SOME INVARIANTS UNDER MONOTONE TRANSFORMATIONS*

D. W. HALL† AND A. D. WALLACE

We assume that S is a locally connected, connected, compact metric space and that P is a property of point sets. For any two points a and b of S we denote by C(ab) (respectively $C_i(ab)$) a closed (closed irreducible) cutting of S between the points a and b. We consider the following properties:

- $\Delta_0(P)$. If S is the sum of two continua, their product has property P. $\Delta_1(P)$. If K is a subcontinuum of S and R is a component of S-K, then the boundary of R, $(F(R) = \overline{R} R)$, has property P.
 - $\Delta_2(P)$. Each $C_i(ab)$ has property P.
- $\Delta_3(P)$. If A and B are disjoint closed sets containing the points a and b, respectively, there is a C(ab) disjoint from A+B and having property P.

If P is the property of being connected, the four properties $\Delta_i(P)$ are equivalent as shown by Kuratowski.‡ Indeed it may be seen that Kuratowski's proofs allow us to state the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. For any property P of point sets, $\Delta_i(P)$ implies $\Delta_{i+1}(P)$ for i=0, 1, 2.

This result is the best possible in the sense that there is a property (that of being totally disconnected) for which no other implication holds.

The single-valued continuous transformation T(S) = S' is said to be *monotone* if the inverse of every point is connected. It may be seen that the following statements are true:

- (i) The inverse of every connected set is connected.
- (ii) If the set X separates S between the inverses of the points x and y, then T(X) separates S' between x and y.

THEOREM 2. If the property P is invariant under monotone trans-

^{*} Presented to the Society, October 29, 1938.

[†] National Research Fellow.

[‡] C. Kuratowski, *Une caractérisation topologique de la surface de la sphère*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 13 (1929), p. 307, and references given there.

[§] G. T. Whyburn, Non-alternating transformations, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 56 (1934), p. 294.

formations, then for each i = 0, 1, 2, 3, the property $\Delta_i(P)$ is invariant under the monotone transformation T(S) = S'.

- PROOF. (0) If S' = L + M, the summands being continua, then $S = L^{-1} + M^{-1*}$ is a sum of continua. Hence the set $L^{-1} \cdot M^{-1}$ has property P and $L \cdot M = T(L^{-1} \cdot M^{-1})$ then has property P.
- (1) If R is a component of S'-K, where K is a continuum, then R^{-1} is a component of the complement of the continuum K^{-1} . By assumption, $F(R^{-1})$ has property P. It follows that its image has property P. But we have $T(F(R^{-1})) = T(\overline{R^{-1}} R^{-1}) = \overline{T(R^{-1})} R = F(R)$.
- (2) Assume that C is a $C_i(ab)$ in S'. From the continuity of T it follows that C^{-1} is a C(pq) in S, p and q being any two points in the inverses of a and b, respectively. Since the inverses of a and b are connected, there exists a cutting K of S^{\dagger} between these two sets such that K is a $C_i(xy)$, where T(x) = a and T(y) = b; and further K is a subset of C^{-1} . Thus K has property P; hence T(K) has. But $T(K) \subset C$, and T(K) is a C(ab). It follows that T(K) = C and from this that C has property P.
- (3) Let A and B denote disjoint closed subsets of S' containing a and b. If x and y are points which map into a and b, then by hypothesis there is a cutting K of S between x and y that is disjoint with A^{-1} and B^{-1} and has property P. Since, clearly, K is a cutting of S between the inverses of a and b, it follows that T(K) cuts S' between a and b, is disjoint with A+B, and has property P.

As an application we have the following known results:

THEOREM 3. The property of a locally connected continuum to be a dendrite, a regular curve, or a rational curve is a monotone invariant.

To see this we take P to be the property of being a point, a finite set of points, or a countable set of points and apply the invariance of $\Delta_3(P)$.

THE UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

^{*} If X is a subset of S', we denote by X^{-1} the inverse of X.

[†] G. T. Whyburn, Concerning irreducible cuttings of continua, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 13 (1929), p. 42.

[‡] See the fourth footnote and references given there.