

**NIRENBERG–GAGLIARDO INTERPOLATION  
INEQUALITY AND REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS  
OF NONLINEAR HIGHER ORDER EQUATIONS**

F. NICOLOSI — I. V. SKRYPNIK

---

*Dedicated to Professor Louis Nirenberg*

**1. Introduction**

Well-known counterexamples in [3, 4] show that quasilinear elliptic equations in divergence form

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u) = 0$$

with  $m > 1$  can have unbounded generalized solutions, even when  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  are analytic functions of their arguments satisfying natural growth conditions for  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a vector with nonnegative integer-valued components,

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \\ D^\alpha = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{and} \quad D^k u = \{D^\alpha u : |\alpha| = k\}.$$

Under the ellipticity condition in the form

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq C' \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\xi_\alpha|^p - C'' \sum_{|\beta|<m} |\xi_\beta|^{p(\beta)} - f(x)$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J60.

The second author was partially supported by a grant from Ukrainian Foundation of Fundamental Research and by grant N U97200 from International Science Foundation.

with  $p(\beta)$ , and  $f(x)$  satisfying certain assumptions, J. Frehse, I. V. Skrypnik, K. Widman, V. A. Solonnikov and others proved boundedness, continuity and Hölder continuity of solutions of equation (1.1) if  $n - mp$  is zero or sufficiently small [9]. Counterexamples show that the last condition cannot be dropped.

In [8] a class of equations (1.1) was introduced all of whose generalized solutions satisfy Hölder’s condition without any assumptions concerning the relation between  $m, n$  and  $p$ . For this class, condition (1.2) is replaced by

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq C' \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\xi_\alpha|^p + C' \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |\xi_\alpha|^q - C'' \sum_{1 < |\alpha| < m} |\xi_\alpha|^{p_\alpha} - f(x)$$

with  $q > mp$ , positive constants  $C', C''$  and numbers  $p_\alpha$  satisfying certain conditions. The study of the regularity of solutions of equation (1.1) in [9] was based on the Nirenberg–Gagliardo interpolation inequality [7].

In [10, 11] the regularity of generalized solutions for quasilinear parabolic higher order equations was established under an analog of condition (1.3).

In this paper we study the regularity problem for equation (1.1) in the degenerate case and we also establish a new analog of the Nirenberg–Gagliardo inequality for the weighted case. We assume that the functions  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  are Carathéodory functions and satisfy

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq C' \sum_{|\alpha|=m} v_\alpha(x) |\xi_\alpha|^q + C' \sum_{|\alpha|=1} v_\alpha(x) |\xi_\alpha|^q - C'' \sum_{1 < |\alpha| < m} v_\alpha(x) |\xi_\alpha|^{p_\alpha} - C'' |\xi_0|^{p_0} v_1(x) - f(x) v_1(x),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} v_\alpha(x)^{-1/(p_\alpha-1)} |A_\alpha(x, \xi)|^{p_\alpha/(p_\alpha-1)} + v_1(x)^{-1/(p_0-1)} |A_0(x, \xi)|^{p_0/(p_0-1)} \leq C'' \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} v_\alpha(x) |\xi_\alpha|^{p_\alpha} + v_1(x) |\xi_0|^{p_0} + f(x) v_1(x) \right\}.$$

In (1.4), (1.5) the numbers  $p_\alpha$  are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad p_\alpha = p \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = m, \quad p_\alpha = q \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = 1, \\ \frac{1}{p_\alpha} = \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{m - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{p} + \frac{m - |\alpha|}{m - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \quad \text{for } 1 < |\alpha| < m,$$

and the numbers  $m, p, q, q_1$  are assumed to satisfy

$$(1.7) \quad m \geq 2, \quad p \geq 2, \quad mp < q_1 < q < n.$$

In (1.4), (1.5),  $v_\alpha(x)$ ,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$ , are nonnegative functions which are defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} v_\alpha(x) &= v_m(x) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = m, \quad v_\alpha(x) = v_1(x) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = 1, \\ v_\alpha(x) &= v_{|\alpha|}(x) = \{[v_m(x)]^{(|\alpha|-1)/(p(m-1))} \\ &\quad \times [v_1(x)]^{(m-|\alpha|)/(q_1(m-1))}\}^{p_\alpha} \quad \text{for } 1 < |\alpha| < m, \end{aligned}$$

and satisfy the conditions

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} v_m &\in L^1(\Omega), \quad v_m^{-1/(p-1)} \in L^1(\Omega), \\ v_1 &\in L^1(\Omega), \quad v_1^{-1/(q-1)} \in L^1(\Omega), \\ v_1 &\in A_q, \quad v_m(x) \leq K_1 v_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_q$  is Muckenhoupt’s class defined in [6].

Under this and some additional assumptions on the weight functions  $v_1(x)$  and  $v_m(x)$  we prove local and global boundedness and Hölder continuity of solutions of equation (1.1).

Conditions on weight functions are connected with imbeddings of Nirenberg–Gagliardo type for weighted spaces. For special weight functions (of the type  $|x|^\lambda$ ) the corresponding imbeddings were proved in [1, 5]. For general weight functions analogous imbeddings are proved in this paper.

All our conditions on weight functions and coefficients are essential as follows from the counterexample in the last section.

### 2. Formulation of main results

We will assume the following properties for weight functions  $v_1(x)$  and  $v_m(x)$ :

(w) The functions  $v_1(x)$  and  $v_m(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , are differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and there exist numbers  $\kappa > 1$ ,  $K_2 > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that the function  $\tilde{v}(x)$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(x) &= v_1(x) + [v_1(x)]^{-\varrho/(q_1(m-1))} [v_m(x)]^{\varrho/(p(m-1))} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{v_m(x)} \left| \frac{\partial v_m(x)}{\partial x} \right| + \frac{1}{v_1(x)} \left| \frac{\partial v_1(x)}{\partial x} \right| \right]^\varrho, \quad \varrho = \frac{(m-1)pq_1}{q_1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

belongs to the class  $A_\infty$  and satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{R_2}{R_1} \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}(B(x_0, R_2))}{\tilde{v}(B(x_0, R_1))} \right]^{1/(q\kappa)} \leq K_2 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}_1(B(x_0, R_2))}{\tilde{v}_1(B(x_0, R_1))} \right]^{1/q}$$

for all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and all  $R_1, R_2$  such that  $0 < R_2 < R_1 \leq R_0$ . For every  $E \subset \Omega$  we write

$$(2.3) \quad v_1(E) = \int_E v_1(x) dx, \quad \tilde{v}(E) = \int_E \tilde{v}(x) dx.$$

From the condition (1.9) and [6] it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad v_1 \in A_{\tilde{q}} \quad \text{for some } \tilde{q} < q.$$

We assume that the number  $p_0$  in (1.4), (1.5) satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad q \leq p_0 < \frac{nq\tilde{q}}{n\tilde{q} - q}.$$

The nonnegative function  $f(x)$  in (1.4), (1.5) satisfies the condition

$$(2.6) \quad f \in L_r(\Omega), \quad r > n\tilde{q}/q.$$

We will say that a function  $u \in W_{p,\text{loc}}^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_{q,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega, v_1)$  is a solution of equation (1.1) if for every  $\varphi \in \dot{W}_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap \dot{W}_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$  with compact support in  $\Omega$  we have the integral identity

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^m u) D^{\alpha} \varphi(x) \, dx = 0.$$

The left-hand side of (2.7) is finite for the indicated choice of  $u$  and  $\varphi$ . This follows from

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is of class  $C^m$  and condition (w) is satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that for every  $u \in W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_{q_1}^1(\Omega, v_1)$  we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \|D^k u\|_{L_{p_k}(\Omega, v_k)} \leq K \{ \|D^m u\|_{L_p(\Omega, v_m)} + \|D^1 u\|_{L_{q_1}(\Omega, v_1)} \}^{(k-1)/(m-1)} \|D^1 u\|_{L_{q_1}(\Omega, v_1)}^{(m-k)/(m-1)}$$

for  $1 < k < m$  with  $p_k$  and  $v_k(x)$  defined by (1.6) and (1.8).

We will give some remarks about the proof of this theorem in Section 7. The inequality (2.8) generalizes the Nirenberg–Gagliardo interpolation inequality to general weight functions.

In estimating the integral on left-hand side of (2.7) we also use the imbedding

$$(2.9) \quad \dot{W}_q^1(\Omega, v_1) \subset L_{q\tilde{\kappa}}(\Omega, v_1), \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{n\tilde{q}}{n\tilde{q} - q},$$

which follows from [2].

For  $d > 0$ , we define  $\Omega_d = \{x \in \Omega : \varrho(x, \partial\Omega) > d\}$ , where  $\varrho(x, \partial\Omega)$  is the distance from  $x$  to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Assume that the functions  $A_{\alpha}(x, \xi)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , satisfy conditions (1.4)–(1.7), (2.5), (2.6) and that the weight functions  $v_{\alpha}(x)$  satisfy conditions (1.8), (1.9), (w). Then every solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfies the estimate*

$$(2.10) \quad |u(x)| \leq M_d, \quad x \in \Omega_d,$$

with a constant  $M_d$  depending only on the known parameters, the norm of  $u$  in  $W_p^m(\Omega_{d/2}, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega_{d/2}, v_1)$  and  $d$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Assume that all conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then every solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfies the estimate*

$$(2.11) \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq A_d |x - y|^\alpha, \quad x, y \in \Omega_d,$$

with positive constants  $A_d$ ,  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depends only on the known parameters, and  $A_d$  depends only on the known parameters, the norm of  $u$  in  $W_p^m(\Omega_{d/2}, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega_{d/2}, v_1)$  and  $d$ .

Analogous results on regularity of solutions near the boundary are valid for Dirichlet or Neumann conditions under some regularity of the domain.

We shall say that the domain satisfies *condition (b)* if there exist  $\Theta, R_0 > 0$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad \text{meas}(B(x_0, R) \setminus \Omega) \geq \Theta \text{meas}(B(x_0, R))$$

for all  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $0 < R \leq R_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Assume that all conditions of Theorem 2.2 on  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  and  $v_\alpha(x)$  are satisfied. Let  $u \in \mathring{W}_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap \mathring{W}_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$  be a solution of equation (1.1). Then:*

1) *there exists a constant  $M$  depending only on the known parameters and the norm of  $u$  in  $W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$  such that*

$$(2.13) \quad |u(x)| \leq M, \quad x \in \Omega;$$

2) *if  $\Omega$  satisfies (b) then there exist  $B, \beta > 0$  such that*

$$(2.14) \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq B |x - y|^\beta, \quad x, y \in \Omega.$$

Moreover,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and depends only on the known parameters, and  $B$  depends only on the known parameters and the norm of  $u$  in  $W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$ .

We shall say that  $u \in W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$  is a solution of the Neumann boundary value problem if the integral identity (2.7) is valid for all  $\varphi \in W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Assume that  $\partial\Omega \in C^m$  and all conditions of Theorem 2.2 on  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  and  $v_\alpha(x)$  are satisfied. Let  $u \in W_p^m(\Omega, v_m) \cap W_q^1(\Omega, v_1)$  be a solution of the Neumann boundary value problem for (1.1). Then the inequalities (2.13)–(2.14) hold with  $M, B, \beta$  depending on the same parameters as in Theorem 2.4.*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We substitute in (2.7) the test function

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(x) = [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k u(x) \psi^s(x)$$

where  $\lambda_N(u) = u$  for  $|u| \leq N$ ,  $\lambda_N(u) = (N + 1)\text{sign}(u)$  for  $|u| > N + 1$ ,  $d\lambda_N(u)/du \geq 0$ ,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $k$  and  $s$  are arbitrary numbers such that  $s \geq q$  and  $k \geq 0$ . The function  $\psi(x)$  is a fixed smooth cut-off function equal to one in a ball  $B(x_0, d/2)$ , to zero outside  $B(x_0, 3d/4)$  and such that  $|D^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq C/d^{|\alpha|}$  for  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega_d$ .

We have

$$(3.2) \quad D^\alpha \varphi(x) = \{[1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k D^\alpha u(x) + 2k[1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^{k-1} \times \lambda_N(u(x)) \lambda'_N(u(x)) u(x) D^\alpha u(x)\} \psi^s(x) + R_\alpha(x)$$

with the pointwise inequality

$$(3.3) \quad |R_\alpha| \leq C_1(k + s)^m [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \left\{ \sum_{|\beta| < |\alpha|} |D^\beta u|^{|\alpha|/|\beta|} + |u| \right\} \psi^{s-m}.$$

Here and in the sequel the constants  $C_i$  depend only on the known parameters and  $d$ .

After substitution we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left\{ v_m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p + v_1 \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha u|^q \right\} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s dx \\ \leq C_2(k + s)^{qm} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{1 < |\alpha| < m} v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} \right. \\ \left. + |u|^{p_0} v_1 + [|f| + 1] v_1 \right\} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s-m} dx.$$

Now we estimate the terms with derivatives on the right-hand side of (3.4) by using integration by parts. For  $|\alpha| = j$ ,  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ,  $|\beta| = j - 1$ ,  $|\gamma| = 1$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s-m} dx \\ = - \int_{\Omega} D^\beta u |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 2} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s-m} v_\alpha \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{v_\alpha} D^\gamma v_\alpha D^\alpha u + (p_\alpha - 1) D^{\alpha+\gamma} u + 2k D^\alpha u \right. \\ \left. \times [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^{-1} \lambda_N(u) \lambda'_N(u) D^\gamma u + (s - m) D^\alpha u \psi^{-1} D^\gamma \psi \right\} dx.$$

Let  $j > 2$ . We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (3.5) by Young's inequality. For example, for  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 2} |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^{\alpha + \gamma} u| \psi^{-m} \\ = v_\alpha^{(p_\alpha - 2)/p_\alpha} |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 2} v_{\alpha + \gamma}^{1/(p_\alpha + \gamma)} |D^{\alpha + \gamma} u| v_\beta^{1/p_\beta} |D^\beta u| \psi^{-m} \\ \leq \varepsilon v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + \varepsilon v_{\alpha + \gamma} |D^{\alpha + \gamma} u|^{p_\alpha + \gamma} + \varepsilon^{-p_\beta} v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta} \psi^{-mp_\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the equalities

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{p_\alpha - 2}{p_\alpha} + \frac{1}{p_\alpha + \gamma} + \frac{1}{p_\beta} = 1 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| > 2, \quad |\beta| = |\alpha| - 1, \quad |\gamma| = 1, \\ v_\alpha^{2/p_\alpha} = v_{\alpha + \gamma}^{1/p_\alpha + \gamma} v_\beta^{1/p_\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

which follow from (1.6) and (1.8).

For  $j = 2$  instead of (3.6) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 2} |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^{\alpha + \gamma} u|^{p_\alpha + \gamma} \psi^{-m} \\ \leq \varepsilon \{v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_{\alpha + \gamma} |D^{\alpha + \gamma} u|^{p_\alpha + \gamma} + v_1 |D^\beta u|^q\} \\ + \varepsilon^{-qq_1/(q - q_1)} v_1 \psi^{-qq_1/(q - q_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we estimate the other summands on the right-hand side of (3.5):

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} kv_\alpha |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 1} |D^\gamma u| \psi^{-m} \\ \leq \varepsilon \{v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta} + v_1 |D^\gamma u|^q\} + C_3 k^{a_1} \varepsilon^{-a_1} v_1 \psi^{-ma_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel we denote by  $a_i$  positive numbers depending only on  $m, p, q, q_1$ .

In the same way we have the pointwise inequalities

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (s - m)v_\alpha |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 1} |D^\gamma \psi| \psi^{-m - 1} \\ \leq \varepsilon \{v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta}\} + C_4 \varepsilon^{-a_1} v_1 \psi^{-(m + 1)a_1} (s - m)^{a_1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha - 1} |D^\gamma v_\alpha| \psi^{-m} \\ \leq \varepsilon \{v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta}\} + \varepsilon^{-\varrho} \psi^{-\varrho m} \tilde{v}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{v}(x)$  and  $\varrho$  are defined in (2.1).

Using inequalities (3.6)–(3.11) we obtain for

$$(3.12) \quad I_j(s) = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \int_\Omega v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s dx$$

the estimate

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} I_j(s - m) \leq \varepsilon I_{j+1}(s) + \varepsilon I_j(s) + C_5 \varepsilon^{-a_2} I_{j-1}(s - ma_2) \\ + C_5 (s + k)^{a_2} \varepsilon^{-a_2} \int_\Omega [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s - ma_2} \tilde{v} dx \end{aligned}$$

for  $j > 2$ .

For  $j = 2$  we obtain instead of (3.13) the estimate

$$(3.14) \quad I_2(s - m) \leq \varepsilon\{I_3(s) + I_2(s) + I_1(s)\} + C_6(s + k)^{a_3} \varepsilon^{-a_3} \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s-a_3} \tilde{v} \, dx.$$

Using estimates (3.13), (3.14) we obtain by induction the inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} I_j(s - m) \leq \varepsilon\{I_m(s) + I_1(s)\} + C_7 \varepsilon^{-a_4} (s + k)^{a_4} \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^{s-a_4} \tilde{v} \, dx.$$

From (3.4) and (3.15) we get the estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left\{ v_m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_1 \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha u|^q \right\} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s \, dx \leq C_8 (k + s)^{a_5} \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \{ |u|^{p_0} v_1 + [f + 1] v_1 + \tilde{v} \} \psi^{s-a_5} \, dx.$$

Further, we estimate the summands of the right-hand side of (3.16) by imbedding theorems. Using the imbedding (2.9) we have

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k |u|^{p_0} \psi^s v_1 \, dx \leq C_9 (k + s)^{\tilde{\kappa}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} ([1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^{k/\tilde{\kappa}} |\partial u / \partial x|^q + [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^{k/\tilde{\kappa}} (|u|^{p_0} + 1) \psi^{s/\tilde{\kappa}-q} v_1) \, dx \right\}^{\tilde{\kappa}}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality and the imbedding (2.9) we have, with  $r' = r/(r - 1)$ ,

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s [f + 1] v_1 \, dx \leq C_{10} (k + s)^{q\tilde{\kappa}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^{r'k/\tilde{\kappa}} (|\partial u / \partial x|^q \psi^{r's/\tilde{\kappa}} + \psi^{r's/\tilde{\kappa}-q}) v_1 \, dx \right\}^{\tilde{\kappa}/r'}$$

with the constant  $C_{10}$  depending on the norm of  $f$ .

From the condition (w) the imbedding

$$(3.19) \quad \mathring{W}_q^1(\Omega, v_1) \subset L_{q\kappa}(\Omega, \tilde{v})$$

follows [2] with  $\kappa > 1$ .

Using the imbedding (3.19) we have

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s \tilde{v} \, dx \leq C_{11}(k + s)^{q\kappa} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^{k/\kappa} (|\partial u / \partial x|^q \psi^{s/\kappa} + \psi^{s/\kappa - q}) v_1 \, dx \right\}^{\kappa}.$$

From inequalities (3.16)–(3.20), for

$$(3.21) \quad I_N(k, s) = \int_{\Omega} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s \{ |u|^{p_0} v_1 + [f + 1] v_1 + \tilde{v} \} \, dx$$

we obtain the estimate

$$(3.22) \quad I_N(k, s) \leq C_{12}(k + s)^{a_6} [I_N(k/\bar{\kappa}, s/\bar{\kappa} - a_6)]^{\bar{\kappa}},$$

where

$$(3.23) \quad \bar{\kappa} = \min\{\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\kappa}/r', \kappa\} > 1.$$

Using Moser’s iteration process we obtain from (3.22) the boundedness of  $u(x)$  in  $B(x_0, d/2)$  provided for some positive  $k_0$  and  $s_0$ ,

$$(3.24) \quad \sup_{N > 0} I_N(k_0, s_0) < \infty.$$

We know that  $u \in L_{q\kappa, \text{loc}}(\Omega, \tilde{v}) \cap L_{q\tilde{\kappa}, \text{loc}}(\Omega, v_1)$ . Thus (3.24) is valid if

$$(3.25) \quad 2k_0 + p_0 \leq q\tilde{\kappa}, \quad k_0 r'_0 \leq q\tilde{\kappa}, \quad k_0 \leq q\kappa.$$

We can satisfy inequalities (3.25) by a suitable choice of  $k_0$ . In this way we proved Theorem 2.2.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $\Omega_d$ . For  $0 < R < d$  we define

$$(4.1) \quad \omega_1(R) = \text{ess inf}\{u(x) : x \in B(x_0, R)\},$$

$$\omega_2(R) = \text{ess sup}\{u(x) : x \in B(x_0, R)\},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \omega(R) = \omega_2(R) - \omega_1(R).$$

For given  $x_0$  and  $R$  we shall consider two possibilities:

$$(4.3) \quad \text{meas } E(R) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{meas } B(x_0, R)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \text{meas}\{B(x_0, R) \setminus E(R)\} > \frac{1}{2} \text{meas } B(x_0, R),$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad E(R) = \{x \in B(x_0, R) : u(x) \geq (\omega_1(R) + \omega_2(R))/2\}.$$

If (4.3) holds, we will prove that an auxiliary function

$$(4.6) \quad \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)}, \quad z(x) = u(x) - \omega_1(R) + R^\delta,$$

is estimated in the ball  $B(x_0, R/2)$  by a constant independent of  $R$ . In the case (4.4) it is sufficient to repeat the whole discussion for another auxiliary function. The number  $\delta$  in (4.6) will be chosen later, and  $e$  is a natural number.

We substitute in the integral identity (2.7) the test function

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{z^{q-1}(x)} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)} \right]^k \chi^s(x),$$

where  $\chi(x)$  is a smooth function such that

$$(4.8) \quad \chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in B(x_0, R/2), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin B(x_0, R/2), \end{cases} \quad |D^\alpha \chi(x)| \leq C/R^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq m.$$

We will assume that

$$(4.9) \quad \omega(R) \geq R^\delta.$$

We have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} D^\alpha \varphi(x) = & - \left\{ (q-1) \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)} \right]^k + k \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)} \right]^{k-1} \right\} \\ & \times \frac{1}{z^q(x)} D^\alpha u(x) \chi^s(x) + \tilde{R}_\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the pointwise estimate

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}_\alpha| \leq & C_{13}(k+s)^m \frac{1}{z^{q-1}} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| < |\alpha|} \frac{|D^\beta u|^{|\alpha|/|\beta|}}{|z|^{|\alpha|/|\beta|}} + \frac{1}{R^{|\alpha|}} \right\} \chi^{s-m}. \end{aligned}$$

After the substitution of  $\varphi(x)$  from (4.7) in (2.7) and using (4.10), (4.11), and conditions (1.4), (1.5) we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \{v_m |D^m u|^p + v_1 |D^1 u|^q\} \chi^s dx \\ & \leq C_{14}(k+s)^{a_7} \int_\Omega \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)} \right]^k \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \sum_{1 < |\beta| < m} |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta} v_\beta + [1+f]v_1 \right] + \frac{1}{R^q} v_1 \right\} \chi^{s-a_7} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now we transform and estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.12) with derivatives of  $u(x)$ . As in Section 3 we use integration by parts and Young's inequality. For  $|\alpha| = j > 2$ ,  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ,  $|\beta| = j - 1$ ,  $|\gamma| = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.13) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} v_\alpha \chi^{s-a_7} dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} D^\beta u \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha-2} v_\alpha \chi^{s-a_7} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ (p_\alpha - 1) D^{\alpha+\gamma} u + \frac{D^\alpha u}{v_\alpha} D^\gamma v_\alpha + (s - a_7) D^\alpha u \cdot \frac{1}{\chi} D^\gamma \chi \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - k \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^{-1} \frac{D^\alpha u}{z} D^\gamma u - q \frac{D^\alpha u}{z} D^\gamma u \right\} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.13). We have, for  $\chi \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.14) \quad & kv_\alpha |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha-1} \frac{1}{z} |D^\gamma u| \cdot \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^{-1} \cdot \chi^{-a_7} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \{ v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta} + v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} + v_1 |D^1 u|^q \} + C_{15} \kappa^{a_8} \varepsilon^{-a_8} v_1 \frac{1}{R^{\delta a_8}} \chi^{-a_8}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We formulate the first assumption on  $\delta$ :

$$(4.15) \quad \delta q + \delta \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{|\beta|} - 1 \right) p_\alpha \frac{|\beta| p_\beta}{|\beta| p_\beta - |\alpha| p_\alpha} \leq q, \quad \delta q + \delta a_8 \leq q.$$

Note that the first inequality of (4.15) was used in the proof of the inequality (4.12).

We estimate another term of (4.13):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.16) \quad & (s - a_7) v_\alpha |D^\beta u| \cdot |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha-1} \chi^{-1-a_7} |D^\gamma \chi| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \{ v_\beta |D^\beta u|^{p_\beta} + v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} \} + C_{16} s^{r_\alpha} \varepsilon^{-r_\alpha} v_1 (1/R)^{r_\alpha} \chi^{-(1+a_7)r_\alpha},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_\alpha$  is determined by the condition

$$\frac{1}{p_\beta} + \frac{p_\alpha - 1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{1}{r_\alpha} = 1.$$

This  $r_\alpha$  satisfies the inequality

$$(4.17) \quad r_\alpha < q_1 < q$$

and we formulate the second assumption on  $\delta$ :

$$(4.18) \quad r_\alpha + \delta q \leq q \quad \text{for } 1 < |\alpha| < m.$$

By using estimates (4.14), (4.16), (3.8) and (3.11) we obtain from (4.13) the inequality

$$(4.19) \quad J_j(s - a_7) \leq \varepsilon(J_{j+1}(s) + J_j(s)) + C_{17}\varepsilon^{-a_9}J_{j-1}(s - a_9) \\ + C_{17}(k + s)^{a_9}\varepsilon^{-a_9} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}}{z^q} + \frac{v_1}{R^q} \right\} \chi^{s-a_9} dx$$

for  $j > 2$ , where

$$(4.20) \quad J_j(s) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \sum_{|\alpha|=j} |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} v_\alpha \chi^s dx.$$

For  $j = 2$  we obtain instead of (4.19) the inequality

$$(4.21) \quad J_2(s - a_7) \\ \leq \varepsilon(J_1(s) + J_2(s) + J_3(s)) \\ + C_{18}(k + s)^{a_{10}}\varepsilon^{-a_{10}} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}}{z^q} + \frac{v_1}{R^q} \right\} \chi^{s-a_{10}} dx.$$

Using estimates (4.19) and (4.21) we obtain by induction the inequality

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} J_j(s - a_7) \\ \leq \varepsilon\{J_m(s) + J_1(s)\} \\ + C_{19}(k + s)^{a_{11}}\varepsilon^{-a_{11}} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}}{z^q} + \frac{v_1}{R^q} \right\} \chi^{s-a_{11}} dx.$$

From (4.12) and (4.22) we get

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{z^q} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \{v_m |D^m u|^p + v_1 |D^1 u|^q\} \chi^s dx \\ \leq C_{20}(k + s)^{a_{12}} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \left\{ \frac{[1+f]v_1 + \tilde{v}}{z^q} + \frac{v_1}{R^q} \right\} \chi^{s-a_{12}} dx.$$

We introduce

$$(4.24) \quad J_R(k, s) = \frac{R^q}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^k \left\{ \frac{[1+f]v_1 + \tilde{v}}{R^{\delta q}} + \frac{v_1}{R^q} \right\} \chi^s dx$$

and we prove that

$$(4.25) \quad J_R(q, s) \leq B_1$$

for some  $s_1 > 0$ , with a constant  $B_1$  depending only on the known parameters and the norm of  $u$ , and independent of  $R$ .

Note that from the definition of the class  $A_\infty$ ,

$$(4.26) \quad \tilde{v}(B(x_0, R)) \leq K_3 R^{\tilde{\lambda}}, \quad v(B(x_0, R)) \leq K_4 R^{\lambda_1}$$

with constants  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  independent of  $R$ .

Introduce the function

$$(4.27) \quad g(x) = \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{u(x) - \omega_1(R) + R^\delta} = \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z(x)}$$

for  $x \in B(x_0, R)$ . By condition (4.3) we have, for  $x \in E(R)$ ,

$$(4.28) \quad g(x) \leq \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{\omega(R)/2 + R^\delta} \leq \ln 2e.$$

Then by the Hölder inequality and Poincaré inequality [2] we obtain the estimate

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_{B(x_0, R)} |g|^q v_1 dx \\ & \leq C_{21} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_{B(x_0, R)} [g - \ln 2e]_+^q v_1 dx \right\} \\ & \leq C_{21} \left\{ 1 + \left[ \frac{1}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_{B(x_0, R)} [g - \ln 2e]_+^{q\tilde{\kappa}} v_1 dx \right]^{1/\tilde{\kappa}} \right\} \\ & \leq C_{22} \left\{ 1 + \frac{R^q}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_{B(x_0, R)} \frac{1}{z^q} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^q v_1 dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.23) with  $k = 0$  and (4.29) we have, for  $s_1 = a_{12} + 1$ ,

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} J_R(q, s_1) & \leq C_{23} \left\{ \frac{R^q}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \int_\Omega \left( \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega(R)}{z} \right]^q + 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{[1 + f]v_1 + \tilde{v}}{R^{\delta q}} \chi dx + 1 \right\} \\ & \leq C_{24} \left\{ \frac{R^q}{v_1(B(x_0, R))} \left( \left[ \ln \frac{2eM_{d/2}}{R^\delta} \right]^q + 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{\|1 + f\|_{L_r(\Omega, v_1)} [v_1(B(x_0, R))]^{1-1/r} + \tilde{v}(B(x_0, R))}{R^{\delta q}} + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the last inequality is bounded by a constant independent of  $R$  if we choose  $\delta$  satisfying

$$(4.31) \quad R^{q-2\delta q} [v_1(B(x_0, R))]^{-1/r} \leq C_{25},$$

$$(4.32) \quad R^{q-2\delta q} \tilde{v}(B(x_0, R)) [v_1(B(x_0, R))]^{-1} \leq C_{25}.$$

Now (2.4) yields

$$(4.33) \quad R^{n\tilde{q}} \leq K_4 v_1(B(x_0, R))$$

and hence (4.31) is satisfied provided

$$(4.34) \quad q - 2\delta q - n\tilde{q}/r > 0.$$

The possibility of choosing a positive value of  $\delta$  is guaranteed by (2.6).

In order to check (4.32) we remark that (2.2) implies

$$(4.35) \quad R\tilde{v}(B(x_0, R))^{1/(q\kappa)} \leq K_5 v_1(B(x_0, R))^{1/q}.$$

Using (4.26) and (4.35) we obtain the estimate (4.32) if

$$(4.36) \quad -2\delta q + (1 - 1/\kappa)\tilde{\lambda} > 0.$$

So we have proved the inequality (4.25) by a suitable choice of  $\delta$  and  $s_1$ .

Now we will organize Moser's iteration process for  $J_R(k, s)$ . For this we estimate various summands in  $J_R(k, s)$  by imbedding theorems. Using the imbeddings (2.9), (3.19) and the inequalities (4.23), (4.32), (4.33) one can prove the estimate

$$(4.37) \quad J_R(k, s) \leq C_{26}(k + s)^{a_{13}} J_R(k/\bar{\kappa}, s/\bar{\kappa} - a_{13})^{\bar{\kappa}}$$

with  $\bar{\kappa}$  defined by (3.23).

Using (4.25) and (4.37) in Moser's iteration process we see that for

$$k_i = q\bar{\kappa}^i, \quad s_i = a_{13} \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa} - 1} + s_1 \bar{\kappa}^i$$

the inequality

$$J_R(k_i, s_i)^{1/k_i} \leq C_{27}$$

holds and consequently

$$\frac{\omega(R)}{u(x) - \omega_1(R) + R^\delta} \leq C_{28} \quad \text{for } x \in B(x_0, R/2).$$

From the last estimate we obtain

$$(4.38) \quad \omega(R/2) \leq \omega(R)[1 - 1/C_{28}] + R^\delta.$$

So we have proved that for each  $R \in (0, d]$ , either (4.38) holds or  $\omega(R) < R^\delta$  (if (4.9) fails). Now, the proof of Theorem 2.3 is completed in a standard way.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.4

The proof of (2.13) is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.2. Now we substitute in (2.7) the test function

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi = [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k u,$$

where  $\lambda_N(u)$  is the same as in (3.1). We repeat the argument of Section 3 and prove the boundedness of  $u(x)$ .

The proof of Hölder continuity near the boundary is analogous to the proof in Section 4. Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $R \in (0, R_0)$ , where  $R_0$  is the number from condition (b).

We introduce

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega'_1(R) &= \text{ess inf}\{u(x) : x \in B(x_0, R) \cap \Omega\}, \\ \omega'_2(R) &= \text{ess sup}\{u(x) : x \in B(x_0, R) \cap \Omega\}, \\ \omega'(R) &= \omega'_2(R) - \omega'_1(R). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u(x) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  we have  $\omega'_1(R) \leq 0$  and  $\omega'_2(R) \geq 0$ . Analogously to (4.9) we will assume that

$$(5.3) \quad \omega'(R) \geq R^{\delta'}$$

with some  $\delta'$  depending only on the known parameters.

Consider two possibilities:

$$(5.4) \quad \omega'_2(R) \geq \frac{\omega'(R)}{2}, \quad -\omega'_1(R) > \frac{\omega'(R)}{2}.$$

One of these inequalities, say the second, holds. In this case we substitute in (2.7) the test function

$$(5.5) \quad \varphi(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{[z'(x)]^{q-1}} - \frac{1}{[-\omega'_1(R) + R^{\delta'}]^{q-1}} \right\} \left[ \ln \frac{e\omega'(R)}{z'(x)} \right]^k \chi^s(x),$$

where  $z'(x) = u(x) - \omega'_1(R) + R^{\delta'}$ . If the first inequality of (5.4) is valid we use a different test function. In (5.5), the numbers  $k, s$  and the function  $\chi(x)$  are the same as in (4.7).

Using the reasonings of Section 4 we prove Hölder continuity near the boundary. We only make two remarks:

1) When applying the Poincaré inequality as in deriving (4.29), we use condition (b).

2) In the considered case (the second inequality of (5.4) valid) we have the estimate

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{[z'(x)]^{q-1}} - \frac{1}{[-\omega'_1(R) + R^{\delta'}]^{q-1}} \right| \leq \frac{2^{q-1}}{[z'(x)]^{q-1}}.$$

Indeed, this is trivial for  $x$  with  $u(x) \leq 0$ . If  $u(x) > 0$  we have from (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{[z'(x)]^{q-1}} - \frac{1}{[-\omega'_1(R) + R^{\delta'}]^{q-1}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{[-\omega'_1(R) + R^{\delta'}]^{q-1}} \leq \frac{2^{q-1}}{[\omega'(R) + R^{\delta'}]^{q-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{q-1}}{[z'(x)]^{q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the argument of Section 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 2.4.

**6. Proof of Theorem 2.5**

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.5 we can make substitutions of the type (5.1) or (4.7) (for  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ ), but in this case the corresponding transformation of the integral with derivatives (as the integral on the left-hand side of (3.5)) is nontrivial. If we transform this integral by using integration by parts and if  $\psi(x)$  is not equal to zero on  $\partial\Omega$  then an integral on  $\partial\Omega$  arises which is difficult to estimate.

We use another way connected with extension of functions outside  $\Omega$ . We explain this approach by the example of the integral on the left-hand side of (3.5).

Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , and let  $\psi(x)$  be equal to one in  $B(x_0, \bar{R})$ , and zero outside  $B(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0)$ , where  $\bar{R}_0$  is some fixed number. So we will estimate the derivatives of  $\psi(x)$  by constants. We assume that the integral on the left-hand side of (3.5) is transformed into local coordinates such that

$$\Omega \cap B(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0) = B_+ = B_+(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0) = \{x \in B(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0) : x_n > 0\}.$$

Let  $B_- = B_-(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0) = \{x \in B(x_0, 2\bar{R}_0) : x_n < 0\}$ .

We have

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_+(\alpha, s) &= \int_{B_+} v_\alpha |D^\alpha u|^{p_\alpha} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u)]^k \psi^s dx \\ &\leq \int_{B(x_0, 2\bar{R})} F_\alpha^{p_\alpha} H^{1-p_\alpha} dx = I(\alpha, s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.2) \quad F_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} v_\alpha(x) |D^\alpha u(x)| \cdot [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k \psi^s(x), & x \in B_+, \\ d_1 F_\alpha(x_1^*) + d_2 F_\alpha(x_2^*), & x \in B_-, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.3) \quad H(x) = \begin{cases} H_1(x) H_m(x) \tilde{H}(x), & x \in B_+, \\ \frac{1}{8} [H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)] \\ \quad \times [H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)] [\tilde{H}(x_1^*) + \tilde{H}(x_2^*)], & x \in B_-, \end{cases}$$

$$H_1(x) = [v_1(x)]^{(m-|\alpha|)p_\alpha/(q_1(m-1))},$$

$$H_m(x) = [v_m(x)]^{(|\alpha|-1)p_\alpha/(p(m-1))},$$

$$\tilde{H}(x) = [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k \psi^s(x).$$

Here  $x_1^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n)$ ,  $x_2^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -2x_n)$  and

$$(6.4) \quad d_1 = -3, \quad d_2 = 4.$$

We assume further that  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| = 1$ ,  $\gamma = (0, \dots, 0, 1) = e_n$ . If  $\gamma \neq e_n$  with  $|\gamma| = 1$  it is possible to repeat all the discussion of Section 3.

We have

$$(6.5) \quad F_\alpha(x) = D^\gamma \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}(x) + G_{\beta\gamma}(x),$$

where

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}(x) &= \begin{cases} v_\alpha(x)D^\beta u(x)[1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k \psi^s(x), & x \in B_+, \\ -d_1 \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}(x_1^*) - \frac{1}{2}d_2 \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}(x_2^*), & x \in B_-, \end{cases} \\ G_{\beta\gamma}(x) &= \begin{cases} -D^\beta u(x)D^\gamma \{v_\alpha(x)[1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x))]^k \psi^s(x)\}, & x \in B_+, \\ d_1 G_{\beta\gamma}(x_1^*) + d_2 G_{\beta\gamma}(x_2^*), & x \in B_-. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can transform  $I(\alpha, s)$  defined by the right-hand side of (6.1) using integration by parts:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\alpha, s) &= \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} F_\alpha^{p_\alpha-2} F_\alpha \{D^\gamma \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} + G_{\beta\gamma}\} H^{1-p_\alpha} dx \\ &= - \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} D^\gamma \{F_\alpha^{p_\alpha-2} H^{1-p_\alpha} F_\alpha\} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} F_\alpha^{p_\alpha-2} F_\alpha H^{1-p_\alpha} G_{\beta\gamma} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to estimate the integral on the right-hand side of (6.7) corresponding to  $B_-(x_0, 2R)$ . We consider one typical term:

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_-^{(1)}(\alpha, s)| &= \left| \int_{B_-(x_0, 2R)} \tilde{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(x) D^\gamma \{F_\alpha(x)^{p_\alpha-2} F_\alpha(x)\} H(x)^{1-p_\alpha} dx \right| \\ &\leq C_{29}(k + s) \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{B_-(x_0, 2R)} \tilde{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(x) F_\alpha(x)^{p_\alpha-2} H(x)^{1-p_\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \{ |D^{\alpha+\gamma} u(x_i^*)| v_\alpha(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k \psi^s(x_i^*) \\ &\quad + |D^\alpha u(x_i^*)| v_\alpha(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k D^\gamma u(x_i^*) \psi^s(x_i^*) \\ &\quad + |D^\alpha u(x_i^*)| D^\gamma v_\alpha(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k \psi^s(x_i^*) \\ &\quad + |D^\alpha u(x_i^*)| v_\alpha(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k \psi^{s-1}(x_i^*) \} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below the constants  $C_i$  depend only on the known parameters.

We demonstrate the estimation of the right-hand side of (6.8) on one typical term. For  $|\alpha| > 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.9) \quad & I_-^{(2)}(\alpha, s) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{B_-(x_0, 2R)} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}(x) F_\alpha(x)^{p_\alpha-2} H(x)^{1-p_\alpha} \\
 &\quad \times |D^{\alpha+\gamma} u(x_i^*)| v_\alpha(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k \psi^s(x_i^*) dx \\
 &\leq C_{30} \sum_{i,j,l=1}^2 \int_{B_-(x_0, 2R)} \{v_\beta(x_j^*) |D^\beta u(x_j^*)|^{p_\beta} [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_j^*))]^k \psi^s(x_j^*)\}^{1/p_\beta} \\
 &\quad \times \{|D^\alpha u(x_l^*)|^{p_\alpha} v_\alpha(x_l^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_l^*))]^k \psi^s(x_l^*)\}^{(p_\alpha-2)/p_\alpha} \\
 &\quad \times \{|D^{\alpha+\gamma} u(x_i^*)|^{p_{\alpha+\gamma}} v_{\alpha+\gamma}(x_i^*) [1 + \lambda_N^2(u(x_i^*))]^k \psi^s(x_i^*)\}^{1/p_{\alpha+\gamma}} R_{ijl}(x) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{ijl}(x) &= v_\alpha(x_j^*) [v_\beta(x_j^*)]^{-1/p_\beta} v_\alpha(x_i^*) [v_{\alpha+\gamma}(x_i^*)]^{-1/p_{\alpha+\gamma}} \\
 &\quad \times [v_\alpha(x_l^*)]^{(p_\alpha-2)(1-1/p_\alpha)} \{[H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)][H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)]\}^{1-p_\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we check that  $R_{ijl}(x) \leq 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.10) \quad & R_{ijl}(x) \leq v_\alpha(x_j^*) [v_\beta(x_j^*)]^{-1/p_\beta} v_\alpha(x_i^*) [v_{\alpha+\gamma}(x_i^*)]^{-1/p_{\alpha+\gamma}} \\
 &\quad \times \{[H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)][H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)]\}^{(p_\alpha-2)(1-1/p_\alpha)+1-p_\alpha} \\
 &= [v_m(x_j^*)]^{|\alpha|-1 \over p(m-1) p_\alpha - |\beta|-1 \over p(m-1)} [v_1(x_j^*)]^{m-|\alpha| \over q_1(m-1) p_\alpha - m-|\beta| \over q_1(m-1)} \\
 &\quad \times [v_m(x_i^*)]^{|\alpha|-1 \over p(m-1) p_\alpha - |\alpha+\gamma|-1 \over p(m-1)} [v_1(x_i^*)]^{m-|\alpha| \over q_1(m-1) p_\alpha - m-|\alpha+\gamma| \over q_1(m-1)} \\
 &\quad \times \{[H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)][H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)]\}^{2/p_\alpha-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(|\alpha| - 1)p_\alpha - (|\alpha + \gamma| - 1) \geq 0, \quad (m - |\alpha|)p_\alpha - (m - |\beta|) \geq 0.$$

So the right-hand side of (6.10) is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)]^{2-2/p_\alpha} [H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)]^{2-2/p_\alpha} \\
 &\quad \times \{[H_1(x_1^*) + H_1(x_2^*)][H_m(x_1^*) + H_m(x_2^*)]\}^{2/p_\alpha-2} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimates and applying Young's inequality we obtain

$$(6.11) \quad I_-^{(2)}(\alpha, s) \leq \varepsilon \{I_+(\alpha, s) + I_+(\alpha + \gamma, s)\} + C_{31} \varepsilon^{-p_\beta} I_+(\beta, s).$$

We also use the transformation of variables of the type  $x_j^* = y$ .

The estimate (6.11) is analogous to the estimate for the corresponding term of the right-hand side of (3.5) which follows from (3.6). In that way it is possible to estimate the other terms of the right-hand side of (6.7). Thus using the described method which is based on prolongation of functions outside  $\Omega$  and the discussions of Sections 3, 4 we get the assertion of Theorem 2.5.

### 7. Sketch of proof of Theorem 2.1

The proof of the estimate (2.8) is based on arguments analogous to those of the preceding sections. Using a partition of unity we reduce the estimation of the left-hand side of (2.8) to that of the integral

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |D^k u|^{p_k} v_k \varphi^q dx$$

with a smooth cut-off function  $\varphi(x)$ .

If  $\text{supp } \varphi \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$  we repeat the reasoning of Section 3. We transform the integral in (7.1) using integration by parts (analogously to the equality (3.5)). Then we estimate the resulting terms by Hölder's inequality.

If  $\text{supp } \varphi \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$  the transformation of the integral (7.1) and its estimation are based on extension of functions outside  $\Omega$  and the arguments of Section 6. In that way we establish the inequality

$$(7.2) \quad I_k \leq C I_{k-1}^{1/2} (I_{k+1}^{1/2} + I_{k-1}^{1/2}) \quad \text{for } I_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha} u|^{p_k} v_k dx$$

with some constant  $C$  depending only on the known parameters. From (7.2) we obtain the estimate (2.8) by induction.

### 8. Example and counterexample

Take a weight function of the type

$$v_{\alpha}(x) = \{\text{dist}(x, E)\}^{\lambda_{\alpha}},$$

where  $E$  is some subset of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . For instance we can take  $E = \{x_0\}$ ,  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,

$$(8.1) \quad v_1(x) = |x - x_0|^{\lambda_1}, \quad v_m(x) = |x - x_0|^{\lambda_m}.$$

For this choice, conditions (1.9) are satisfied if we assume that

$$(8.2) \quad -n < \lambda_1 < n(q-1), \quad -n < \lambda_m < n(p-1), \quad \lambda_m \geq \lambda_1.$$

Condition (w) is satisfied for example if

$$(8.3) \quad \lambda_m q_1 - \lambda_1 p > (m-1)pq_1 - (q_1 - p)n.$$

So under assumptions (8.2), (8.3) for the weight functions defined by (8.1) all the preceding results are valid.

Now we construct a counterexample to show that our conditions are essential. We cannot weaken conditions (1.7) because [8] gives an example, for  $q = mp$ , of an equation of the considered structure with an unbounded solution. An analogous example shows that the condition

$$(8.4) \quad v_m(x) \leq K_1 v_1(x)$$

in (1.9) is essential.

Consider the equation

$$(8.5) \quad \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \left\{ |D^2 u|^{p-2} |x|^{\lambda_2} \left[ \sigma_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} + \sigma_2 \delta_i^j \right) \left( \frac{x_l x_k}{|x|^2} + \sigma_2 \delta_k^l \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] \right\} \\ - \sigma_3 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ |D^1 u|^{q-2} |x|^{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\} = 0.$$

A calculation shows that for a suitable choice of  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  and under the condition

$$(8.6) \quad \lambda_2 - 2p = \lambda_1 - q > -n$$

the equation (8.5) has a solution  $u(x) = \ln|x| \in W_p^2(B, v_2) \cap W_q^1(B, v_1)$  in  $B = B(0, 1)$  with  $v_2(x) = |x|^{\lambda_2}$  and  $v_1(x) = |x|^{\lambda_1}$ . In fact,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  can be chosen to be positive.

Let now the inequality (8.4) be not valid, so  $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ . If we now choose

$$(8.7) \quad q = 2p + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) > 2p$$

we can satisfy all conditions on  $v_1(x)$  and  $v_2(x)$  in our paper except the condition (8.4). But in this case we have an unbounded solution  $u(x) = \ln|x|$ . This shows that the condition (8.4) is essential.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. CAFFARELLI, R. KOHN AND L. NIRENBERG, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, *Compositio Math.* **53** (1984), 259–275.
- [2] S. CHANILLO AND R. L. WHEEDEN, *Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities and estimates for weighted Peano maximal functions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985), 1191–1226.
- [3] E. DE GIORGI, *Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **1** (1968), 135–137.
- [4] E. GIUSTI AND M. MIRANDA, *Un esempio di soluzioni discontinue per un problema di minimo relativo ad un integrale del calcolo delle variazioni*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **1** (1968), 219–226.
- [5] C. S. LIN, *Interpolation inequalities with weights*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **11** (1986), 1515–1538.
- [6] B. MUCKENHOUPT, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [7] L. NIRENBERG, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* **13** (1955), 116–162.

- [8] I. V. SKRYPNIK, *Higher-order quasilinear elliptic equations with continuous generalized solutions*, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **14** (1978), 1104–1118. (Russian)
- [9] ———, *Methods for Analysis of Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994.
- [10] ———, *On quasilinear parabolic higher-order equations with Hölder solutions*, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **29** (1993), 501–514. (Russian)
- [11] ———, *On the Hölder property for functions from the class  $B_{q,s}$* , *Ukrainian Math. J.* **45** (1993), 1020–1029.

*Manuscript received October 2, 1995*

FRANCESCO NICOLOSI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Catania  
Viale A. Doria, 6  
95125 Catania, ITALY

*E-mail address:* fnicolosi@dipmat.unict.it

IGOR V. SKRYPNIK  
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics  
Roza Luxemburg St., 74  
340114 Donetsk, UKRAINE

*E-mail address:* skrypnik@iamm.ac.donetsk.ua