

# On Symplectic Automorphisms of Hyper-Kähler Fourfolds of $K3^{[2]}$ Type

GIOVANNI MONGARDI

## 1. Introduction

An automorphism  $\varphi$  of a hyper-Kähler manifold  $X$  is *symplectic* if

$$\varphi^*(\sigma_X) = \sigma_X,$$

where  $\sigma_X$  is a holomorphic symplectic 2-form on  $X$ . Finite abelian groups of symplectic automorphisms of complex K3 surfaces have been classified by Nikulin in [11]. In particular, we know that a symplectic automorphism of finite order on a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{C}$  has order at most 8.

This paper deals with symplectic automorphisms on hyper-Kähler fourfolds that are deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of two points of a K3 surface; such fourfolds are known as manifolds of  $K3^{[2]}$  type. Recall that manifolds of  $K3^{[2]}$  type have  $b_2 = 23$  and  $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \cong U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus (-2)$ ; here  $U$  is the hyperbolic plane,  $E_8(-1)$  is the unique negative-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 8, and  $(-2)$  is the rank-1 lattice of discriminant  $-2$ .

Let  $\text{Co}_1$  be Conway's sporadic simple group. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a hyper-Kähler manifold of  $K3^{[2]}$  type and let  $G$  be a finite group of symplectic automorphisms of  $X$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Co}_1$ .*

We recall that Mukai [10] proved an analogous result for K3 surfaces (see also the proof of Kondo [8]). Namely, a finite group of symplectic automorphisms is a subgroup of Mathieu's group  $M_{23}$ .

A partial converse to Theorem 1.1 is provided by Proposition 2.12, which also gives a computational method of determining possible finite automorphism groups. We shall use Theorem 1.1 to prove the following result on symplectic automorphisms of order 11.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a fourfold of  $K3^{[2]}$  type and let  $\psi : X \rightarrow X$  be a symplectic automorphism of order 11. Then  $21 \geq h_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(X) \geq 20$ . Moreover,  $\text{Bir}(X)$*

has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/(11))$ . Furthermore, if  $X$  is projective then  $h_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(X) = 21$ .

Finally, we give an example of a fourfold of  $\mathrm{K3}^{[2]}$  type with a symplectic automorphism of order 11. Our example is given by the Fano scheme of lines on a cubic fourfold (unique up to projectivities). Fano schemes of lines on cubic fourfolds were first studied in [3], where it is proved that they are of  $\mathrm{K3}^{[2]}$  type.

The manifold  $X_{\mathrm{Kl}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$ , which is given with respect to homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : \cdots : x_5)$  by

$$X_{\mathrm{Kl}} = V(x_0^3 + x_1^2x_5 + x_2^2x_4 + x_3^2x_2 + x_4^2x_1 + x_5^2x_3), \tag{1}$$

is a 3 : 1 cover of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$  ramified along a cubic threefold (first studied by Klein [7]). We denote the Fano scheme of lines in  $X_{\mathrm{Kl}}$  by  $F_{\mathrm{Kl}}$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *The Fano scheme  $F_{\mathrm{Kl}}$  is a hyper-Kähler fourfold of  $\mathrm{K3}^{[2]}$  type. It has a symplectic automorphism  $\varphi$  of order 11 that is induced by the element*

$$(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5) \rightarrow (x_0 : \omega x_1 : \omega^3 x_2 : \omega^4 x_3 : \omega^5 x_4 : \omega^9 x_5) \tag{2}$$

of  $\mathrm{PGL}_6(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/11}$ .

We will describe other automorphisms of  $X_{\mathrm{Kl}}$ . They are taken from the same work of Klein and were studied also by Adler [1].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some results in lattice theory and then use them to prove Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. Section 3 briefly analyzes deformations of manifolds of  $\mathrm{K3}^{[2]}$  type that have a symplectic automorphism of order 11. In that section we also compute  $\mathrm{NS}(X)$  for one interesting polarization. In Section 4 we give the promised example of a manifold with an order-11 symplectic automorphism, and in Section 5 we describe more symplectic automorphisms of this example.

## 2. Lattice Theory

In this section we give a proof of Proposition 1.2 and a proof of Theorem 1.1 using several results on general lattice theory and on lattices defined by symplectic automorphisms. The interested reader can consult [12] for the main results concerning discriminant forms, [5] for a broader treatment of Sections 2.1 and 2.2, and [9] for proofs of the stated results on lattices defined by symplectic automorphisms.

Let  $L$  be a lattice—that is, a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module equipped with an integer-valued symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_L$ . We say that  $L$  is *even* if  $(a, a)_L \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $a \in L$  and that  $L$  is *unimodular* if  $L^\vee = L$ .

Given an even lattice  $L$ , the group  $A_L = L^\vee/L$  is called the *discriminant group*. Let  $l(A_L)$  be the minimal number of generators of  $A_L$ . On  $A_L$  there is a well-defined quadratic form  $q_{A_L}$  (induced by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_L$ ) that takes values in  $\mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and is called the *discriminant form*. Let  $(l_+, l_-)$  denote the signature of the quadratic form induced by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_L$  on  $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .

We abuse notation and define the signature  $\mathrm{sign}(q)$  of a discriminant form  $q$  as  $l_+ - l_-$  (modulo 8), where  $L$  is a lattice with discriminant form  $q$ . This notion is

well-defined because two lattices  $M, M'$  such that  $q_{A_M} = q_{A_{M'}}$  are stably equivalent; that is, there exist two unimodular lattices  $T, T'$  such that  $M \oplus T \cong M' \oplus T'$ .

Two lattices  $M$  and  $M'$  are said to have the same *genus* if  $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong M' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . We remark that there could be several isometry classes of the same genus.

Let  $S$  be a nondegenerate sublattice of an unimodular lattice  $L$ , and let  $M = S^\perp$ . Then  $A_M = A_S$  and  $q_{A_M} = -q_{A_S}$ . If  $G$  is a finite group of isometries of a lattice  $L$ , we let

$$T_G(L) = L^G$$

be the invariant lattice and let

$$S_G(L) = T_G(L)^\perp$$

be the co-invariant lattice.

The following lemmas are simplified versions of fundamental results on the existence of lattices and on the existence of primitive embeddings. Both general results were proven by Nikulin [12, Thm. 1.10.1, Thm. 1.12.2].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A_T$  be a finite abelian group and let  $q_T$  be a quadratic form on  $A_T$  with values in  $\mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- *there exists a lattice  $T'$  of rank  $t_+ + t_-$  and discriminant form  $q_T$  over the group  $A_T$ ;*
- *$\text{sign}(q_T) \equiv t_+ - t_- \pmod{8}$ ;*
- *$t_+ \geq 0, t_- \geq 0$ , and  $t_+ + t_- \geq l(A_T)$ .*

*Then there exists an even lattice  $T$  of signature  $(t_+, t_-)$ , discriminant group  $A_T$ , and form  $q_{A_T}$ .*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $S$  be an even lattice of signature  $(s_+, s_-)$ . There exists a primitive embedding of  $S$  into some unimodular lattice  $L$  of signature  $(l_+, l_-)$  if and only if there exists a lattice  $M$  of signature  $(m_+, m_-)$  and discriminant form  $q_{A_M}$  such that:*

- *$s_+ + m_+ = l_+$  and  $s_- + m_- = l_-$ ;*
- *$A_M \cong A_S$  and  $q_{A_M} = -q_{A_S}$ .*

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $L = U^3 \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus (-2)$  and let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $O(L)$ . Then there exists a primitive embedding  $L \rightarrow L' \cong U^4 \oplus E_8(-1)^2$  such that  $G$  extends to a group of isometries of  $L'$  and  $S_G(L) = S_G(L')$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a vector of square 2 and  $v \in L$  a vector of square  $-2$  such that  $(v, L) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $L'$  be the overlattice of  $L \oplus \mathbb{Z}x$  generated by  $L$  and  $\frac{x+v}{2}$ , and extend the action of  $G$  to  $L'$  by letting  $G$  act as the identity on  $x$ . A direct computation shows that  $S_G(L) = S_G(L')$ . □

### 2.1. Niemeier Lattices and Leech Couples

In this section we recall Niemeier’s list of negative-definite even unimodular lattices in dimension 24. We also introduce a class of lattices that will be of fundamental interest throughout Section 2. Detailed information about these lattices can be found in [5, Chap. 16] and in [12, Sec. 1.14].

**Table 1** Niemeier Lattices

Name	Dynkin diagram	Maximal Leech-type group	Coxeter number	Generating glue code
$N_1$	$D_{24}$	1	46	[1]
$N_2$	$D_{16}E_8$	1	30	[10]
$N_3$	$E_8^3$	$S_3$	30	[000]
$N_4$	$A_{24}$	2	25	[5]
$N_5$	$D_{12}^2$	2	22	[12], [21]
$N_6$	$A_{17}E_7$	2	18	[31]
$N_7$	$D_{10}E_7^2$	2	18	[110], [301]
$N_8$	$A_{15}D_9$	2	16	[21]
$N_9$	$D_8^3$	$S_3$	14	[(122)]
$N_{10}$	$A_{12}^2$	4	13	[15]
$N_{11}$	$A_{11}D_7E_6$	2	12	[111]
$N_{12}$	$E_6^4$	$2.S_4$	12	[1(012)]
$N_{13}$	$A_9^2D_6$	$2^2$	10	[240], [501], [053]
$N_{14}$	$D_6^4$	$S_4$	10	[even perm. of {0, 1, 2, 3}]
$N_{15}$	$A_8^3$	$S_3 \times 2$	9	[(114)]
$N_{16}$	$A_7^2D_5^2$	$2^3$	8	[1112], [1721]
$N_{17}$	$A_6^4$	$2.A_4$	7	[1(216)]
$N_{18}$	$A_5^4D_4$	$2.S_4$	6	[2(024)0], [33001], [30302], [30033]
$N_{19}$	$D_4^6$	$3 \times S_6$	6	[111111], [0(02332)]
$N_{20}$	$A_4^6$	$2.L_2(5).2$	5	[1(01441)]
$N_{21}$	$A_3^8$	$2^3.L_2(7).2$	4	[3(2001011)]
$N_{22}$	$A_2^{12}$	$2.M_{12}$	3	[2(11211122212)]
$N_{23}$	$A_1^{24}$	$M_{24}$	2	[1(00000101001100110101111)]
$\Lambda$	$\emptyset$	$Co_0$	0	$\emptyset$

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $M$  be a lattice and let  $G \subset O(M)$ . Then  $(M, G)$  is Leech couple if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $M$  is negative definite;
- $M$  contains no vectors of square  $-2$ ;
- $G$  acts trivially on  $A_M$ ;
- $S_G(M) = M$ .

Observe that  $(\Lambda, Co_0)$  as in Table 1 (last row) is a Leech couple.

Now we recall Niemeier’s list of negative-definite even unimodular lattices of dimension 24. All of these lattices can be obtained by specifying a 0- or 24-dimensional negative definite Dynkin lattice such that every semisimple component has a fixed Coxeter number. In Table 1 we list the possible choices. We obtain a Niemeier lattice  $N$  from its Dynkin lattice  $A$  by adding a certain set of glue vectors that is a subset  $G(N)$  of  $A^\vee/A$ . The precise definition of glue vectors is given in [5, Sec. 4], and we keep the notation from there. Note that the set of glue vectors forms an additive subgroup of  $A^\vee/A$ .

The maximal Leech-type group  $\text{Leech}(N)$  is defined to be the maximal subgroup  $G$  of  $O(N)$  such that  $(S_G(N), G)$  is a Leech couple. This group, first computed in [6], is isomorphic to  $O(N)/W(N)$ , where  $W(N)$  is the Weyl group generated by reflections in  $-2$  vectors.

The data are summarized in Table 1, where we have used the notation of [4] for groups and the notation of [5] for the glue code. In particular:

- $n$  means a cyclic group of order  $n$ ;
- $p^n$  means an elementary  $p$ -group of order  $p^n$ ;
- $G.H$  means any group  $F$  with a normal subgroup  $G$  such that  $F/G = H$ ;
- $L_m(n)$  means the group  $\text{PSL}_m$  over the finite field with  $n$  elements;
- $S_n$  and  $A_n$  denote (respectively) permutation and alternating groups on  $n$  elements; and
- $M_n$  and  $\text{Co}_n$  denote (respectively) the Mathieu and Conway groups.

For the glue code:

- $[abc]$  means a vector  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x, y,$  and  $z$  glue vectors of type  $a, b,$  and  $c,$  respectively;
- $[(abc)]$  means all glue vectors obtained from  $[a, b, c]$  by cyclic permutations—thus,  $[abc], [bca],$  and  $[cab]$ .

It is well known (see [5, Chap. 26]) that all the Niemeier lattices can be defined as sublattices of  $\Pi_{1,25} \cong U \oplus E_8(-1)^3$  by specifying a primitive isotropic vector  $v$  and setting  $N = (v^\perp \cap \Pi_{1,25})/v$ .

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let  $\Pi_{1,25} \subset \mathbb{R}^{26}$  (where the first coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}^{26}$  is the positive-definite one) be as before. Let

$$v = (17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5)$$

and

$$w = (70, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 24)$$

be two isotropic vectors in the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{26}$ . Then

$$\Lambda \cong (w^\perp \cap \Pi_{1,25})/w$$

and

$$N_{15} \cong (v^\perp \cap \Pi_{1,25})/v.$$

### 2.2. The “Holy” Construction and Automorphisms of the Leech Lattice

In this section we sketch the so-called holy construction (see [5, Chap. 24] for details) of the Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  from other Niemeier lattices. We shall use this construction later in proving Proposition 1.2.

Let  $A_n(-1)$  be the negative definite Dynkin lattice defined by

$$A_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \sum a_i = 0\},$$

$$q_{A_n(-1)} = -q_{A_n}.$$

Let  $f_0$  be the vector with  $-1$  in the first coordinate and 1 in the second (and with 0 elsewhere). Let  $g_0 = h^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2}n, -\frac{1}{2}n + 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}n)$ , where  $h$  is the Coxeter number

of  $A_n$ . Let  $f_j$  and  $g_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , be the respective images of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  under cyclic permutations of coordinates. Notice that  $f_j$ ,  $j \neq 0$ , are the simple roots of  $A_n(-1)$ .

Suppose now that  $nm = 24$ , so that  $A_n(-1)^m$  is a 24-dimensional lattice contained in a Niemeier lattice  $N$ . Let  $h_k = (g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_m})$ , where  $[j_1 j_2 \dots j_m]$  is a glue code obtained from Table 1 and  $k \in G(N)$ . Let  $f_j^r = (0, \dots, 0, f_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where  $f_j$  belongs to the  $r$ th copy of  $A_n(-1)$ . Let  $m_j^r$  and  $n_k$  be integers.

PROPOSITION 2.6 [5, Chap. 24]. *With notation as just described,*

$$\left\{ \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n m_j^r f_j^r + \sum_{k \in G(N)} n_k h_k \mid \sum_k n_k = 0 \right\} \tag{3}$$

*is isometric to the negative definite Niemeier lattice  $N$ . Also,*

$$\left\{ \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n m_j^r f_j^r + \sum_{k \in G(N)} n_k h_k \mid \sum_k n_k + \sum_{j,r} m_j^r = 0 \right\} \tag{4}$$

*is isometric to the Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  and is known as the “holy” construction of  $\Lambda$  with hole  $N$ .*

The glue code also provides several automorphisms of the Leech lattice, where the action of  $t \in G(N)$  is given by sending  $h_w$  to  $h_{w+t}$ . The holy construction can be used to exhibit the action of certain elements of  $\text{Co}_1$  on  $\Lambda$  explicitly, as in the following examples.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let us apply this construction to the lattice  $A_{12}^2$ , where  $G(N) = \mathbb{Z}_{/13}$ . Let  $\chi$  be an automorphism of  $\Lambda$  of order 13 generated by a nontrivial element  $g$  of  $G(N)$  via this holy construction. Then  $\chi$  cyclically permutes the simple roots of both copies of  $A_{12}$  and so has no fixed points in  $\Lambda$ .

EXAMPLE 2.8. Consider the lattice  $A_2^{12}$  and the cyclic permutation  $\chi$  of the last 11 copies. This defines automorphisms, also denoted  $\chi$ , of both  $N_{22}$  and  $\Lambda$  via the same action on glue vectors.

A direct computation shows that  $T_\chi(N_{22})$  is spanned by

$$f_1^1, f_1^2, \sum_2^{12} f_1^i, \sum_2^{12} f_2^i, \sum_1^{11} h_j;$$

here  $h_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, 11\}$ , are obtained from generators of the glue code as in Table 1. Moreover,  $S_\chi(N_{22})$  has rank 20 and is spanned by

$$(f_1^k - \chi f_1^k), (f_2^k - \chi f_2^k), (g_j - \chi g_j), \tag{5}$$

where  $k$  runs from 2 to 12 and  $j$  is as before. These vectors lie in the set defined by (4), where  $g_j$  plays the role of  $h_k$ ,  $k \in G(N)$ . Hence  $S_\chi(N_{22})$  is contained in  $\Lambda$  and, since both  $S_\chi(N_{22})$  and  $S_\chi(\Lambda)$  are primitive, it follows that  $S_\chi(N_{22}) = S_\chi(\Lambda)$ .

EXAMPLE 2.9. A similar computation can be performed for  $A_1^{24}$ . We index the copies of  $A_1$  by the set

$$\{\infty, 0, 1, \dots, 22\} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/(23)).$$

The isometry  $\chi$  of order 11 is defined by the permutation

$$(0)(15\ 7\ 14\ 5\ 10\ 20\ 17\ 11\ 22\ 21\ 19)(\infty)(3\ 6\ 12\ 1\ 2\ 4\ 8\ 16\ 9\ 18\ 13). \tag{6}$$

As before, this isometry preserves both  $N_{23}$  and  $\Lambda$ ; the lattice  $S_\chi(N_{23})$  is generated by the following vectors:

$$(f_1^k - \chi f_1^k), (f_1^l - \chi f_1^l), (g_j - \chi g_j). \tag{7}$$

Here  $k$  runs through the indexes contained in the first 11-cycle of (6),  $l$  runs through the second one, and  $j$  runs through the generators of the glue code contained in Table 1.

Once again, all of these generators also lie in  $\Lambda$  and so  $S_\chi(N_{23}) = S_\chi(\Lambda)$ . A direct computation shows that the lattice  $S_{11} = S_\chi(N_{23})$  is given by the following quadratic form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = & \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 & -2 & -2 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
 & 1 & -4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\
 & -2 & -1 & -4 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
 & -2 & -1 & -2 & -4 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -4 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 & -1 \\
 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -4 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\
 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \\
 B = & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\
 -1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 1 \\
 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
 -2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\
 -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\
 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$



- (i)  $S_G(L)$  is nondegenerate and negative definite; and
- (ii)  $S_G(L)$  contains no element with square  $(-2)$ .

Then there exists a hyper-Kähler manifold  $X$  of  $K3^{[2]}$  type and a subgroup  $G' \subset \text{Bir}(X)$  such that  $G' \cong G$ ,  $S_G(L) \cong S_{G'}(X)$ , and  $G'|_{H^{2,0}(X)} = \text{Id}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** *Let  $(S, G)$  be a Leech couple such that  $S$  is primitively contained in some Niemeier lattice  $N$ , and suppose there exists a primitive embedding  $S \rightarrow L$ . Then  $G$  extends to a group of bimeromorphisms on some hyper-Kähler manifold  $X$  of  $K3^{[2]}$  type.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.11:  $G$  acts trivially on  $A_S$ , so we can extend  $G$  to a group of isometries of  $L$  acting trivially on  $S^{\perp L}$ . Thus we have  $S_G(L) \cong S$ . Then the conditions of Lemma 2.11 are satisfied because  $(S, G)$  is a Leech couple. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $b = \text{rank}(S_G(X))$ ; by Lemma 2.10,  $S_G(X)$  has signature  $(0, b)$ . By Remark 2.3 we have a lattice  $T'$  of signature  $(4, 20 - b)$  such that  $A_{T'} = A_{S_G(X)}$  and  $q_{T'} = -q_{A_{S_G(X)}}$ . We can therefore apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain a lattice  $T$  of signature  $(0, 24 - b)$  and discriminant form  $-q_{A_{S_G(X)}}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2 there exists a primitive embedding  $S_G(X) \rightarrow N$ , where  $N$  is one of the lattices contained in Table 1. Again by Lemma 2.10 we see that  $(S_G(X), G)$  is a Leech couple, whence  $G$  lies inside  $\text{Leech}(N)$ . Using the holy construction now yields  $\text{Leech}(N) \subset \text{Leech}(\Lambda)$ . A direct computation then shows that, for all  $G \subset \text{Leech}(N) \subset \text{Leech}(\Lambda)$ , we have  $\text{rank}(S_G(N)) = \text{rank}(S_G(\Lambda))$  (after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$  they are both generated by elements of the form  $v - g(v)$  with  $v \in N$  and  $g \in G$ ). The central involution of  $\text{Co}_0$  clearly has a co-invariant lattice of rank 24, so we can restrict ourselves to  $\text{Co}_1$ . □

**COROLLARY 2.13.** *Let  $\phi$  be a symplectic automorphism of prime order  $p$  on a hyper-Kähler fourfold  $X$  of  $K3^{[2]}$  type. Then  $p \leq 11$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, the order of a symplectic automorphism must divide the order of the group  $\text{Co}_1$ . That criterion excludes all primes except for 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, and 23. An automorphism of order 23 has a co-invariant lattice that is negative definite and of rank 22, so it cannot embed into  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . This result can be computed explicitly using an order-23 element of  $M_{24}$  and letting it act on  $\Lambda$  or on  $N_{23}$ . The only Niemeier lattice with an automorphism of order 13 is  $\Lambda$ , where all elements of order 13 are conjugate (see [4]). These automorphisms have no fixed points on  $\Lambda$ , as in Example 2.7. □

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* By Theorem 1.1,  $S_\psi(X)$  embeds in a Niemeier lattice  $N$  and  $\psi$  extends to an element of  $\text{Leech}(N)$ . By Table 1,  $N$  can only be  $N_{22}$ ,  $N_{23}$ , or  $\Lambda$  and—up to conjugacy—there is only one possible choice for  $\psi \in O(N_{23})$  or  $\psi \in O(\Lambda)$  and only two possible choices for  $\psi \in O(N_{22})$ .

We computed  $S_\psi(N)$  in Examples 2.8 and 2.9, where we proved that it is isometric to  $S_{11}$ . We immediately have  $20 \leq h_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(X) \leq 21$ ; hence clearly  $h_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(X) = 21$  if  $X$  is projective. Now we wish to give an action of  $L_2(11) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/(11))$  on  $S_{11}$ . We can suppose without loss of generality that  $N = N_{23}$ , in which case the action of  $L_2(11)$  is given by the following permutations of the standard coordinates of  $N_{23}$  (see [5, pp. 274, 280]):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (15\ 7\ 14\ 5\ 10\ 20\ 17\ 11\ 22\ 21\ 19)(3\ 6\ 12\ 1\ 2\ 4\ 8\ 16\ 9\ 18\ 13); \\ \beta &= (14\ 17\ 11\ 19\ 22)(20\ 10\ 7\ 5\ 21)(18\ 4\ 2\ 6\ 1)(8\ 16\ 13\ 9\ 12); \\ \gamma &= (2\ 4)(5\ 10)(6\ 18)(8\ 12)(9\ 16)(11\ 17)(14\ 19)(20\ 21). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 2.12, this action of  $L_2(11)$  on  $S_{11}$  is induced by a group of birational transformations isomorphic to  $L_2(11)$ . □

### 3. Deformation Behavior

In this section we analyze deformation classes of manifolds of  $\mathrm{K}3^{[2]}$  type with a symplectic automorphism of order 11. We also look at their possible invariant polarizations.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a hyper-Kähler manifold with Kähler class  $\omega$  and symplectic form  $\sigma_X$ . Then there exists a family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}_\omega(X) & := & X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ & & \downarrow \\ S^2 & \cong & \mathbb{P}^1, \end{array} \tag{8}$$

called the twistor family, such that  $\mathrm{Tw}_\omega(X)_{(a,b,c)} = X$  with complex structure given by the Kähler class  $a\omega + b(\sigma_X + \bar{\sigma}_X) + c(\sigma_X - \bar{\sigma}_X)$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a hyper-Kähler manifold, and let  $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$  be a finite group of symplectic automorphisms. Let  $\omega$  be a  $G$ -invariant Kähler class. Then the action of  $G$  on  $X$  extends to a symplectic action of  $G$  on all the fibers of the twistor space associated to  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Every fiber has a Kähler class that is a linear combination of  $\sigma_X, \bar{\sigma}_X$ , and  $\omega$ . Because  $G$  is symplectic on  $X$ , these classes are all  $G$ -invariant; therefore,  $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Tw}_\omega(X)_t)$  for all  $t$ . □

Let  $X$  be a manifold of  $\mathrm{K}3^{[2]}$  type with a symplectic automorphism  $\psi$  of order 11, and let  $\omega$  be a  $\psi$ -invariant Kähler class.

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that a nontrivial deformation of  $(X, \psi)$  has dimension at most 1. Moreover, the twistor family  $\mathrm{Tw}_\omega(X)$  is naturally endowed with a symplectic automorphism of order 11 (as in Lemma 3.2). Hence  $\mathrm{Tw}_\omega(X)$  is already a family of the maximal dimension for such pairs  $(X, \psi)$ . We also have

that the twistor family  $\text{Tw}_\omega(X)$  is actually a family over the base  $(T_\psi(X) \otimes \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C}^*$ , where the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action is given by the identification  $T_\psi(X) = \langle \omega, \sigma_X, \bar{\sigma}_X \rangle \cap H_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(X)$ .

Thus what we really need to analyze are the possible lattices  $T_\psi(X)$  up to isometry. We have already proved that there is only one isometry class of lattices  $S_\psi(X)$ —namely, that of  $S_{11}$ . Yet there might be several isometry classes of lattices  $T_\psi(X)$ . In fact, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.12 can be used to compute the genus of  $T_\psi(X)$  only.

A direct computation shows that there are two such lattices:

$$T_{11}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 22 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

$$T_{11}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & 8 & -3 \\ -2 & -3 & 8 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Hence there are two distinct families of hyper-Kähler manifolds endowed with a symplectic automorphism of order 11, whose existence follows from Lemma 2.11. We call these families  $\text{Tw}(X_1)$  and  $\text{Tw}(X_2)$ .

### Invariant Polarizations

In this subsection we look at possible invariant polarizations of small degree of  $\text{Tw}(X_1)$  and  $\text{Tw}(X_2)$ —that is, at primitive vectors in  $T_{11}^1$  and  $T_{11}^2$ . We have computed several polarizations of degree up to 24.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *The minimal degree of an invariant polarization inside  $\text{Tw}(X_1)$  is 2, and there are no polarizations of degree 4, 12, 14, 16, or 20. Moreover, the least degree of a polarization  $f$  such that  $(f, L) = 2\mathbb{Z}$  (i.e.,  $f$  has divisor 2) is 22.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c$  be the basis of  $T_{11}^1$  in (9). A minimal polarization is given by the vector  $a$ , and a minimal polarization of degree 22 and divisor 2 is given by  $c$ . The rest is just a direct computation. □

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *The minimal degree of an invariant polarization inside  $\text{Tw}(X_2)$  is 6, and there are no polarizations of degree 12, 14, 16, or 20. Moreover, the least degree of a polarization  $f$  such that  $(f, L) = 2\mathbb{Z}$  is 6.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c$  be the basis of  $T_{11}^2$  in (10). A minimal polarization is given by the vector  $a$ , which also has divisor 2. The rest is just a direct computation. □

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a manifold of  $K3^{[2]}$  type with a symplectic automorphism  $\psi$  of order 11 and with an invariant polarization of degree 6 and divisor 2. Then*

$$\text{NS}(X) \cong (6) \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -6 \end{pmatrix}^2 \tag{11}$$

and

$$T(X) = \langle \sigma_X, \bar{\sigma}_X \rangle \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{pmatrix} 22 & 33 \\ 33 & 66 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\text{NS}(X)$  is an overlattice of  $S_{11} \oplus (6)$ . Since there are no nontrivial overlattices (its discriminant group has no nontrivial isotropic elements) of  $S_{11} \oplus (6)$ , it follows that  $\text{NS}(X) = S_{11} \oplus (6)$ . A direct computation shows that this lattice is isomorphic to  $(6) \oplus E_8(-1)^2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -6 \end{pmatrix}^2$ . Finally,  $T(X)$  is the orthogonal complement of the polarization in  $T_\psi(X)$ . By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 we have  $T_\psi(X) = T_{11}^2$ , and a direct computation shows that  $T(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 22 & 33 \\ 33 & 66 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

### 4. The Fano Scheme of Lines $F_{K1}$

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. We start by giving some results, about Fano schemes of lines on cubic fourfolds, that are due to Beauville and Donagi [3].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be a smooth cubic fourfold, and let  $F(X)$  be the scheme parameterizing lines contained in  $X$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- $F(X)$  is a hyper-Kähler manifold;
- $F(X)$  is of  $K3^{[2]}$  type;
- the Abel–Jacobi map

$$\alpha: H^4(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(F(X), \mathbb{C}) \tag{13}$$

is an isomorphism of Hodge structures.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\psi$  be the element of  $\text{PGL}_6(\mathbb{C})$  sending  $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5)$  to  $(x_0 : \omega x_1 : \omega^3 x_2 : \omega^4 x_3 : \omega^5 x_4 : \omega^9 x_5)$ , where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/11}$ . A cubic polynomial is  $\psi$ -invariant if and only if it is in the linear span of

$$B = \{x_0^3, x_1^2 x_5, x_2^2 x_4, x_3^2 x_2, x_4^2 x_1, x_5^2 x_3\}.$$

An easy computation shows that the differential of  $f$  has nontrivial zeroes (so that the cubic fourfold  $V(f)$  is singular) if and only if  $f$  lies in the span of some proper subset of  $B$ . Yet this is not the case for

$$h = x_0^3 + x_1^2 x_5 + x_2^2 x_4 + x_3^2 x_2 + x_4^2 x_1 + x_5^2 x_3;$$

hence  $X_{K1} = V(h)$ , as defined in (1), is smooth and so we can apply Theorem 4.1. We obtain that  $F_{K1}$  is a hyper-Kähler manifold that is deformation equivalent to  $K3^{[2]}$ . We also obtain the Hodge isomorphism  $\alpha$  given in (13).

Let  $\varphi$  be the map induced on  $F_{K1}$  by  $\psi$ . Using  $\alpha$ , we have that  $\varphi$  is symplectic if and only if  $\psi|_{H^{3,1}(X_{K1})} = \text{Id}$ .

By the formula in [13, Thm. 18.1] we have

$$H^{3,1}(X_{K1}) = \left\langle \text{Res} \left( \frac{\Omega}{h^2} \right) \right\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where  $\Omega = \sum_i (-1)^i x_i dx_0 \wedge \dots \widehat{dx_i} \dots \wedge dx_5$ . Since  $\psi$  acts trivially on both  $\Omega$  and  $h$ , it follows that  $\varphi(\sigma_{F_{K1}}) = \sigma_{F_{K1}}$  for any symplectic 2-form  $\sigma_{F_{K1}}$  on  $F_{K1}$ .

Finally, fixed points of  $\psi$  on  $X_{K1}$  are the eigenvectors of  $\psi$  lying on  $X_{K1}$ , which are the points  $[e_1], [e_2], [e_3], [e_4]$ , and  $[e_5]$ ; here  $e_1 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^6$  and so forth. A fixed line on  $X_{K1}$  must contain two fixed points, so the fixed points on  $F_{K1}$  are those parameterizing lines through those points:

$$[\overline{e_1}[e_2}], [\overline{e_1}[e_3}], [\overline{e_2}[e_3}], [\overline{e_3}[e_4}], [\overline{e_4}[e_5]].$$

This proves that  $\varphi$  is not the identity and hence it indeed has order 11. □

REMARK 4.2. Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 imply that  $F_{K1} \subset \text{Tw}(X_2)$ , and Corollary 3.5 gives the Neron–Severi and transcendental lattices. Moreover,  $\varphi$  does not lift to any small projective nontrivial deformation of  $F_{K1}$ , since  $h_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(F_{K1}) = h_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}(F_{K1})$ .

### 5. $L_2(11)$ Acting on $F_{K1}$

In this section we give explicitly the automorphisms of  $X_{K1}$  that generate the action of  $L_2(11)$  from Proposition 1.2.

We remark that  $X_{K1}$  is a 3 : 1 Galois cover of  $\mathbb{P}^4$  ramified along the threefold

$$KA = V(x_1^2 x_5 + x_2^2 x_4 + x_3^2 x_2 + x_4^2 x_1 + x_5^2 x_3), \tag{15}$$

where the covering map is simply the projection

$$[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5] \rightarrow [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$$

and the covering automorphism group is generated by

$$[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5] \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\eta x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5], \quad \eta = e^{2\pi i/3}.$$

Note that  $\alpha$  acts as multiplication by  $\eta$  on  $H^{3,1}(X_{K1})$ .

Clearly, any automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^4$  that preserves  $KA$  extends to an automorphism of  $X_{K1}$ . By the results in [1] and [7], these automorphisms generate precisely the group  $L_2(11) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/(11))$ , which is a finite simple group of order 660. Hence the automorphism group of  $X_{K1}$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}/(3) \times L_2(11)$ . Now we need only find generators of this group and then determine whether or not they act symplectically on  $F_{K1}$ .

Permuting the coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^4$  by the cyclic permutation (1 4 2 3 5) preserves  $KA$  and thus induces an automorphism  $\beta$  of order 5 on  $F_{K1}$ . From (14) we can check that  $\beta$  is a symplectic automorphism. Furthermore, a direct computation on the Jacobian ring  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]/(\partial h/\partial x_0, \dots, \partial h/\partial x_5)$  of  $X_{K1}$  shows that  $\text{rank}(S_{\beta}(F_{K1})) = 16$ .

Let  $H$  be the kernel of the action of  $L_2(11)$  on  $H^{2,0}(F_{K1})$ . This kernel  $H$  is nontrivial because  $\beta \in H$ , so  $H = L_2(11)$ . Therefore,  $L_2(11)$  acts symplectically on  $F_{K1}$ . By [4],  $L_2(11)$  contains only elements of order 2, 3, 5, 6, and 11. A direct computation shows that

$$\text{rank}(S_{\alpha}(F_{K1})) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } \text{ord}(\alpha) = 2, \\ 12 & \text{if } \text{ord}(\alpha) = 3, \\ 16 & \text{if } \text{ord}(\alpha) = 5 \text{ or } 6, \\ 20 & \text{if } \text{ord}(\alpha) = 11. \end{cases}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I would like to thank my advisor K. G. O’Grady for his support and for noticing the automorphism  $\beta$  in Section 5. I am also grateful to X. Roulleau for pointing out the work contained in [1] and [7], which gave most of the results of Section 5. Finally, I would like to thank A. Rapagnetta for generous advice, M. Schütt for useful discussions, and the referee for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] A. Adler, *On the automorphism group of a certain cubic threefold*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 1275–1280.
- [2] A. Beauville, *Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with  $c_1 = 0$* , Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds (Katata, 1982), Progr. Math., 39, pp. 1–26, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [3] A. Beauville and R. Donagi, *La variété des droites d’une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 301 (1985), 703–706.
- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [5] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 290, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [6] V. A. Erokhin, *Automorphism groups of 24-dimensional even unimodular lattices*, J. Soviet Math. 26 (1984), 1876–1879.
- [7] F. Klein, *Über die Transformationen elfter Ordnung der elliptischen Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 15 (1879), 533–555.
- [8] S. Kondo, *Niemeyer lattices, Mathieu groups and finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 92 (1998), 593–603.
- [9] G. Mongardi, *Symplectic involutions on deformations of  $K3^{[2]}$* , Cent. Eur. J. Math. 10 (2012), 1472–1485.
- [10] S. Mukai, *Finite groups of automorphisms of K3 surfaces and the Mathieu group*, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), 183–221.
- [11] V. V. Nikulin, *Finite automorphism groups of Kählerian K3 surfaces*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. 38 (1979), 75–137 (Russian).
- [12] ———, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43 (1979), 111–177 (Russian).
- [13] C. Voisin, *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, Cours Spéc., 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2002.

Department of Mathematics  
 Università degli studi RomaTRE  
 Largo San L. Murialdo 1  
 00146, Rome  
 Italy