of representatives of $M(KG)$. For the case where the characteristic of K is p ($p \neq 0$), Higman [6] has proved the following remarkable result: *$M(KG)$ is finite if and only if the p -Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic.* If such is the case, Higman obtained an upper bound on the number of elements of $M(KG)$. A best possible upper bound was later obtained by Kasch, Kupisch, and Kneser [5].

We shall attempt to elucidate Higman's theorem by considering in detail the special case where G is an abelian p -group, and K a field of characteristic p . We shall exhibit some new classes of indecomposable modules. However we shall show that the problem of computing $M(KG)$, in case G is not cyclic, is at least as difficult as a classical unsolved problem in matrix theory.

It should be pointed out that the question of determining all representations of a p -group in a field of characteristic p has been extensively treated by Brahana [1, 2, 3] from a somewhat different viewpoint. There is consequently a certain amount of overlapping between his results and ours, but we have thought it best to make this paper completely self-contained.

2. C-algebras

Inasmuch as we shall need to consider, together with modules over an algebra Λ , also modules over sub- and quotient-algebras of Λ , we cannot re-

Received June 10, 1960.

¹ Research of the first author was supported in part by the A. P. Sloan Foundation. Research of the second author was supported in part by a research contract with the Office of Naval Research.

strict our attention only to group algebras. Instead we shall work with a special type of commutative completely primary algebras.

DEFINITION. A *C-algebra* Λ over a field K of arbitrary characteristic is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over K with a unity element, such that

$$\Lambda/R(\Lambda) \cong K,$$

where $R(\Lambda)$ denotes the radical of Λ . Any quotient algebra of a C-algebra is easily seen to be a C-algebra. Likewise any subalgebra Λ' of a C-algebra Λ , which contains the unity element of Λ , is a C-algebra.

We may describe a C-algebra Λ explicitly as follows. Let

$$u_1, \dots, u_n \in R(\Lambda)$$

map onto a K -basis of $R(\Lambda)/R(\Lambda)^2$. From the nilpotency of $R(\Lambda)$ it follows readily that

$$(1) \quad \Lambda = K[u_1, \dots, u_n],$$

though of course there are polynomial relations connecting the $\{u_i\}$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be indeterminates over K , and define a K -homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \phi: K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \Lambda$$

by means of

$$(3) \quad \phi(1) = 1, \quad \phi(x_i) = u_i, \quad \dots, \quad \phi(x_n) = u_n.$$

Then ϕ is an algebra epimorphism; its kernel J has the property that

$$(4) \quad \sqrt{J} = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where as usual

$$\sqrt{J} = \{F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] : F^r \in J \text{ for some } r\},$$

and where (x_1, \dots, x_n) denotes the ideal generated by the $\{x_i\}$. We have

$$(5) \quad K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/J \cong \Lambda.$$

Conversely if J is an ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which (4) holds, then equation (5) defines a C-algebra Λ . The integer n given by

$$n = \dim_K R(\Lambda)/R(\Lambda)^2$$

we shall call the *rank* of Λ .

In particular let G be an abelian p -group, and write

$$G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n,$$

where for each i , G_i is cyclic generated by an element g_i of order $r_i = p^{\alpha_i}$. Let K be any field of characteristic p . Then the K -homomorphism

$$\phi: K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow KG$$

defined by

$$\phi(1) = 1, \quad \phi(x_1) = g_1 - 1, \quad \dots, \quad \phi(x_n) = g_n - 1,$$

is an algebra epimorphism with kernel

$$J = (x_1^{r_1}, \dots, x_n^{r_n}).$$

Thus KG is a C-algebra of rank n .

3. Quotient algebras; the height of a module

Let Λ be a finite-dimensional K -algebra, and let $\Lambda' = \Lambda/W$ be a quotient algebra of Λ , where W is a two-sided ideal in Λ . Then each Λ' -module M may be made into a Λ -module by defining

$$\lambda \cdot m = (\lambda + W)m, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, \quad m \in M.$$

The Λ -modules obtained in this way are precisely those which are annihilated by W .

Moreover if a Λ -module is annihilated by W , then so is each sub- or quotient-module. In particular the direct sum of two Λ -modules is annihilated by W if and only if each summand is. Thus a Λ' -module is indecomposable if and only if it is indecomposable when considered as a Λ -module. This immediately implies the following result.

PROPOSITION 1. *If Λ' is a quotient algebra of Λ , then $M(\Lambda')$ may be canonically identified with a subset of $M(\Lambda)$.*

Now suppose that $R = R(\Lambda)$ is the radical of Λ ; then for some integer m , $R^m = 0$. Thus for any Λ -module A there is a smallest integer h such that $R^h A = 0$. We call this h the *height* of A , and clearly $h \leq m$.

Thus a module is of height $\leq h$ if and only if it is annihilated by R^h , and so by Proposition 1 we may identify $M(\Lambda/R^h)$ with the subset of $M(\Lambda)$ consisting of the isomorphism classes of Λ -modules of height $\leq h$.

If A is of height h , we have the upper Loewy series

$$A \supset RA \supset \dots \supset R^{h-1}A \supset R^h A = 0,$$

and all inclusions are proper. On the other hand R annihilates each quotient of two successive terms, and so each quotient is semisimple. This establishes

PROPOSITION 2. *A Λ -module of height h is an $(h - 1)$ -fold successive extension of semisimple modules. In particular a module of height 1 is semisimple, while a module of height 2 is an extension of one semisimple module by another.*

4. Height two modules over C-algebras

Let Λ be a C-algebra over K , and let R be its radical. Then $\Lambda/R \cong K$ shows that a semisimple Λ -module is just a vector space over K , so that $M(\Lambda/R)$ has just one element, namely, the class containing K .

As we have seen, the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable Λ -modules of height ≤ 2 may be identified with $M(\Lambda/R^2)$. But Λ/R^2 depends only upon the rank of Λ , since we have

PROPOSITION 3. *Set $\Delta_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2$, where the $\{x_i\}$ are indeterminates over K . If Λ is any C -algebra over K of rank n , then*

$$\Lambda/R^2 \cong \Delta_n.$$

Proof. Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in R$ map onto a K -basis of R/R^2 . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote its image in Λ/R^2 . Then we have at once

$$\Lambda/R^2 = K\bar{1} \oplus K\bar{u}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K\bar{u}_n.$$

On the other hand let $x \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ map onto $\tilde{x} \in \Delta_n$. Then

$$(6) \quad \Delta_n = K\bar{1} \oplus K\tilde{x}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K\tilde{x}_n.$$

The map $\bar{1} \rightarrow \bar{1}, \bar{u}_i \rightarrow \tilde{x}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) thus gives the desired isomorphism.

COROLLARY. *The set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable Λ -modules of height ≤ 2 may be identified with $M(\Delta_n)$, where $n = \text{rank of } \Lambda$.*

We remark that (6) determines the structure of Δ_n , since $\bar{1}$ is its unity element, and $\tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j = 0$ for all i, j . Set

$$S = K\tilde{x}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K\tilde{x}_n = \text{radical of } \Delta_n.$$

If A is any Δ_n -module, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow SA \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/SA \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Both SA and A/SA are annihilated by S , hence are semisimple Δ_n -modules, that is, they are vector spaces over K which are annihilated by S , and upon which K acts by scalar multiplication. For each i we define a K -homomorphism

$$\zeta_i: A/SA \rightarrow SA$$

by means of

$$a + SA \rightarrow \tilde{x}_i a, \quad a \in A.$$

Then A is Δ_n -isomorphic to the space

$$A/SA \oplus SA,$$

the action on Δ_n on this space being given by

$$(7) \quad \tilde{x}_i(a + SA, b) = (0, \zeta_i a), \quad a \in A, b \in SA, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We have thus shown that to each module A there corresponds a pair of vector spaces A/SA and SA , and an n -tuple of homomorphisms of the first space into the second. This pair of spaces, and the set of homomorphisms, completely determines A up to isomorphism.

Conversely let V, W be any pair of K -spaces, and let

$$\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \text{Hom}_K(V, W)$$

be arbitrary. Define the action of Δ_n on $V \oplus W$ by letting K act by scalar multiplication, and using (7) to define the action of S . Then $V \oplus W$ becomes a Δ_n -module which we denote by

$$[V, W; \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n],$$

and it is clear that the preceding construction associates with this module precisely the spaces V and W , and the homomorphisms ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n .

Clearly $[V, W; \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n] \cong [V', W'; \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_n]$ if and only if there exist K -isomorphisms

$$\theta: V \cong V', \quad \eta: W \cong W'$$

such that

$$\zeta'_i \theta = \eta \zeta_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We note further that the direct sum of the modules $[V, W; \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n]$ and $[V', W'; \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_n]$ is just

$$[V \oplus V', W \oplus W'; \zeta_1 \oplus \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta_n \oplus \zeta'_n].$$

We have thus introduced the concepts of isomorphism and decomposability for arrays $[V, W; \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n]$, and have proved

PROPOSITION 4. *The elements of $M(\Delta_n)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set $S(n)$ of isomorphism classes of indecomposable arrays.*

(We have in fact constructed functors which connect the category of Δ_n -modules with that of arrays, and which provide a weak equivalence of these categories.)

The problem of determining a complete set of non-isomorphic indecomposable arrays is a classical problem of matrix theory, namely that of equivalence of matrix n -tuples. (In matrix terminology, we seek a complete set of non-equivalent indecomposable n -tuples of matrices, where "equivalence" is given by

$$(T_1, \dots, T_n) \sim (PT_1 Q, \dots, PT_n Q),$$

P and Q nonsingular.) The problem has been solved for $n \leq 2$ (see [4], [7]), and is unsolved for $n > 2$. We shall use the solution for the case $n = 2$ to compute $M(\Delta_2)$, and hence to give a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable Λ -modules of height ≤ 2 .

Since we are dealing with a C -algebra Λ of rank 2, we may write $\Lambda = K[u_1, u_2]$, where u_1 and $u_2 \in R(\Lambda)$ are such that their images form a K -basis for $R(\Lambda)/R(\Lambda)^2$. Then we have

PROPOSITION 5. *Up to isomorphism, there is only one indecomposable Λ -module of height 1, namely the space K on which K acts by scalar multiplication, and which is annihilated by u_1 and u_2 . There are infinitely many non-isomorphic*

indecomposable Λ -modules of height 2, and a full set of these is given by the spaces $V \oplus W$, where

$$V = Ka_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ka_r, \quad W = Kb_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Kb_s,$$

the action of K being scalar multiplication, and the action of u_1, u_2 given by

$$u_m \cdot a_i = \sum_{j=1}^s t_{ij}^{(m)} b_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad m = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}^{(1)} = (t_{ij}^{(1)}), \quad \mathbf{T}^{(2)} = (t_{ij}^{(2)})$$

are $r \times s$ matrices over K given by the following choices:

(i) $\mathbf{T}^{(1)} = \mathbf{I}_{em}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{(2)} = \mathbf{C}_e(p(x))$

where \mathbf{I}_{em} denotes the em -rowed identity matrix, e is an arbitrary positive integer, $p(x) = x^m - a_{m-1}x^{m-1} - \dots - a_0$ is an arbitrary irreducible polynomial in $K[x]$, and $\mathbf{C}_e(p(x))$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{C}_e(p(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{U} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \mathbf{U} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}, \quad e \text{ B's occur,}$$

where

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \text{companion matrix of } p(x),$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii)

$$\mathbf{T}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & \mathbf{I}_m & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(m+1) \times (m+1)}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{(2)} = \mathbf{I}_m,$$

(iii)

$$\mathbf{T}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(m+1) \times m}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(m+1) \times m},$$

and

(iv)

$$T^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ \vdots & \mathbf{I}_m & \\ 0 & & \end{bmatrix}^{m \times (m+1)}, \quad T^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} & 0 & \\ \mathbf{I}_m & \vdots & \\ & 0 & \end{bmatrix}^{m \times (m+1)},$$

where in (ii), (iii), and (iv) m is an arbitrary positive integer.

Remark. If K is algebraically closed, then $p(x) = x - \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in K$ and $C_e(p(x))$ takes the simpler form

$$C_e(p(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

COROLLARY. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where for $i = 1, 2$, G_i is a cyclic group with generator g_i of order p^{α_i} , $\alpha_i > 0$. Let K be any field of characteristic p . Then there are infinitely many indecomposable KG -modules. A complete set of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of height 2 is given by the above spaces $V \oplus W$, where the action of G is given as follows:

$$(g_1 - 1)a_i = \sum t_{ij}^{(1)} b_j, \quad (g_2 - 1)a_i = \sum t_{ij}^{(2)} b_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

and where

$$(g_1 - 1)W = (g_2 - 1)W = 0.$$

Finally we note that for $n \geq 2$, Δ_2 is a quotient algebra of Δ_n , and hence by Proposition 1 we may conclude that $M(\Delta_n)$ is infinite. Thus $M(KG)$ is infinite whenever G is a non-cyclic abelian p -group, and K has characteristic p .

5. C-algebras of rank two

We have seen that if an abelian p -group G is a direct product of r cyclic groups, and K is a field of characteristic p , then KG is a C-algebra of rank r , and consequently $M(KG)$ contains a subset in one-to-one correspondence with $S(r)$, the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable arrays $[V, W; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r]$. This shows that for $r > 2$ we cannot hope to find a complete system of non-isomorphic indecomposable KG -modules. We might expect, however, that this could be done for the special case where $r = 2$. The aim of this section is to show that even this special case leads to the problem of computing $S(p)$, and hence cannot be solved explicitly as soon as $p > 2$.

Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where for $i = 1, 2$, G_i is generated by an element g_i of order $r_i = p^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i > 0$. Then we have seen that

$$KG \cong K[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^{r_1}, x_2^{r_2}),$$

and so surely

$$(x_1^{r_1}, x_2^{r_2}) \subset (x_1, x_2)^2.$$

We now prove generally

PROPOSITION 6. Let $\Lambda = K[x, y]/J$ be a C -algebra of rank 2 such that for some $n > 2$,

$$J \subset (x, y)^n.$$

Then $M(\Lambda)$ contains a subset in one-to-one correspondence with $S(n)$.

Proof. We begin by observing that

$$\Lambda_n = K[x, y]/(x, y)^n$$

is a quotient of Λ , so that $M(\Lambda_n) \subset M(\Lambda)$, and it suffices to prove the result for $M(\Lambda_n)$. Let x and y map onto X and Y , respectively, in Λ_n ; then

$$\Lambda_n = K[X, Y], \quad (X, Y)^n = 0.$$

Using formula (6) for Δ_n , we embed Δ_n in Λ_n by the mapping

$$\psi(\bar{1}) = 1, \quad \psi(\bar{x}_1) = X^{n-1}, \quad \psi(\bar{x}_2) = X^{n-2}Y, \quad \dots, \quad \psi(\bar{x}_n) = Y^{n-1},$$

which is easily seen to be an algebra isomorphism of Δ_n into Λ_n . By means of this embedding we may regard Δ_n as a subalgebra of Λ_n .

If A is a Δ_n -module, define

$$(8) \quad A^* = \Lambda_n \otimes_{\Delta_n} A,$$

which is a Λ_n -module. The correspondence $A \rightarrow A^*$ preserves isomorphisms and direct sums. In the other direction we proceed as follows. Let

$$R = (X, Y) = \text{radical of } \Lambda_n.$$

Then (as a subalgebra of Λ_n)

$$(9) \quad \Delta_n = K \cdot 1 \oplus R^{n-1},$$

and $R^{n-1} = S$ is the radical of Δ_n . If B is a Λ_n -module, then for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$\bar{x}_i B = X^{n-i}Y^{i-1}B \subset R^{n-1}B,$$

$$\bar{x}_i \cdot RB \subset R^n B = 0,$$

and so there exists a K -homomorphism $\theta_i: B/RB \rightarrow R^{n-1}B$ given by

$$\theta_i(b + RB) = \bar{x}_i b, \quad b \in B.$$

Setting

$$B' = B/RB \oplus R^{n-1}B,$$

we may therefore make B' into a Δ_n -module by defining for each i ,

$$\bar{x}_i(\bar{b}, b_1) = (0, \theta_i \bar{b}), \quad \bar{b} \in B/RB, \quad b_1 \in R^{n-1}B.$$

The correspondence $B \rightarrow B'$ maps Λ_n -modules onto Δ_n -modules and clearly preserves isomorphisms and direct sums.

We shall prove that for any Δ_n -module A , we have

$$(10) \quad (A^*)' \cong A,$$

so that each class in $M(\Delta_n)$ determines a class in $M(\Lambda_n)$, and the result follows from Proposition 4.

We have shown in Section 4 that

$$A \cong A/SA \oplus SA,$$

the action of Δ_n on the right-hand side being given by

$$\tilde{x}_i(a + SA, a_1) = (0, \tilde{x}_i a), \quad a \in A, \quad a_1 \in SA.$$

On the other hand every element of A^* is expressible as a sum

$$\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n-1} X^i Y^j \otimes a_{ij}, \quad a_{ij} \in A.$$

But we have

$$X^{n-i} Y^{i-1} \otimes a = 1 \otimes \tilde{x}_i a, \quad a \in A,$$

and so every element of A^* is expressible as

$$a^* = 1 \otimes a_0 + \sum_{0 < i+j < n-1} X^i Y^j \otimes a_{ij}, \quad a_0 \in A, \quad \{a_{ij}\} \in A.$$

To compute $(A^*)'$, we determine RA^* :

$$Xa^* = X \otimes a_0 \oplus \sum_{0 < i+j < n-2} X^{i+1} Y^j \otimes a_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i+j=n-2} 1 \otimes \tilde{x}_{n-i-1} a_{ij},$$

and likewise for Ya^* . Thus

$$A^*/RA^* \cong (1 \otimes A)/(1 \otimes SA) \cong A/SA.$$

Furthermore

$$R^{n-1}A^* = 1 \otimes SA \cong SA.$$

Thus

$$(A^*)' \cong A/SA \oplus SA,$$

where the action of each \tilde{x}_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_i(a + SA, a_1) &= \tilde{x}_i(1 \otimes a + 1 \otimes SA, 1 \otimes SA) \\ &= 1 \otimes \tilde{x}_i a = (0, \tilde{x}_i a), \quad a \in A, \quad a_1 \in SA. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (10), and establishes the proposition.

REFERENCES

1. H. R. BRAHANA, *Metabelian groups of order p^{n+m} with commutator subgroups of order p^m* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 36 (1934), pp. 776-792.
2. ———, *Metabelian groups and trilinear forms*, Duke Math. J., vol. 1 (1935), pp. 185-197.
3. ———, *Metabelian groups and pencils of bilinear forms*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 57 (1935), pp. 645-667.
4. J. DIEUDONNÉ, *Sur la réduction canonique des couples de matrices*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, vol. 74 (1946), pp. 130-146.

5. F. KASCH, M. KNESER UND H. KUPISCH, *Unzerlegbare modulare Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen mit zyklischer p -Sylow-Gruppe*, Arch. Math., vol. 8 (1957), pp. 320–321.
6. D. G. HIGMAN, *Indecomposable representations at characteristic p* , Duke Math. J., vol. 21 (1954), pp. 377–381.
7. G. PICKERT, *Normalformen von Matrizen*, Enzyklopädie der mathematischen Wissenschaften (2. Aufl.), Bd. I, Nr. 7, Leipzig, 1953.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, ILLINOIS