

## 2-LOCAL TRIPLE DERIVATIONS ON VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

KARIMBERGEN KUDAYBERGENOV, TIMUR OIKHBERG,  
ANTONIO M. PERALTA AND BERNARD RUSSO

ABSTRACT. We prove that every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra  $M$  is a triple derivation, equivalently, the set  $\text{Der}_t(M)$ , of all triple derivations on  $M$ , is algebraically 2-reflexive in the set  $\mathcal{M}(M) = M^M$  of all mappings from  $M$  into  $M$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. According to the terminology employed in the literature (see, for example, [4]), a subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of the Banach space  $B(X, Y)$ , of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ , is called *algebraically reflexive* in  $B(X, Y)$  when it satisfies the property:

$$(1.1) \quad T \in B(X, Y) \quad \text{with } T(x) \in \mathcal{D}(x), \forall x \in X \Rightarrow T \in \mathcal{D}.$$

*Algebraic reflexivity* of  $\mathcal{D}$  in the space  $L(X, Y)$ , of all linear mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a stronger version of the above property not requiring continuity of  $T$ , is defined by:

$$(1.2) \quad T \in L(X, Y) \quad \text{with } T(x) \in \mathcal{D}(x), \forall x \in X \Rightarrow T \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In 1990, Kadison proved that (1.1) holds if  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set  $\text{Der}(M, X)$  of all (associative) derivations on a von Neumann algebra  $M$  into a dual  $M$ -bimodule  $X$  [18]. Johnson extended Kadison's result by establishing that the set  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}(A, X)$ , of all (associative) derivations from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  satisfies (1.2) [17].

---

Received December 9, 2014; received in final form August 27, 2015.

Second author partially supported by Simons Foundation travel grant 210060. Third author partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, D.G.I. project no. MTM2011-23843, Junta de Andalucía grant FQM375, and the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University (Saudi Arabia) research group no. RG-1435-020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05, 46L40.

Algebraic reflexivity of the set of local triple derivations on a  $C^*$ -algebra and on a  $JB^*$ -triple have been studied in [24], [9], [12] and [14]. More precisely, Mackey proves in [24] that the set  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}_t(M)$ , of all triple derivations on a  $JBW^*$ -triple  $M$  satisfies (1.1). The result has been supplemented in [12], where Burgos, Fernández-Polo and the third author of this note prove that for each  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$ , the set  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}_t(E)$  of all triple derivations on  $E$  satisfies (1.2).

Hereafter, *algebraic reflexivity* will refer to the stronger version (1.2) which does not assume the continuity of  $T$ .

In [6], Brešar and Šemrl proved that the set of all (algebra) automorphisms of  $B(H)$  is algebraically reflexive whenever  $H$  is a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Given a Banach space  $X$ , a linear mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the hypothesis at (1.2) for  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Aut}(X)$ , the set of automorphisms on  $X$ , is called a *local automorphism*. Larson and Sourour showed in [22] that for every infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ , every surjective local automorphism  $T$  on the Banach algebra  $B(X)$ , of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ , is an automorphism.

Motivated by the results of Šemrl in [31], references witness a growing interest in a subtle version of algebraic reflexivity called *algebraic 2-reflexivity* (cf. [1], [2], [10], [11], [21], [23], [25], [26] and [29]). A subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of the set  $\mathcal{M}(X, Y) = Y^X$ , of all mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$ , is called *algebraically 2-reflexive* when the following property holds: for each mapping  $T$  in  $\mathcal{M}(X, Y)$  such that for each  $a, b \in X$ , there exists  $S = S_{a,b} \in \mathcal{D}$  (depending on  $a$  and  $b$ ), with  $T(a) = S_{a,b}(a)$  and  $T(b) = S_{a,b}(b)$ , then  $T$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}$ . A mapping  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the property that for each  $a, b \in X$ , there exists  $S = S_{a,b} \in \mathcal{D}$  (depending on  $a$  and  $b$ ), with  $T(a) = S_{a,b}(a)$  and  $T(b) = S_{a,b}(b)$  will be called a 2-local  $\mathcal{D}$ -mapping. If we assume that every mapping  $S \in \mathcal{D}$  is  $r$ -homogeneous (that is,  $S(ta) = t^r S(a)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with  $0 < r$ , then every 2-local  $\mathcal{D}$ -mapping  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $r$ -homogeneous. Indeed, for each  $a \in X$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  take  $S_{a,ta} \in \mathcal{D}$  satisfying  $T(ta) = S_{a,ta}(ta) = t^r S_{a,ta}(a) = t^r T(a)$ .

Šemrl establishes in [31] that for every infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$ , the sets  $\text{Aut}(B(H))$  and  $\text{Der}(B(H))$ , of all (algebra) automorphisms and associative derivations on  $B(H)$ , respectively, are algebraically 2-reflexive in  $\mathcal{M}(B(H)) = \mathcal{M}(B(H), B(H))$ . Ayupov and the first author of this note proved in [1] that the same statement remains true for general Hilbert spaces (see [20] for the finite dimensional case). Actually, the set  $\text{Hom}(A)$ , of all homomorphisms on a general  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , is algebraically 2-reflexive in the Banach algebra  $B(A)$ , of all bounded linear operators on  $A$ , and the set  ${}^*\text{-Hom}(A)$ , of all  ${}^*$ -homomorphisms on  $A$ , is algebraically 2-reflexive in the space  $L(A)$ , of all linear operators on  $A$  (cf. [27]).

In recent contributions, Burgos, Fernández-Polo and the third author of this note prove that the set  ${}^*\text{-Hom}(M)$  (respectively,  $\text{Hom}_t(M)$ ), of all  ${}^*$ -homomorphisms (respectively, triple homomorphisms) on a von Neumann

algebra (respectively, on a JBW\*-triple)  $M$ , is an algebraically 2-reflexive subset of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  (cf. [10], [11], respectively), while Ayupov and the first author of this note establish that the set  $\text{Der}(M)$  of all derivations on  $M$  is algebraically 2-reflexive in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  (see [2]).

In this paper, we consider the set  $\text{Der}_t(A)$  of all triple derivations on a C\*-algebra  $A$ . We recall that every C\*-algebra  $A$  can be equipped with a ternary product of the form

$$\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a).$$

When  $A$  is equipped with this product it becomes a JB\*-triple in the sense of [19]. A linear mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is said to be a *triple derivation* when it satisfies the (triple) Leibnitz rule:

$$\delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}.$$

It is known that every triple derivation is automatically continuous (cf. [3]). We refer to [3], [15] and [28] for the basic references on triple derivations. According to the standard notation, 2-local  $\text{Der}_t(A)$ -mappings from  $A$  into  $A$  are called *2-local triple derivations*.

The goal of this note is to explore the algebraic 2-reflexivity of  $\text{Der}_t(A)$  in  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ . Our main result proves that every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra  $M$  is a triple derivation (hence, linear and continuous) (see Theorem 2.14), equivalently,  $\text{Der}_t(M)$  is algebraically 2-reflexive in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .

## 2. 2-local triple derivations on von Neumann algebras

We start by recalling some generalities on triple derivations. Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. For each  $b \in A$ , we shall denote by  $M_b$  the Jordan multiplication mapping by the element  $b$ , that is  $M_b(x) = b \circ x = \frac{1}{2}(bx + xb)$ . Following standard notation, given elements  $a, b$  in  $A$ , we denote by  $L(a, b)$  the operator on  $A$  defined by  $L(a, b)(x) = \{a, b, x\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*x + xb^*a)$ . It is known that the mapping  $\delta(a, b) : A \rightarrow A$ , given by

$$\delta(a, b)(x) = L(a, b)(x) - L(b, a)(x),$$

is a triple derivation on  $A$  (cf. [3], [15]). A triple derivation which is a finite linear combination of derivations of the form  $\delta(a, b)$  is called an *inner triple derivation*.

Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  be a triple derivation on a unital C\*-algebra. By [15, Lemmas 1 and 2],  $\delta(\mathbf{1})^* = -\delta(\mathbf{1})$ ,  $M_{\delta(\mathbf{1})} = \delta(\frac{1}{2}\delta(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  is an inner triple derivation on  $A$ , and the difference  $D = \delta - \delta(\frac{1}{2}\delta(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  is a Jordan \*-derivation on  $A$ , more concretely,

$$D(x \circ y) = D(x) \circ y + x \circ D(y), \quad \text{and} \quad D(x^*) = D(x)^*,$$

for every  $x, y \in A$ . By [3, Corollary 2.2],  $\delta$  (and hence  $D$ ) is a continuous operator. A widely known result, due to B. E. Johnson, states that every bounded Jordan derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $A$ -bimodule is an associative derivation (cf. [16]). Therefore,  $D$  is an associative  $*$ -derivation in the usual sense. When  $A = M$  is a von Neumann algebra, we can guarantee that  $D$  is an inner derivation, that is, there exists  $\tilde{a} \in A$  satisfying  $D(x) = [\tilde{a}, x] = \tilde{a}x - x\tilde{a}$ , for every  $x \in A$  (cf. [30, Theorem 4.1.6]). Further, from the condition  $D(x^*) = D(x)^*$ , for every  $x \in A$ , we deduce that  $(\tilde{a}^* + \tilde{a})x = x(\tilde{a}^* + \tilde{a})$ . Thus, taking  $a = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}^*)$ , it follows that  $[a, x] = [\tilde{a}, x]$ , for every  $x \in M$ . We have therefore shown that for every triple derivation  $\delta$  on a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $a, b \in M$  satisfying

$$\delta(x) = [a, x] + b \circ x,$$

for every  $x \in M$ .

Our first lemma is a direct consequence of the above arguments (see [15, Lemmas 1 and 2]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $T : A \rightarrow A$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then*

- (a)  $T(\mathbf{1})^* = -T(\mathbf{1})$ ;
- (b)  $M_{T(\mathbf{1})} = \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  is an inner triple derivation on  $A$ ;
- (c)  $\hat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  is a 2-local triple derivation on  $A$  with  $\hat{T}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ .

In what follows, we denote by  $A_{sa}$  the Hermitian elements of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $T : A \rightarrow A$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ . Then  $T(x) = T(x)^*$  for all  $x \in A_{sa}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A_{sa}$ . By assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x)^* &= \{\mathbf{1}, T(x), \mathbf{1}\} = \{\mathbf{1}, \delta_{x, \mathbf{1}}(x), \mathbf{1}\} = \delta_{x, \mathbf{1}}\{\mathbf{1}, x, \mathbf{1}\} - 2\{\delta_{x, \mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}), x, \mathbf{1}\} \\ &= \delta_{x, \mathbf{1}}(x^*) - 2\{T(\mathbf{1}), x, \mathbf{1}\} = \delta_{x, \mathbf{1}}(x) = T(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra satisfying  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ . Then for every  $x, y \in M_{sa}$  there exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a_{x,y} \in M$  such that*

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x], \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y].$$

*Proof.* For every  $x, y \in M_{sa}$  we can find skew-Hermitian elements  $a_{x,y}, b_{x,y} \in M$  such that

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y.$$

Taking into account that  $T(x) = T(x)^*$  (see Lemma 2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x &= T(x) = T(x)^* = [a_{x,y}, x]^* + (b_{x,y} \circ x)^* \\ &= [x, a_{x,y}^*] + x \circ b_{x,y}^* = [x, -a_{x,y}] - x \circ b_{x,y} \\ &= [a_{x,y}, x] - b_{x,y} \circ x, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $b_{x,y} \circ x = 0$ , and similarly  $b_{x,y} \circ y = 0$ . Therefore,  $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$ ,  $T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We state now an observation which plays a useful role in our study.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be skew-Hermitian elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose  $x \in A$  is self-adjoint with  $[a, x] + 2b \circ x = 0$ . Then  $[a, x] = 0$  and  $b \circ x = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $0 = ax - xa + bx + xb$ , by passing to the adjoint, we obtain  $ax - xa - (bx + xb) = 0$ . The conclusion is reached by adding and subtracting these two equalities.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra. If  $x \in M_{sa}$ , we denote by  $s(x)$  the support projection of  $x$ , that is, the projection onto  $(\ker(x))^{\perp} = \text{ran}(x)$ . We say that  $x$  has full support if  $s(x) = \mathbf{1}$  (equivalently,  $\ker(x) = \{0\}$ ).

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra. Suppose  $u \in M_+$  has full support,  $c \in M$  is self-adjoint, and  $\sigma(c^2u) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Then  $c = 0$ . Consequently, if  $u$  and  $c$  are as above, and  $uc + cu = 0$  (or  $c^2u = -cuc \leq 0$ ), then  $c = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For the first statement of the lemma, suppose  $\sigma(c^2u) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Note that

$$\sigma(c^2u) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(c \cdot cu) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(cuc) \cup \{0\}.$$

However,  $cuc$  is positive, hence  $\sigma(cuc) \subset [0, \|cuc\|]$ , with  $\max_{\lambda \in \sigma(cuc)} = \|cuc\|$ . Thus,  $cu^{1/2}u^{1/2}c = cuc = 0$ , which means that  $cu^{1/2} = u^{1/2}c = 0$  and hence  $s(c) \leq 1 - s(u^{1/2}) = 1 - s(u) = 0$ , which leads to  $c = 0$ .

To prove the second part, we have  $c^2u = -cuc \leq 0$ , hence in particular,  $\sigma(c^2u) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

In [2, Lemma 2.2], Ayupov and the first author of this note prove that for every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local derivation on a von Neumann algebra  $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ , and every self-adjoint element  $z \in M$ , there exists  $a \in M$  satisfying

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ , where  $\mathcal{W}^*(z) = \{z\}''$  denotes the Abelian von Neumann subalgebra of  $M$  generated by the element  $z$ , and the unit element and  $\{z\}''$  denotes the bicommutant of the set  $\{z\}$ . We prove next a ternary version of this result.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. Let  $z \in M$  be a self-adjoint element and let  $\mathcal{W}^*(z) = \{z\}''$  be the Abelian von Neumann subalgebra of  $M$  generated by the element  $z$  and the unit element. Then there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $a_z, b_z \in M$ , depending on  $z$ , such that*

$$T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x = a_z x - x a_z + \frac{1}{2}(b_z x + x b_z)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . In particular,  $T$  is linear on  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $z \neq 0$ . Note that the Abelian von Neumann subalgebras generated by  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $z$  and by  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{1} + \frac{z}{2\|z\|}$  coincide. So, replacing  $z$  with  $\mathbf{1} + \frac{z}{2\|z\|}$  we can assume that  $z$  is an invertible positive element.

By definition, there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $a_z, b_z \in M$  (depending on  $z$ ) such that

$$T(z) = [a_z, z] + b_z \circ z.$$

Define a mapping  $T_0 : M \rightarrow M$  given by  $T_0(x) = T(x) - ([a_z, x] + b_z \circ x)$ ,  $x \in M$ . Clearly,  $T_0$  is a 2-local triple derivation on  $M$ . We shall show that  $T_0 = 0$  on  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$  be an arbitrary element. By assumptions, there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $c_{z,x}, d_{z,x} \in M$  such that

$$T_0(z) = [c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z, \quad \text{and} \quad T_0(x) = [c_{z,x}, x] + d_{z,x} \circ x.$$

Since  $0 = T_0(z) = [c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z$ , we get  $[c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z = 0$ .

Taking into account that  $z$  is a Hermitian element and Lemma 2.4 we get  $c_{z,x} z = z c_{z,x}$  and  $d_{z,x} z = -z d_{z,x}$ .

Since  $z$  has full support, and  $d_{z,x}^2 z = -d_{z,x} z d_{z,x}$ , Lemma 2.5 implies that  $d_{z,x} = 0$ . Further

$$c_{z,x} \in \{z\}' = \{z\}''' = \mathcal{W}^*(z)',$$

that is,  $c_{z,x}$  commutes with any element in  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . Therefore  $T_0(x) = [c_{z,x}, x] + d_{z,x} \circ x = 0$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.1. Complete additivity of 2-local derivations and 2-local triple derivations on von Neumann algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  denote the lattice of projections in a von Neumann algebra  $M$ . Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow X$  is said to be *finitely additive* when

$$(2.1) \quad \mu \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(p_i),$$

for every family  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of mutually orthogonal projections in  $M$ .

A mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow X$  is said to be *bounded* when the set

$$\{\|\mu(p)\| : p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

is bounded. The celebrated Bunce–Wright–Mackey–Gleason theorem ([7], [8]) states that if  $M$  has no summand of type  $I_2$ , then every bounded finitely

additive mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow X$  extends to a bounded linear operator from  $M$  to  $X$ .

According to the terminology employed in [32] and [13], a completely additive mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ —that is, (2.1) holds for an arbitrary set  $I$ ; see (2.2) below—is called a *charge*. The Dorofeev–Sherstnev theorem ([32, Theorem 29.5] or [13, Theorem 2]) states that any charge on a von Neumann algebra with no summands of type  $I_n$  ( $n < \infty$ ) is bounded.

We shall use the Dorofeev–Shertsnev theorem in Corollary 2.8 in order to be able to apply the Bunce–Wright–Mackey–Gleason theorem in Proposition 2.9. To this end, we need Proposition 2.7, which is implicitly applied in [2, proof of Lemma 2.3] for 2-local associative derivations. A proof is included here for completeness reasons.

First, we recall some facts about the strong\* topology. For each normal positive functional  $\varphi$  in the predual of a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , the mapping

$$x \mapsto \|x\|_\varphi = \left( \varphi \left( \frac{xx^* + x^*x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (x \in M)$$

defines a prehilbertian seminorm on  $M$ . The *strong\* topology* of  $M$  is the locally convex topology on  $M$  defined by all the seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  runs over the set of all positive functionals in  $M_*$  (cf. [30, Definition 1.8.7]). It is known that the strong\* topology of  $M$  is compatible with the duality  $(M, M_*)$ , that is a functional  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is strong\* continuous if and only if it is weak\* continuous (see [30, Corollary 1.8.10]). if and only if it is weak\* continuous. We also recall that multiplication in every von Neumann algebra is jointly strong\* continuous on bounded sets (see [30, Proposition 1.8.12]).

Suppose  $X = W$  is another von Neumann algebra, and let  $\tau$  denote the norm, the weak\* or the strong\* topology of  $W$ . The mapping  $\mu$  is said to be  $\tau$ -completely additive (respectively, countably or sequentially  $\tau$ -additive) when

$$(2.2) \quad \mu \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \right) = \tau\text{-} \sum_{i \in I} \mu(p_i)$$

for every family (respectively, sequence)  $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$  of mutually orthogonal projections in  $M$ .

It is known that every family  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  of mutually orthogonal projections in a von Neumann algebra  $M$  is summable with respect to the weak\* topology of  $M$  and  $p = \text{weak}^*\text{-} \sum_{i \in I} p_i$  is a projection in  $M$  (cf. [30, Definition 1.13.4]). Further, for each normal positive functional  $\phi$  in  $M_*$  and every finite set  $F \subset I$ , we have

$$\left\| p - \sum_{i \in F} p_i \right\|_\phi^2 = \phi \left( p - \sum_{i \in F} p_i \right),$$

which implies that the family  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  is summable with respect to the strong\* topology of  $M$  with the same limit, that is,  $p = \text{strong}^*\text{-} \sum_{i \in I} p_i$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. Then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *The restriction  $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$  is sequentially strong\* additive, and consequently sequentially weak\* additive;*
- (b)  *$T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$  is weak\* completely additive, that is,*

$$(2.3) \quad T\left(\text{weak}^* - \sum_{i \in I} p_i\right) = \text{weak}^* - \sum_{i \in I} T(p_i)$$

for every family  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  of mutually orthogonal projections in  $M$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $M$ . Let us consider the element  $z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} p_n$ . By Lemma 2.6, there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $a_z, b_z \in M$  such that  $T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n, p_m \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ , for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the multiplication in  $M$  is jointly strong\* continuous, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n\right) &= \left[ a_z, \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n \right] + b_z \circ \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty [a_z, p_n] + \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_z \circ p_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty T(p_n), \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$  is a countably or sequentially strong\* additive mapping.

(b) Let  $\varphi$  be a positive normal functional in  $M_*$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$  denote the prehilbertian seminorm given by  $\|z\|_\varphi^2 = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(zz^* + z^*z)$  ( $z \in M$ ). Let  $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an arbitrary family of mutually orthogonal projections in  $M$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$I_n = \{i \in I : \|T(p_i)\|_\varphi \geq 1/n\}.$$

We claim, that  $I_n$  is a finite set for every natural  $n$ . Otherwise, passing to a subset if necessary, we can assume that there exists a natural  $k$  such that  $I_k$  is infinite and countable. In this case, the series  $\sum_{i \in I_k} T(p_i)$  does not converge with respect to the semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ . On the other hand, since  $I_k$  is a countable set, by (a), we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i \in I_k} p_i\right) = \text{strong}^* - \sum_{i \in I_k} T(p_i),$$

which is impossible. This proves the claim.

We have shown that the set

$$I_0 = \{i \in I : \|T(p_i)\|_\varphi \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$$

is a countable set, and  $\|T(p_i)\|_\varphi = 0$ , for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ .

Set  $p = \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i \in M$ . We shall show that  $\varphi(T(p)) = 0$ . Let  $q$  denote the support projection of  $\varphi$  in  $M$  (see [30, 1.14.2]). Having in mind that  $\|T(p_i)\|_\varphi^2 = 0$ , for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ , we deduce that  $T(p_i) \perp q$  for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ .

Replacing  $T$  with  $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  we can assume that  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$  (cf. Lemma 2.1) and  $T(x) = T(x)^*$ , for every  $x \in M_{sa}$  (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.3, for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$  there exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a_i = a_{p, p_i} \in M$  such that

$$T(p) = a_i p - p a_i \quad \text{and} \quad T(p_i) = a_i p_i - p_i a_i.$$

Since  $T(p_i) \perp q$  we get  $(a_i p_i - p_i a_i)q = q(a_i p_i - p_i a_i) = 0$ , for all  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . Thus, since  $p a_i p_i q = p_i a_i q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (T(p)p_i)q &= (a_i p - p a_i)p_i q = a_i p_i q - p a_i p_i q \\ &= a_i p_i q - p_i a_i q = (a_i p_i - p_i a_i)q = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$q(p_i T(p)) = 0,$$

for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ . Consequently,

$$(2.4) \quad (T(p)p)q = T(p) \left( \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i \right) q = 0 = q \left( \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i \right) T(p) = q(pT(p)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(p) &= \delta_{p, \mathbf{1}}(p) = \delta_{p, \mathbf{1}}\{p, p, p\} = 2\{\delta_{p, \mathbf{1}}(p), p, p\} + \{p, \delta_{p, \mathbf{1}}(p), p\} \\ &= 2\{T(p), p, p\} + \{p, T(p), p\} = pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)^*p \\ &= pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)p, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(T(p)) &= \varphi(pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)p) \\ &= \varphi(qpT(p)q) + \varphi(qT(p)pq) + \varphi(qpT(p)pq) = (\text{by (2.4)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (a) we have

$$T \left( \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i \right) = \|\cdot\|_\varphi - \sum_{i \in I_0} T(p_i).$$

Two more applications of (a) give:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( T \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \right) \right) &= \varphi \left( T \left( p + \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i \right) \right) = \varphi \left( T(p) + T \left( \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i \right) \right) \\ &= \varphi(T(p)) + \varphi \left( T \left( \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i \right) \right) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \varphi(T(p_i)). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,  $0 \leq |\varphi T(p_i)|^2 \leq \|T(p_i)\|_\varphi^2 = 0$ , for every  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ , and hence  $\sum_{i \in I_0} \varphi(T(p_i)) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(T(p_i))$ . The arbitrariness of  $\varphi$  shows that  $T(\text{weak}^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} p_i) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} T(p_i)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\phi$  be a normal functional in the predual of a von Neumann algebra  $M$ . Our previous Proposition 2.7 assures that for every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation  $T : M \rightarrow M$  the mapping  $\phi \circ T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)} : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a completely additive mapping or a charge on  $M$ . Under the additional hypothesis of  $M$  being a continuous von Neumann algebra or, more generally, a von Neumann algebra with no Type  $I_n$  ( $1 < n < \infty$ ) direct summands, the Dorofeev–Sherstnev theorem ([32, Theorem 29.5] or [13, Theorem 2]) imply that  $\phi \circ T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$  is a bounded charge, that is, the set  $\{|\phi \circ T(p)| : p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$  is bounded. The uniform boundedness principle gives:

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with no Type  $I_n$  direct summands ( $1 < n < \infty$ ) and let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. Then the restriction  $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$  is a bounded weak\* completely additive mapping.*

**2.2. Additivity of 2-local triple derivations on Hermitian parts of von Neumann algebras.** Suppose now that  $M$  is a von Neumann algebra with no Type  $I_n$  direct summands ( $1 < n < \infty$ ), and  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. By Corollary 2.8 combined with the Bunce–Wright–Mackey–Gleason theorem [7], [8], there exists a bounded linear operator  $G : M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $G(p) = T(p)$ , for every projection  $p \in M$ .

Let  $z$  be a self-adjoint element in  $M$ . By Lemma 2.6, there exist skew-Hermitian elements  $a_z, b_z \in M$  such that  $T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ . Since  $G|_{\mathcal{W}^*(z)}, T|_{\mathcal{W}^*(z)} : \mathcal{W}^*(z) \rightarrow M$  are bounded linear operators, which coincide on the set of projections of  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ , and since every self-adjoint element in  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$  can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ , it follows that  $T(x) = G(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ , and hence

$$T(a) = G(a), \quad \text{for every } a \in M_{sa},$$

in particular,  $T$  is additive on  $M_{sa}$ .

The above arguments prove the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra with no Type  $I_n$ -factor direct summands ( $1 < n < \infty$ ). Then the restriction  $T|_{M_{sa}}$  is additive.*

**COROLLARY 2.10.** *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction  $T|_{M_{sa}}$  is additive.*

Next, we shall show that the conclusion of the above corollary is also true for a finite von Neumann algebra.

First, we show that every 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra “intertwines” central projections.

LEMMA 2.11. *If  $T$  is a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , and  $p$  is a central projection in  $M$ , then  $T(Mp) \subset Mp$ . In particular,  $T(px) = pT(x)$  for every  $x \in M$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in Mp$ , then  $x = pxp = \{x, p, p\}$ . Since  $T$  coincides with a triple derivation  $\delta_{x,p}$  on the set  $\{x, p\}$ ,

$$T(x) = \delta_{x,p}(x) = \delta_{x,p}\{x, p, p\} = \{\delta_{x,p}(x), p, p\} + \{x, \delta_{x,p}(p), p\} + \{x, p, \delta_{x,p}(p)\}$$

lies in  $Mp$ .

For the final statement, fix  $x \in M$ , and consider skew-Hermitian elements  $a_{x,xp}, b_{x,xp} \in M$  satisfying

$$T(x) = [a_{x,xp}, x] + b_{x,xp} \circ x, \quad \text{and} \quad T(xp) = [a_{x,xp}, xp] + b_{x,xp} \circ (xp).$$

The assumption  $p$  being central implies that  $pT(x) = T(px)$ . □

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a finite von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction  $T|_{M_{sa}}$  is additive.*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is finite there exists a faithful normal semi-finite trace  $\tau$  on  $M$ . We shall consider the following two cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose  $\tau$  is a finite trace. Replacing  $T$  with  $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$  we can assume that  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$  (cf. Lemma 2.1) and  $T(x) = T(x)^*$ , for every  $x \in M_{sa}$  (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.3, for every  $x, y \in M_{sa}$  there exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a_{x,y} \in M$  such that  $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$  and  $T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$ . Then

$$T(x)y + xT(y) = [a_{x,y}, x]y + x[a_{x,y}, y] = [a_{x,y}, xy],$$

that is,

$$[a_{x,y}, xy] = T(x)y + xT(y).$$

Further

$$0 = \tau([a_{x,y}, xy]) = \tau(T(x)y + xT(y)),$$

that is,  $\tau(T(x)y) = -\tau(xT(y))$ , for every  $x, y \in M_{sa}$ . For arbitrary  $u, v, w \in M_{sa}$ , set  $x = u + v$ , and  $y = w$ . The above identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T(u + v)w) &= -\tau((u + v)T(w)) = \\ &= -\tau(uT(w)) - \tau(vT(w)) = \tau(T(u)w) + \tau(T(v)w) \\ &= \tau((T(u) + T(v))w), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\tau((T(u + v) - T(u) - T(v))w) = 0$$

for all  $u, v, w \in M_{sa}$ . Take  $w = T(u+v) - T(u) - T(v)$ . Then  $\tau(ww^*) = 0$ . Since the trace  $\tau$  is faithful it follows that  $ww^* = 0$ , and hence  $w = 0$ . Therefore,  $T(u+v) = T(u) + T(v)$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose now that  $\tau$  is a semi-finite trace. As in *Case 1*, we may assume  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ . Since  $M$  is finite there exists a family of mutually orthogonal central projections  $\{z_i\}$  in  $M$  such that  $z_i$  has finite trace for all  $i$  and  $\bigvee z_i = \mathbf{1}$  (cf. [30, §2.2 or Corollary 2.4.7]). By Lemma 2.11, for each  $i$ ,  $T$  maps  $z_iM$  into itself. From Case 1,  $T|_{z_iM} : z_iM \rightarrow z_iM$  is additive. Furthermore,

$$z_iT(x+y) = T|_{z_iM}(z_ix + z_iy) = T|_{z_iM}(z_ix) + T|_{z_iM}(z_iy) = z_iT(x) + z_iT(y),$$

for every  $x, y \in M$  and every  $i$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x+y) &= \left(\sum_i z_i\right)T(x+y) = \sum_i z_iT(x+y) = \sum_i (z_iT(x) + z_iT(y)) \\ &= \left(\sum_i z_i\right)T(x) + \left(\sum_i z_i\right)T(y) = T(x) + T(y), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y \in M$ . The proof is complete. □

Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. In this case, there exist orthogonal central projections  $z_1, z_2 \in M$  with  $z_1 + z_2 = \mathbf{1}$  such that:

- $z_1M$  is a finite von Neumann algebra;
  - $z_2M$  is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra,
- (cf. [30, §2.2]).

By Lemma 2.11, for each  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $z_kT$  maps  $z_kM$  into itself. By Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 2.12 both  $z_1T$  and  $z_2T$  are additive on  $M_{sa}$ . So  $T = z_1T + z_2T$  also is additive on  $M_{sa}$ .

We have thus proved the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.13.** *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction  $T|_{M_{sa}}$  is additive.*

**2.3. Main result.** We can state now the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.14.** *Let  $M$  be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra and let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. Then  $T$  is a triple derivation (hence linear and continuous). Equivalently, the set  $\text{Der}_t(M)$ , of all triple derivations on  $M$ , is algebraically 2-reflexive in the set  $\mathcal{M}(M) = M^M$  of all mappings from  $M$  into  $M$ .*

We need the following two lemmata.

**LEMMA 2.15.** *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra with  $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ . Then there*

exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a \in M$  such that  $T(x) = [a, x]$ , for all  $x \in M_{sa}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M_{sa}$ . By Lemma 2.3, there exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a_{x,x^2} \in M$  such that  $T(x) = [a_{x,x^2}, x]$ ,  $T(x^2) = [a_{x,x^2}, x^2]$ .

Thus,

$$T(x^2) = [a_{x,x^2}, x^2] = [a_{x,x^2}, x]x + x[a_{x,x^2}, x] = T(x)x + xT(x),$$

that is,

$$(2.5) \quad T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x),$$

for every  $x \in M_{sa}$ .

By Proposition 2.13 and Lemma 2.2,  $T|_{M_{sa}} : M_{sa} \rightarrow M_{sa}$  is a real linear mapping. Now, we consider the linear extension  $\widehat{T}$  of  $T|_{M_{sa}}$  to  $M$  defined by

$$\widehat{T}(x_1 + ix_2) = T(x_1) + iT(x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in M_{sa}.$$

Taking into account the homogeneity of  $T$ , Proposition 2.13 and the identity (2.5) we obtain that  $\widehat{T}$  is a Jordan derivation on  $M$ . By [5, Theorem 1] any Jordan derivation on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since  $M$  is von Neumann algebra,  $\widehat{T}$  is a derivation on  $M$  (see also [33] and [16]). Therefore, there exists an element  $a \in M$  such that  $\widehat{T}(x) = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in M$ . In particular,  $T(x) = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in M_{sa}$ . Since  $T(M_{sa}) \subseteq M_{sa}$ , we can assume that  $a^* = -a$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.16. *Let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. If  $T|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$ , then  $T \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$  be an arbitrary element and let  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in M_{sa}$ . Since  $T$  is homogeneous, by passing to the element  $(1 + \|x_2\|)^{-1}x$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $\|x_2\| < 1$ . In this case, the element  $y = \mathbf{1} + x_2$  is positive and invertible. Take skew-Hermitian elements  $a_{x,y}, b_{x,y} \in M$  such that

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x, \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y.$$

Since  $T(y) = 0$ , we get  $[a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y = 0$ . By Lemma 2.4 we obtain that  $[a_{x,y}, y] = 0$  and  $ib_{x,y} \circ y = 0$ . Taking into account that  $ib_{x,y}$  is Hermitian,  $y$  is positive and invertible, Lemma 2.5 implies that  $b_{x,y} = 0$ .

We further note that  $0 = [a_{x,y}, y] = [a_{x,y}, \mathbf{1} + x_2] = [a_{x,y}, x_2]$ , that is,  $[a_{x,y}, x_2] = 0$ . Now,  $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x = [a_{x,y}, x_1 + ix_2] = [a_{x,y}, x_1]$ , i.e.  $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x_1]$ . Therefore,

$$T(x)^* = [a_{x,y}, x_1]^* = [x_1, a_{x,y}^*] = [x_1, -a_{x,y}] = [a_{x,y}, x_1] = T(x).$$

So  $T(x)^* = T(x)$ . Now, replacing  $x$  by  $ix$  we obtain, from the homogeneity of  $T$ , that  $T(x)^* = -T(x)$ . Combining the last two identities, we obtain that  $T(x) = 0$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.14.* Let us define  $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ . Then  $\widehat{T}$  is a 2-local triple derivation on  $M$  with  $\widehat{T}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$  (cf. Lemma 2.1) and  $\widehat{T}(x) = \widehat{T}(x)^*$ , for every  $x \in M_{sa}$  (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.15, there exists an element  $a \in M$  such that  $\widehat{T}(x) = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in M_{sa}$ . Consider the 2-local triple derivation  $\widehat{T} - [a, \cdot]$ . Since  $(\widehat{T} - [a, \cdot])|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$ , Lemma 2.16 implies that  $\widehat{T} = [a, \cdot]$ , and hence  $T = [a, \cdot] + \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ , witnessing the desired statement.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, *2-local derivations and automorphisms on  $B(H)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **395** (2012), no. 1, 15–18. MR 2943598
- [2] S. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, *2-local derivations on von Neumann algebras*, Positivity **19** (2015), 445–455. MR 3386119
- [3] T. J. Barton and Y. Friedman, *Bounded derivations of  $JB^*$ -triples*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **41** (1990), 255–268. MR 1067482
- [4] C. Batty and L. Molnar, *On topological reflexivity of the groups of  $*$ -automorphisms and surjective isometries of  $B(H)$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **67** (1996), 415–421. MR 1411996
- [5] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 1003–1006. MR 0929422
- [6] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents*, Studia Math. **113** (1995), no. 2, 101–108. MR 1318418
- [7] L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *The Mackey–Gleason problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **26** (1992), 288–293. MR 1121569
- [8] L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *The Mackey–Gleason problem for vector measures on projections in von Neumann algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **49** (1994), 133–149. MR 1253018
- [9] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), 1276–1286. MR 3169629
- [10] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *A Kowalski–Słodkowski theorem for 2-local  $*$ -homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras*, preprint, 2014; available at <http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1404.7597>.
- [11] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *2-local triple homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras and  $JBW^*$ -triples*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **426** (2015), 43–63. MR 3306362
- [12] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), 709–724. MR 3239608
- [13] S. Dorofeev, *On the problem of boundedness of a signed measure on projections of a von Neumann algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **103** (1992), 209–216. MR 1144690
- [14] F. J. Fernández-Polo, A. Molino Salas and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on real  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, to appear in Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. DOI:10.1007/s40840-015-0203-4; available at <http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1309.0367>.
- [15] T. Ho, J. Martinez-Moreno, A. M. Peralta and B. Russo, *Derivations on real and complex  $JB^*$ -triples*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2002), no. 1, 85–102. MR 1875137
- [16] B. E. Johnson, *Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 3, 455–473. MR 1388200
- [17] B. E. Johnson, *Local derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras are derivations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 313–325. MR 1783788
- [18] R. V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), 494–509. MR 1051316

- [19] W. Kaup, *A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces*, Math. Z. **183** (1983), 503–529. MR 0710768
- [20] S. O. Kim and J. S. Kim, *Local automorphisms and derivations on  $M_n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 5, 1389–1392. MR 2053344
- [21] S. O. Kim and J. S. Kim, *Local automorphisms and derivations on certain  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 11, 3303–3307. MR 2161153
- [22] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of  $B(X)$* , Operator theory: Operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Durham, NH, 1988), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 187–194. MR 1077437
- [23] J.-H. Liu and N.-C. Wong, *2-local automorphisms of operator algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **321** (2006), 741–750. MR 2241152
- [24] M. Mackey, *Local derivations on Jordan triples*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 4, 811–824. MR 3081549
- [25] L. Molnar, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **45** (2002), 349–352. MR 1912644
- [26] L. Molnar, *Local automorphisms of operator algebras on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 1867–1874. MR 1955275
- [27] A. M. Peralta, *A note on 2-local representations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Oper. Matrices **9** (2015), 343–358; available at <http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1312.6850>. MR 3338568
- [28] A. M. Peralta and B. Russo, *Automatic continuity of triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, J. Algebra **399** (2014), 960–977. MR 3144622
- [29] F. Pop, *On local representation of von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 12, 3569–3576. MR 2084078
- [30] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1971. MR 0442701
- [31] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on  $B(H)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 2677–2680. MR 1415338
- [32] A. N. Sherstnev, *Methods of bilinear forms in noncommutative theory of measure and integral*, Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2008, pp. 256; available at <https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1198.46002>.
- [33] A. M. Sinclair, *Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 209–214. MR 0250069

KARIMBERGEN KUDAYBERGENOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARAKALPAK STATE UNIVERSITY, CH. ABDIROV 1, NUKUS 230113, UZBEKISTAN

*E-mail address:* [karim2006@mail.ru](mailto:karim2006@mail.ru)

TIMUR OIKHBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

*E-mail address:* [oikhberg@illinois.edu](mailto:oikhberg@illinois.edu)

ANTONIO M. PERALTA, DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN

*Current address:* VISITING PROFESSOR AT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455-5, RIYADH-11451, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

*E-mail address:* [aperalta@ugr.es](mailto:aperalta@ugr.es)

BERNARD RUSSO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC IRVINE, IRVINE, CA, USA

*E-mail address:* [brusso@uci.edu](mailto:brusso@uci.edu)