

DUAL SPACES OF CERTAIN VECTOR SEQUENCE SPACES

RONALD C. ROSIER

This article is an investigation of certain spaces of sequences with values in a locally convex space analogous to the generalized sequence spaces introduced by Pietsch in his monograph *Verallgemeinerte Vollkommene Folgenräume* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962). Pietsch combines a perfect sequence space A and a locally convex space E to obtain the space $A(E)$ of all E valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the scalar sequence $(\langle a, x_n \rangle)$ is in A for every $a \in E'$. Define $A\{E\}$ to be the space of all E valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the scalar sequence $(p(x_n))$ is in A for every continuous seminorm p on E . The spaces $A(E)$ and $A\{E\}$ are topologized using the topology of E and a certain collection \mathcal{M} of bounded subsets of A^x , the α -dual of A .

The criteria for bounded sets, compact sets, and completeness are similar for both spaces. The significant difference lies in the duality theory. The dual of $A(E)_{\mathcal{M}}$ is difficult to represent, but the dual of $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is shown to be easily representable for general A and E . For many special cases of A and E the dual of $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is of the form $A^x\{E'\}$ where A^x is the α -dual of A and E' is the strong dual of E .

We begin by recalling basic definitions and elementary facts about sequence spaces and establishing some notation. After defining the space $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ and deriving some elementary properties, we proceed to a description of its dual space. We show that the notion of a "fundamentally A -bounded" space E provides sufficient conditions for the duality relationship $A\{E\}' = A^x\{E\}$. We next show that there are large classes of A and E satisfying these conditions and we conclude by applying our results to the case $A = l^p$ obtain, for example, that the strong dual of $l^p\{E\}$ is $l^q\{E'\}$ for E a normed, Frechet, or (DF) -space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

I would like to thank Professor G. M. Köthe for his encouragement during the preparation of this work.

2. Definitions and notations. A sequence space A is a vector space of real or complex sequences with the usual coordinatewise operations. To each sequence space A there corresponds another sequence space A^x , called the α -dual of A , consisting of all $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$, such that the scalar products $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$ converge absolutely, that is $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| < \infty$, for all β in A . Letting A^{xx} denote the α -dual of

A^x , we have $A \subset A^{xx}$. If $A^{xx} = A$, then A is called a perfect sequence space.

Every perfect sequence space A satisfies $\phi \subset A \subset \omega$, where ϕ is the space of all sequences with only a finite number of nonzero coordinates and ω is the space of all scalar sequences. Henceforth we shall consider only perfect spaces A .

A subset B of A is called bounded if for every α in A^x there exists a positive constant ρ such that $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \leq \rho$ for all β in B . A subset M of A is called normal if whenever M contains α it also contains all β satisfying $|\beta_n| \leq |\alpha_n|$ for all n . The normal hull $N(M)$ of a set M is the set of all sequences β such that $|\beta_n| \leq |\alpha_n|$ for all n , for some α in M . A simple consequence of these definitions is that the normal hull of a bounded set is bounded. Also every perfect sequence space is normal.

The bilinear form $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$ on $A^x \times A$ places A^x and A in duality with each other. If M is any bounded subset of A^x , then $M^0 = \{\beta \in A \mid |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| = |\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in M\}$ is an absorbing absolutely convex subset of A . A family \mathcal{M} , consisting of bounded subsets of A^x , is called a normal topologizing system for A if \mathcal{M} has the following properties: (i) if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, then there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $M_1 \cup M_2 \subset M$. (ii) if $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\rho > 0$, then $\rho M \in \mathcal{M}$. (iii) if $\alpha \in A^x$, then $\alpha \in M$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}$. (iv) the normal hull of every set in \mathcal{M} is in \mathcal{M} .

(1) *If \mathcal{M} is a normal topologizing system for A , then the collection of all $M^0, M \in \mathcal{M}$, forms a neighborhood base at 0 for a locally convex topology on A . A base of seminorms for this \mathcal{M} -topology on A is given by the seminorms*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{M^0}(\beta) &= \sup \{ |\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \} \end{aligned}$$

where M ranges over the normal sets in \mathcal{M} .

It is the normality of M that allows the absolute value to be brought inside the summation above.

The two extreme cases of \mathcal{M} are the class $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(A^x)$ consisting of all normal bounded subsets of A^x and the class $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(A^x)$ consisting of all normal hulls $N(\alpha)$ of single elements of A^x . The \mathcal{B} -topology on A is the so called strong or $T_b(A^x)$ -topology on A and the \mathcal{N} -topology on A is the normal topology on A in the sense of Köthe, [1. §30]. Note that we always have $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

We shall need the following result due to Pietsch [2. Satz 1.4].

(2) *A subset A of A is bounded if, and only if, it is bounded for*

some (every) \mathcal{M} -topology on Λ .

Let α be any scalar sequence. We denote by $\alpha(\leq i)$ the i th finite section of α , that is the sequence with coordinates α_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots, i$ and 0 for $n > i$. $\alpha(\leq i) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i, 0, \dots)$. Now let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote Λ equipped with an \mathcal{M} -topology and define $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}]$ to be that subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all sequences α which are the \mathcal{M} -limit of their finite sections.

(3) For any normal topologizing system \mathcal{M} , $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ is complete. $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}]$ is a closed subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ and hence also complete.

- (4) (a) $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}] = \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ for every perfect space Λ .
- (b) If $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ is reflexive, then $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}] = \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The proof of (3) is in Pietsch [2. Satz 1.13, 1.14]. The proofs of (4) are in Köthe [1. § 30.5(8) and § 30.7(1), (5)].

Our terminology for locally convex spaces will be that of Köthe [1]. E will always denote a locally convex Hausdorff space. E has a fundamental system of absolutely convex closed neighborhoods of zero which we denote by $\mathcal{U}(E)$. For every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ there is a continuous seminorm on E denoted by p_U and defined by the formula

$$p_U(x) = \sup \{ |\langle u, x \rangle| \mid u \in U^\circ \} .$$

We shall always consider E' , the topological dual of E , to be equipped with the strong topology, that is, the topology defined by the neighborhoods B° or seminorms

$$p_{B^\circ}(u) = \sup \{ |\langle u, x \rangle| \mid x \in B \}$$

where B ranges over the bounded subsets of E .

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ and p_U be the corresponding seminorm. Let $N(U)$ denote the kernel of p_U and let $E_U = E/N(U)$ be the normed quotient space formed by equipping $E/N(U)$ with the quotient norm induced by p_U . Dually, let B be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of E and let $E_B = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty nB$. Then E_B is a linear subspace of E and the Minkowski functional q_B of B is a norm on E_B . In particular we may perform these constructions in the dual space E' . If B is bounded in E then B° is an absolutely convex closed neighborhood of o in E' and we can form the quotient space E'_{B° which is a normed space with norm $p_{B^\circ}(a) = \sup \{ |\langle a, x \rangle| \mid x \in B \}$. Dually if $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ then U° is an absolutely convex closed bounded (weakly compact) subset of E' and we can form the subspace E'_{U° which is a (B) -space with norm $q_{U^\circ}(a) = \sup \{ |\langle a, x \rangle| \mid x \in U \}$. The next proposition is an

easy consequence of these definitions

(5) (a) $E'_{U^{\circ}}$ is a (B) -space with norm $q_{U^{\circ}}$ and can be identified with the dual space of E_U, p_U .

(b) E_B is a norm space with norm q_B and can be identified with a linear subspace of the dual space of $E'_{B^{\circ}}, p_{B^{\circ}}$.

3. The space $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let Λ be a perfect sequence space and let E be a locally convex space. $\Lambda\{E\}$ is the vector space of all E -valued sequences $x = (x_n)$ such that the sequence of scalars $p_U(x_n)$ is in Λ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$. If \mathcal{M} is a normal topologizing system for Λ , $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ will denote $\Lambda\{E\}$ equipped with the locally convex Hausdorff \mathcal{M} -topology defined by the family of seminorms

(1) $\pi_{M,U}(x) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in M \}$ where $M \in \mathcal{M}, U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$.

The following two statements are simple consequences of these definitions.

(2) $I_n: \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow E$ defined by $I_n(x) = x_n$ is a continuous linear map for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

(3) $I_U: \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$ is uniformly continuous for every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$.

A subset A of $\Lambda\{E\}$ is called bounded if for every $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ there exists a constant ρ such that $\sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \leq \rho$ for all $x \in A$. For each $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$, define $N(x) = \{ (\lambda_n x_n) \mid |\lambda_n| \leq 1 \text{ all } n \}$. A subset A of $\Lambda\{E\}$ is called normal if $x \in A$ implies $N(x) \subset A$. The set $N(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)$ is called normal hull of A . We observe that $\Lambda\{E\}$ is itself normal since Λ is normal.

(4) The following statements are equivalent for a subset A of $\Lambda\{E\}$.

- (a) A is bounded.
- (b) The normal hull of A is bounded.
- (c) A is \mathcal{M} -bounded for some (every) \mathcal{M} -topology on $\Lambda\{E\}$.
- (d) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$, $I_U(A)$ is bounded in Λ .
- (e) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$, $I_U(A)$ is \mathcal{M} -bounded in Λ for some (every) \mathcal{M} -topology on Λ .

Proof. The equivalences (a) \Leftrightarrow (b), (a) \Leftrightarrow (d), and (c) \Leftrightarrow (e) follow directly from the definitions. (d) \Leftrightarrow (e) is a consequence of 2.(2).

(5) If E is complete, then $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is complete.

Proof. Let $x^{(\nu)}$ be a Cauchy net in $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Continuity of the linear map I_n implies $x_n^{(\nu)}$ is a Cauchy net in E for each fixed n and

hence must converge to some x_n in E . Uniform continuity of the map I_U implies $(p_U(x_n^{(\nu)}))$ is a Cauchy net in $A_{\mathcal{M}}$ and hence must converge to some $\alpha^{(U)} = (\alpha_n^{(U)})$ in $A_{\mathcal{M}}$. Because of the coordinatewise convergence of $x^{(\nu)}$ to $x = (x_n)$ we have $p_U(x_n) = \alpha_n^{(U)}$. Thus $(p_U(x_n))$ is in A and x is therefore in $A\{E\}$. Finally $x^{(\nu)}$ converges to x in the \mathcal{M} -topology for if $\varepsilon > 0$ is given and ν_0 is such that

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x^{(\mu)}) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| |p_U(x_n^{(\nu)}) - x_n^{(\mu)}| \mid \alpha \in M \} < \varepsilon$$

for all $\nu, \mu \geq \nu_0$, then

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } \nu \geq \nu_0.$$

We denote by $x(\leq n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0 \dots)$ the n th finite section of a sequence x in $A\{E\}$. Let $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ be the subspace of $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all those x in $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ which are the \mathcal{M} -limit of their finite sections; that is $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ consists of those x for which $\pi_{M,U}(x - x(\leq n))$ converges to zero for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$.

(6) A sequence x in $A\{E\}$ is in $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ if, and only if, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$, $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$ is in $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$.

In general $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ will be a proper subspace of $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$, but using (6) and 2.(4) we obtain

- (7) (a) $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$.
- (b) If $A_{\mathcal{M}}$ is reflexive then $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

(8) $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ is a closed subspace of $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and hence complete if E is complete.

Proof. If $x \in A\{E\}$ is the limit of a net $x^{(\nu)}$ in $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$, then for each $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ $I_U(x) = \lim_{\nu} I_U(x^{(\nu)})$ is in $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$ since $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$ is closed in $A_{\mathcal{M}}$. But then by (6) x is in $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$.

4. The dual space of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$. The α - dual of $A\{E\}$, denoted $A\{E\}^{\alpha}$, is the vector space of all E' -valued sequences $a = (a_n)$ such that $\sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x = (x_n)$ in $A\{E\}$.

(1) For every a in $A\{E\}^{\alpha}$ and for every bounded set B in E , $(p_{B^0}(a_n))$ is in A^{α} . That is $A\{E\}^{\alpha} \subset A^{\alpha}\{E'\}$.

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. For each n , there exists $x_n \in B$ such that

$$|\beta_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) = p_{B^0}(\beta_n a_n) \leq |\langle \beta_n a_n, x_n \rangle| + 2^{-n}.$$

Since (x_n) is a bounded sequence in E , $(\beta_n x_n)$ is in $A\{E\}$ and therefore

$$\Sigma |\beta_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \leq \Sigma |\langle a_n, \beta_n x_n \rangle| + 2^{-n} < \infty .$$

Since $\beta \in A$ was arbitrary, $p_{B^0}(a_n)$ is in A^x .

(2) If $x \in A\{E\}$ and $\gamma \in c_0$ ($c_0 =$ scalar sequences convergent to zero), then $\gamma x = (\gamma_n x_n)$ is in $[A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. It follows easily from the definition of the seminorms $\pi_{M,U}$ that

$$\pi_{M,U}(\gamma x(> i)) \leq \sup_{n > i} |\gamma_n| \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

and the right side converges to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$, so γx is the limit of its finite sections.

(3) Every continuous linear form F on $[A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$ has a unique representation of the form

$$\langle F, x \rangle = \langle a, x \rangle = \Sigma \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$$

with $a = (a_n)$ in $A\{E\}^x$.

Proof. Define linear forms on E by $\langle a_n, x \rangle = \langle F, e_n x \rangle$, $x \in E$, e_n is the n th unit coordinate vector in A . Continuity of F implies $|\langle F, x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$ for some seminorm $\pi_{M,U}$ and for every x in $[A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$. Since M is bounded, we have for each n , $\rho_n = \sup \{|\alpha_n| \mid \alpha \in M\} < \infty$. For every x in E we have therefore $|\langle a_n, x \rangle| = |\langle F, e_n x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(e_n x) = \sup \{|\alpha_n| p_U(x) \mid \alpha \in M\} = \rho_n p_U(x)$ and the continuity of a_n is established.

Clearly $a = (a_n)$ represents F since $\langle F, x \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F, x(\leq i) \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F, \sum_{n=1}^i e_n x_n \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \Sigma \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$.

Finally we show $a \in A\{E\}^x$. Let $x \in A\{E\}^x$ be arbitrary. For every $\gamma \in c_0$, we can choose $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ with $|\lambda_n| = 1$ so that $|\gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \lambda_n \gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$. By (2), $\lambda \gamma x = (\lambda_n \gamma_n x_n)$ is in $[A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$ and hence $\Sigma |\gamma_n| |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \Sigma \lambda_n \gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \langle F, \lambda \gamma x \rangle < \infty$. Since $\gamma \in c_0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $\Sigma |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| < \infty$ and hence that $a \in A\{E\}^x$.

REMARKS. Combining (1) and (3) yields $[A\{E\}]' \subset A\{E\}^x \subset A^x\{E\}$. Conditions sufficient for the equality of these spaces are given in the next section. We now proceed to an explicit characterization of $[A\{E\}]'$.

(4) If $a \in A\{E\}^x$ defines a continuous linear form on $[A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$, then there exists $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ such that $a_n \in E'_{U^0}$ for all n and moreover $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$.

Proof. Continuity of a implies $|\langle a, x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$ for some seminorm $\pi_{M,U}$ and for all $x \in [A\{E\}]_{\mathcal{A}}$. As in the proof of (3), we obtain

that for every n , and for every $u \in E$, $|\langle a_n, u \rangle| \leq \rho_n p_U(u)$ from which it follows that $a_n \in E'_{U^0}$ and $q_{U^0}(a_n) \leq \rho_n$. We must show that $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$.

Let $\beta \in A$ be arbitrary and set $\rho = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \}$. For each n , there exists $y_n \in U$ such that $q_{U^0}(\beta_n a_n) \leq \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle + 2^{-n}$. For each i , the finite section $\beta y(\leq i)$ of the sequence $(\beta_n y_n)$ is in $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^i \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle \alpha, \beta y(\leq i) \rangle \leq \pi_{M,U}(\beta y(\leq i)) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^i |\alpha_n| p_U(\beta_n y_n) \mid \alpha \in M \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^i |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \right\} \leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Since i was arbitrary, $\sum \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle < \infty$. It follows that $\sum |\beta_n| q_{U^0}(a_n) = \sum q_{U^0}(\beta_n a_n) < \infty$ and therefore that $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$ since $\beta \in A$ was arbitrary.

(5) *The dual space of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is the space of all E' -valued sequences $a = (a_n)$ which have a representation of the form $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$ with $\alpha \in A^x$ and (u_n) an equicontinuous sequence in E' .*

Proof. If we set $\alpha_n = q_{U^0}(a_n)$ and $u_n = (1/\alpha_n)a_n$, ($u_n = 0$ if $\alpha_n = 0$), then (4) says that every element in the dual of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ has the given form.

Conversely, if $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$ with $\alpha \in A^x$ and (u_n) equicontinuous, then, choosing M with $\alpha \in M$ and $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ with $(u_n) \subset U^0$, we obtain

$$|\langle a, x \rangle| \leq \sum |\alpha_n| |\langle u_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

for all x in $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and hence a is continuous.

Using the methods of the proofs of (4) and (5), one can show

(6) *The equicontinuous subsets of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]'$ are the sets of the form*

$$\{ \alpha u \mid \alpha = (\alpha_n) \in M, u = (u_n) \subset U^0 \}$$

where $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$.

5. **Fundamentally A -bounded spaces.** In the previous section, we saw that $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]' \subset A\{E\}^x \subset A^x\{E'\}$. In this section we establish conditions sufficient for the equality $A\{E\}^x = A^x\{E'\}$ and for the more interesting equality $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]' = A^x\{E'\}$. We also give conditions which insure the strong dual of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Finally we give suffi-

cient conditions for $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to be reflexive.

The important concept in all these conditions is that of a “fundamentally A -bounded” space E . A locally convex space E is fundamentally A -bounded if all the bounded subsets of $A\{E\}$ can be obtained in a natural way from the bounded subsets of A and E .

Let R be a normal bounded subset of A and let B be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of E . Define $[R, B] = \{x \in A\{E\} \mid x_n \in E_B \text{ and } (q_B(x_n)) \in R\}$.

The following are simple consequences of this definition.

- (1) $[R, B]$ is a bounded subset of $A\{E\}$.
- (2) If $R \subset R'$ and $B \subset B'$, then $[R, B] \subset [R', B']$.

Let V be a vector space in which the notion of a bounded set has been defined. A collection \mathcal{B} of subsets of V is called a fundamental system of bounded sets for V if every bounded set in V is contained in some set in \mathcal{B} .

We shall say that a locally convex space E is fundamentally A -bounded if the collection of all sets of the form $[R, B]$ form a fundamental system of bounded sets for $A\{E\}$, where R and B run through a fundamental system of bounded sets for A and E respectively.

- (3) If E is fundamentally A -bounded, then $A\{E\}^x = A^x\{E'\}$.

Proof. We need only show the inclusion $A^x\{E'\} \subset A\{E\}^x$. Let $a \in A^x\{E'\}$ and let $x \in A\{E\}$. Then there exist R and B with $x \in [R, B]$ and hence $(q_B(x_n)) \in R$. But $(p_{B^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$, and therefore

$$\sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \sum p_{B^0}(a_n) q_B(x_n) < \infty.$$

Since x was arbitrary, this shows $a \in A\{E\}^x$.

Recall that a locally convex space E is called σ -infrabarreled if every countable strongly bounded subset of E' is equicontinuous. Clearly every infrabarreled space is σ -infrabarreled.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

- (4) Let E be a σ -infrabarreled space and let A be a perfect sequence space.

(a) If E' is fundamentally A^x -bounded, then the dual of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is $A^x\{E'\}$.

(b) If moreover E is fundamentally A -bounded, then the strong dual of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proof. (a) We need only show the inclusion $A^x\{E'\} \subset [A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$. Let $a \in A^x\{E'\}$. By hypothesis there exists a bounded set D in E' such that $(q_D(a_n)) \in A^x$. For each n , set $u_n = q_D(a_n)^{-1} a_n$ ($u_n = 0$ if $q_D(a_n) = 0$).

Then u_n is in D for each n . Since E is σ -infrabarreled, $\{u_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is equicontinuous and hence $a = (a_n) = (q_D(a_n)u_n)$ is in $[A\{E\}]'$ by 4.(5).

(b) If E is fundamentally A -bounded, then the strong topology on $[A\{E\}]' = A^x\{E'\}$ is defined by the seminorms

$$\sigma_{[R, B]}(a) = \sup |\sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \sup \sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle|$$

where the sup is taken over x in $[R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]'$. The topology on $A^x\{E'\}$ is defined by the seminorms

$$\pi_{R, B^0}(a) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) | \alpha \in R \} .$$

In both cases, R ranges over all normal bounded subsets of A and B over all absolutely convex bounded subsets of E . We show these seminorms coincide.

One inequality is easy:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{[R, B]}(a) &= \sup \{ \sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| | x \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]' \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum p_{B^0}(a_n) p_B(x_n) | x \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]' \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) | \alpha \in R \} \\ &= \pi_{R, B^0}(a) . \end{aligned}$$

Now the reverse inequality. Let $a \in A^x\{E'\}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of π_{R, B^0} there exists $\alpha \in R$ with $\pi_{R, B^0}(a) \leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n)$. For each n there exists $y_n \in B$ such that $p_{B^0}(a_n) \leq |\langle a_n, y_n \rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n} |\alpha_n|^{-1}$. (If a_n or α_n is zero, let y_n be any element in B .) Let $z_n = \alpha_n y_n$. Then $z \in [R, B]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{R, B^0}(a) &\leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| |\langle a_n, y_n \rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sum |\langle a_n, z_n \rangle| \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_{\gamma} \{ \sum |\gamma_n| |\langle a_n, z_n \rangle| | \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leq 1 \} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_{\gamma} \{ \sum |\langle a_n, \gamma_n z_n \rangle| | \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \sigma_{[R, B]}(a) . \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $\gamma z \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]'$. Since ε was arbitrary the theorem is proved.

(5) Let E be locally convex and let A be a perfect sequence space such that

- (i) A and E are both reflexive, and
- (ii) E is fundamentally A -bounded and E' is fundamentally A^x -bounded. Then both $A\{E\}$ and its strong dual $A^x\{E'\}$ are reflexive.

Proof. Since E is reflexive, both E and E' are σ -infrabarreled.

Also E'' is fundamentally A^{xx} -bounded since $E = E''$ and $A = A^{xx}$. Since $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is reflexive, so also is its strong dual $A^x_{\mathcal{S}}$. It follows from 2.(7)(b) that $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $[A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}] = A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$. This theorem now follows by applying (4) twice, first to $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}]$ and then to $[A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}]$.

6. Examples of fundamentally A -bounded spaces. In this section, we show that there exist nontrivial classes of spaces E and A for which E is fundamentally A -bounded.

(1) *Every normed space E is fundamentally A -bounded for every perfect sequence space A .*

Proof. Let A be any bounded subset of $A\{E\}$, and let B denote the unit ball of E . Then $I_B(A) = \{(\|x_n\|) \mid x \in A\}$ is a bounded subset of A and hence contained in some normal bounded set R . Thus $A \subset [R, B]$.

(2) (a) *If E is normed and if A is any perfect sequence space, then the strong dual of $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$.*

(b) *If E is reflexive (B)-space and if $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is reflexive, then $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and its strong dual $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ are reflexive.*

This follows from (1) above and 5.(4), (5).

The next lemma is due to Pietsch [3. Satz 1.5.8].

(3) *Every metrizable locally convex space E is fundamentally l^1 -bounded.*

We shall also use the following well-known fact. (See e.g. [1. §29.1.(5)].)

(4) *If E is a metrizable locally convex space, and if B_k is a sequence of bounded subsets of E , then there always exist positive scalars λ_k such that $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k B_k$ is also bounded.*

(5) *Let A and A^x be perfect sequence spaces which are α -dual to one another. Suppose A^x has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N_3 \subset \dots$. Then:*

(a) *Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally A -bounded.*

(b) *Every (DF) -space is fundamentally A^x -bounded.*

See [1. §29], for example, for the definition and basic properties of (DF) -spaces.

Proof. (a) Let E be metrizable and let A be a bounded subset of $A\{E\}$. Then by A is \mathcal{S} -bounded in $A\{E\}$. Thus for each k and

each $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$, there exists a constant $\rho_{k,U}$ such that for all $x \in A$,

$$\pi_{N_k,U}(x) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \leq \rho_{k,U} .$$

This implies that the set $A_k = \{ \alpha x = (\alpha_n x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k, x \in A \}$ is a bounded subset of $l^1\{E\}$. By Lemma (3), there exists a bounded set B_k in E such that $A_k \subset [R_1, B_k]$ where R_1 denotes the unit ball of l^1 , or equivalently

$$(*) \quad \sum |\alpha_n| q_{B_k}(x_n) = \sum q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n) = \| (q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n)) \|_{l^1} \leq 1$$

for all $\alpha \in N_k, x \in A$. By (4) there exist positive scalars λ_k such that $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k B_k$ is bounded. Since $B_k \subset \lambda_k^{-1} B$ we have for all $x \in E_{B_k}$ that $q_B(x) \leq \lambda_k^{-1} q_{B_k}(x)$. Thus for every k and for all $x \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{N_k}^\circ(q_B(x_n)) &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| q_B(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| \lambda_k q_{B_k}(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \\ &\leq \lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

by (*). This implies that the set $\{(q_B(x_n)) \mid x \in A\}$ is \mathcal{B} -bounded and hence bounded in \mathcal{A} , and is therefore contained in some normal bounded subset R of \mathcal{A} . Thus $A \subset [R, B]$ and (a) is proved.

(b) Let E be a (DF) -space. Then E has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \dots$.

Suppose E is not fundamentally A^x -bounded, then there exists a bounded subset A in $A^x\{E\}$ such that A is not contained in any of the sets $[N_k, B_k], k = 1, 2, \dots$. We show this leads to a contradiction.

For every index k , A not a subset of $[N_k, B_k]$ implies that there exists $x^{(k)} \in A$ such that $(q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)})) \notin N_k$. Thus there exists $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^\circ$ such that $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)}) > 1$. In fact for each k , there exists a finite set $\{u_n^{(k)}\} \subset B_k^\circ, n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k$, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{f_k} \beta_n^{(k)} | \langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle | > 1 .$$

Let $G = \{u_n^{(k)} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k\}$. Then G is a countable subset of E' . If G is strongly bounded in E' , then G is equicontinuous since E is a (DF) -space. We show G is strongly bounded. Fix m . Since $\{u_n^{(k)} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, m, n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k\}$ is finite, there exists a positive constant $\rho_m \geq 1$ with $u_n^{(k)} \in \rho_m B_m^\circ$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $n = 1, \dots, f_k$, since B_m° is an absorbing subset of E' . For $k > m, B_k \supset B_m$ and hence $B_k^\circ \subset B_m^\circ$ so $u_n^{(k)} \in B_k^\circ \subset B_m^\circ$ for all $k > m$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k$. Thus for every m , there exists a positive constant ρ_m with $G \subset \rho_m B_m^\circ$. The sets $B_1^\circ \supset B_2^\circ \supset B_3^\circ \supset \dots$ form a neighborhood base for the strong topology on E' , so G is strongly bounded and hence equi-continuous.

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ be such that $G \subset U^\circ$. Since A is bounded in $A^x\{E\}$, the set $\{p_U(x_n) \mid x \in A\}$ is bounded in A^x and hence contained in some N_k . Since $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^\circ$, this implies $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n) \leq 1$ for all $x \in A$. But taking $x = x^{(k)}$, we obtain $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n^{(k)}) > \sum_{n=1}^k \beta_n^{(k)} |\langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle| > 1$ which is a contradiction.

As in theorem (5), let A and A^x be α -dual perfect sequence spaces such that A^x has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets. The results of (5) cannot be improved to include either of the following assertions.

(a) Every (DF)-space is fundamentally A -bounded.

(b) Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally A^x -bounded.

Counterexamples are provided by (9) and (8) below.

Recall that ω is the space of all scalar sequences and ϕ is the space of all scalar sequences with only finitely many nonzero coordinates. ϕ and ω are perfect and α -dual to each other. Moreover ϕ has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots$, where $N_k = \{\alpha \in \phi \mid |\alpha_n| \leq k \text{ if } n \leq k \text{ and } \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if } n > k\}$. The following lemma is due to Pietsch [2, Satz 3.19].

(6) *Let E be a metrizable locally convex space which has no continuous norm. Then there exists $x \in \phi\{E\}$ such that for every index n , $x_n \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots$ be a fundamental system of seminorms for E . No p_k is a norm. Thus for each integer k there exists $x_k \in E$ with $x_k \neq 0$ but $p_k(x_k) = 0$. Set $x = (x_n)$. Fix k . For all $n \geq k$ we have $p_n(x_n) = 0$ but $p_k \leq p_n$, so $p_k(x_n) = 0$ for all $n \geq k$. Thus $(p_k(x_n)) \in \phi$ for each seminorm p_k .

(7) *For any locally convex space E , $\omega\{E\}$ is the space of all E -valued sequences.*

(8) *There exist metrizable locally convex spaces E such that E is not fundamentally ϕ -bounded.*

Proof. Let E be a metrizable space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists $x \in \phi\{E\}$ with $x_n \neq 0$ for all n . Therefore there exist $a_n \in E'$ with $\langle a_n, x_n \rangle = 1$. But by (7), $a = (a_n) \in \omega\{E'\}$. Since $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \infty$, we conclude $\phi\{E\}^x \neq \omega\{E'\} = \phi^x\{E'\}$. By 5.(3) this implies E is not fundamentally ϕ -bounded.

(9) *There exist (DF)-spaces E such that E is not fundamentally ω -bounded.*

Proof. Let E be a (DF) -space whose strong dual E' is an (F) -space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists $a \in \phi\{E'\}$ such that $a_n \neq 0$ for all n . Let $x_n \in E$ be such that $\langle a_n, x_n \rangle = 1$. Then $x = (x_n) \in \omega\{E\}$ but $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \infty$ so we conclude $\omega\{E\} \neq \phi\{E'\} = \omega^s\{E'\}$. By 5.(3) this implies E is not fundamentally ω -bounded.

The space ω may be viewed as a topological product of countably many copies of the scalar field. With the product topology it is a (F) -space with no continuous norm. It is the strong dual of the (DF) -space ϕ viewed as a locally convex direct sum of countably many copies of the scalar field. Thus the examples in (8) and (9) can be made more explicit by taking $E = \omega$ in (8) and $E = \phi$ in (9).

7. The spaces $l^p\{E\}$ $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. It is well known that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the α -dual of l^p is l^q where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. The bounded subsets of l^p are easily seen to be the sets which are bounded in l^p -norm $\|\alpha\|_p = (\sum |\alpha_n|^p)^{1/p}$. Thus every l^p space has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets consisting of positive integer multiples of the unit ball.

A sequence $x = (x_n)$ in a locally convex space E is called absolutely p -summable, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if for every continuous seminorm p_U on E , $\sum p_U(x_n)^p < \infty$.

(1) $l^p\{E\}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is the vector space of all absolutely p -summable sequences in E . $l^\infty\{E\}$ is the vector space of all bounded sequences in E .

The seminorms defining the $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(l^q)$ topology on $l^p\{E\}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{kB,U}(x) &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in kB \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{k^{-1}U}(x_n) \mid \alpha \in B \} \\ &= (\sum p_{k^{-1}U}^{-1}(x_n)^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

where k is a positive integer, B is the unit ball in l^q , and U is any absolutely convex neighborhood of 0. Since $k^{-1}U$ is also such a neighborhood, we have

(2) $1 \leq p < \infty$. A base of seminorms for $l^p\{E\}_\mathcal{B}$ is given by the family of seminorms

$$\pi_U^{(p)}(x) = (\sum p_U(x_n)^p)^{1/p} \quad U \in \mathcal{U}(E).$$

A similar argument for the case $p = \infty$ yields

(3) A base of seminorms for $l^\infty\{E\}_\mathcal{B}$ is given by the family of

seminorms

$$\pi_U^{(\infty)}(x) = \sup \{p_U(x_n) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

It follows that an element x in $l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ will be the limit of its finite sections if and only if $p_U(x_n)$ converges to 0 for every $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$. Clearly every element of $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the limit of its finite sections.

(4) $[l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}] = l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$
 $[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ = vector space of all sequences in E converging to 0.

We now show how the results of the previous sections can be applied to the duality theory of the $l^p\{E\}$ spaces.

(5) *Every metrizable locally convex space and every (DF)-space is fundamentally l^p -bounded for every $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Proof. Since every $l^q, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets, and since $(l^p)^* = l^q$ with $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, this result follows immediately from 6.(5).

(6) *Let E be a metrizable locally convex space or a (DF)-space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the strong dual of $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and the strong dual of $[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is $l^1\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. This is a direct application of (5) above and 5.(4). (We are also using the facts that the dual of a metrizable space is a (DF)-space and the dual of a (DF)-space is metrizable.)

(7) *If E is a reflexive (B)-, (F)-, or (DF)-space, then for $1 < p < \infty$, $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a reflexive (B)-, (F)-, or (DF)-space respectively.*

Proof. By (6) above and 5.(5), $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is reflexive. If E is a (B)- or (F)-space, then it is clear from the fact that the seminorms $\pi_U^{(p)}, U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$, define the \mathcal{B} -topology on $l^p\{E\}$, that $l^p\{E\}$ is a (B)- or (F)-space respectively. If E is a reflexive (DF)-space, then E' is an (F)-space and $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ as the strong dual of the (F)-space $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ must be a (DF)-space.

REFERENCES

1. G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 159, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
2. A. Pietsch, *Verallgemeinerte Vollkommene Folgenräume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.

3. A. Pietsch, *Nukleare Lokalkonvexe Räume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Received April 18, 1972.

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

