

# THE DEFINITE OCTONARY QUADRATIC FORMS OF DETERMINANT 1

BY  
DENNIS ESTES AND GORDON PALL

1. G. Eisenstein remarked in 1847 that if  $h_n$  denotes the number of classes of positive  $n$ -ary quadratic forms with integral matrices and determinant 1, then  $h_n = 1$  if  $1 \leq n \leq 8$  (the one class being that of  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ ), but  $h_n > 1$  if  $n > 8$ . He erred as regards  $n = 8$ , since as first shown by Korkine and Zolotareff in 1873 there is another applicable class when  $n = 8$  whose forms have all diagonal terms even, and so represent no odd numbers. The few proofs that  $h_8 = 2$  are referred to by L. J. Mordell, and by Van der Blij and Springer. These proofs involve lengthy computations (Mordell's, while neat in itself, using values of the minimal constants  $\gamma_6, \gamma_7, \gamma_8$ ) or are based on deep developments, such as the Minkowski formula for the weight of a genus, or on properties of spinor genera (in Kneser's proof). The purpose of this note is to give a simple, self-contained proof that  $h_8 = 2$ .

It should first be observed that the forms under consideration comprise two genera, one typified by the form  $f_8 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_8^2$  containing forms which represent odd numbers, and the other consisting of forms which represent only even numbers, the latter genus containing in particular the form  $2g$ , where  $g$  has the matrix

$$(1) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} I & \frac{1}{2}V \\ \frac{1}{2}V' & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

whence  $V'V = 3I$ . Notice that  $g$  is an integral positive form of determinant  $\frac{1}{2}^8$ , and that  $h_8 = 2$  requires that there be only one class of such octonary forms. Note that  $g$  transforms into  $f_8$  by an integral transformation of determinant  $2^4$ :

$$(2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -V' & 2I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & \frac{1}{2}V \\ \frac{1}{2}V' & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -V \\ 0 & 2I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. We prove in this section

**THEOREM 1.** *The integral positive octonaries of determinant  $\frac{1}{2}^8$  form one class.*

We may denote by  $fT$  the form obtained from a form  $f$  by the transformation of matrix  $T$ . Hence if  $A$  is the matrix of  $f$ , the matrix of  $fT$  is  $T'AT$ .

---

Received February 19, 1968.

LEMMA 1. Consider integral octonaries  $g_1$  of determinant  $\frac{1}{2}^8$ , and  $f_1$  with an integral matrix of determinant 1. If  $g_1 T = f_1$ , with  $T$  integral and  $\det T = 16$ , then  $S = 2T^{-1}$  is integral and  $f_1 S = 4g_1$ . Conversely, if  $f_1 S = 4g_1$  with  $S$  integral and  $\det S = 16$ , then if  $T = 2S^{-1}$  is integral,  $g_1 T = f_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the matrix of  $f_1$ ,  $H$  that of  $g_1$ . Thus  $H$  has denominator 2, and  $E^{-1}$  is integral. But  $T'HT = E$ , hence  $T'H = ET^{-1}$ . Hence  $T^{-1}$  has denominator 2,  $f_1 T^{-1} = g_1$ ,  $f_1 S = 4g_1$ . The converse follows easily.

LEMMA 2. Let the octonary  $f_1$  of determinant 1 be congruent (mod 4) to  $f_8$ . Let  $S$  be integral,  $\det S = 16$ ,  $S^{-1}$  have denominator 2, and  $f_1 S = 4g_1$  with  $g_1$  integral. Then there exists a permutation matrix  $W$  and unimodular matrix  $U$  such that

$$(3) \quad WSU = \begin{bmatrix} 2I & V \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The left factor  $W$  allows us to permute the rows of  $S$ , and  $U$  allows us to make the elements to the left of the main diagonal zero, those on the diagonal to be positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_8$  with product 16, and those to the right of any  $m_i$  to be reduced modulo  $m_i$  (0 if  $m_i = 1$ , either 1 or  $-1$  at will if  $m_i = 2$ ). The elements above any  $m_j$  equal to 2 must be 0, since if 1 occurs to the right of one 2 and above another, the denominator of  $S^{-1}$  is at least 4. Now  $m_1 = 2$ , since if it were 1, the first coefficient of  $f_1(WSU)$  would be odd: but it must be made divisible by 4. Similarly, since  $c^2 + 1$  and  $a^2 + b^2 + 1$  cannot be divisible by 4, we must have  $m_2 = m_3 = 2$ . If  $m_4 = 1$  and a later  $m_j = 2$ , we can interchange the fourth and  $j$ -th rows, and columns, and so secure  $m_4 = 2$ . Thus the  $2I$  and  $I$  in (3) can be placed as shown. The expression for  $V$  then follows readily from the condition that the coefficients of the terms in  $x_i x_j$  ( $5 \leq i < j \leq 8$ ) are to be divisible by 4, rows and columns 5 to 8 being permuted as needed.

COROLLARY. The integral octonaries of determinant  $\frac{1}{2}^8$  which can be carried into  $f_8$  by integral transformations of determinant 16 form a single class.

LEMMA 3. Every integral positive octonary of determinant  $\frac{1}{2}^8$  can be transformed into  $f_8$  by some integral transformation of determinant 16.

The proof will be completed following Lemma 5.

LEMMA 4 (Hermite). Let  $f$  be a positive  $n$ -ary form with real coefficients, and  $m$  the minimum of  $f$  for integral values not all zero of the variables. Then

$$(4) \quad m \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(n-1)/2} d^{1/n} \quad \text{where } d = \det f.$$

*Proof* (by induction). Take  $m$  as first coefficient, and complete squares:

$$(5) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = m(x_1 + \dots)^2 + \phi(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Here the  $(n - 1)$ -ary form  $\phi$  has determinant  $d/m$ , and if  $m'$  denotes its minimum for integers  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  not all zero,  $m' \leq (\frac{4}{3})^{(n-2)/2} (d/m)^{1/(n-1)}$ . An integer  $x_1$  can be chosen so that  $0 \leq (x_1 + \dots)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Hence  $f$  represents (with integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  not all 0) a number not exceeding  $m/4 + m'$ ; and (4) follows from  $m \leq m/4 + m'$ .

LEMMA 5. *Every integral positive quinary of determinant  $\frac{1}{4}$  can be carried by an integral transformation of determinant 2 into  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_5^2$ .*

*Proof.* By (4) with  $d = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $n = 5$ ,  $m < 2$ ; hence  $m = 1$ , and 1 can be taken as the leading coefficient. If the cross-product coefficients involving  $x_1$  are even the term  $x_1^2$  splits off, and we proceed with a quaternary of determinant  $\frac{1}{4}$ , which again represents 1 by Lemma 4; and so forth until (since  $\frac{1}{4}$  is not an integer) we reach a situation expressed by  $x^2 + xy + \dots$ . We may as well assume this situation at the start, and take the quinary to be

$$x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + \phi(x_2, \dots, x_5).$$

Let us now replace  $x_2$  by  $2x_2$ , a transformation of determinant 2, and then complete squares. This gives a form  $y_1^2 + \phi_1$ , where  $\phi_1$  is integral and  $\det \phi_1 = 1$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(y_2, \dots, y_5) &= ky_2^2 + 2r_3 y_2 y_3 + 2r_4 y_2 y_4 + 2r_5 y_2 y_5 + \dots \\ &= k(y_2 + (r_3/k)y_3 + \dots)^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is odd, and  $r_3, r_4, r_5$  are integers. Thus  $\phi_1$  can be carried by a transformation which is integral mod 2 and has determinant 1 into a form  $kz^2 + \phi_2(z_3, z_4, z_5)$ , where  $k$  is odd and the coefficients of  $\phi_2$  are integral mod 2. This implies, we maintain, that  $\phi_1$  has an integral matrix. For if not its dyadic canonical form must involve a term  $xy$  (since  $x^2 + xy + y^2 + kz^2$  is dyadically equivalent to  $xy - 3kz^2$ ), and hence  $\phi_1$  represents zero dyadically; thus the Hasse invariant  $c_2(\phi_1)$  equals 1, since  $\phi_1$  has a square determinant; and since  $\det \phi_1 = 1$ ,  $c_\infty = -1$  and  $\phi_1$  is indefinite. Thus  $\phi_1$  must have an integral matrix, and so by use of (4) with  $d = 1$  and  $n = 4, 3, 2$ ,  $\phi_1$  can be transformed into a sum of four squares.

To proceed: (4) gives  $m < 2$ , hence  $m = 1$ , if  $f$  is integral and either  $n = 8$  and  $d = \frac{1}{2}^8$ , or  $n = 7$  and  $d = \frac{1}{2}^6$ , or  $n = 6$  and  $d = \frac{1}{2}^4$ . Hence we can take the given octonary to be

$$x_1^2 + a_2 x_1 x_2 + \dots + a_8 x_1 x_8 + \dots,$$

can find a unimodular transformation replacing  $a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_8 x_8$  by  $sy_2$  with  $s$  in  $Z$ , and have  $x_1^2 + sx_1 y_2 + h(y_2, \dots, y_8)$ . We replace  $y_2$  by  $2y_2$  (a transformation of determinant 2), and, completing squares, obtain  $y_1^2 + \phi(y_2, \dots, y_8)$  with  $\det \phi = \frac{1}{2}^6$ . Two repetitions of this procedure reduces the proof to Lemma 3. Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

3. THEOREM 2. *The form  $f_8 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_8^2$  is in a genus of one class.*

*Proof.* Any class in the genus of  $f_8$  contains a form  $f^*$  congruent to  $f_8 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, after some permutation of the variables of  $f^*$ ,  $f^*$  is transformed into  $4g_1$ , where  $g_1 \equiv g \pmod{2}$  and  $g_1$  is in the class of  $g$ , by the transformation

$$(6) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 2I & V \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad T = 2S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & -V \\ 0 & 2I \end{bmatrix}.$$

It will suffice to prove that 1 has 16 representations by  $f^*$ .

An integral column vector  $\xi = \{x_1, \dots, x_8\}$  will be called a *unit of  $g$*  if  $\xi'G\xi = 1$ . This equation amounts to  $(T^{-1}\xi)'(T'GT)(T^{-1}\xi) = 1$ , or  $(S\xi)'I_8(S\xi) = 4$ , where  $I_8$  is the matrix of  $f_8$ . Hence  $\xi$  is a unit of  $G$  if and only if  $\eta = S\xi$  is a representation of 4 by  $f_8$  such that  $S^{-1}\eta$  is integral, that is, if  $\eta = \{y_1, \dots, y_8\}$ ,

$$(7) \quad y_i + y_{i+4} \equiv y_5 + y_6 + y_7 + y_8 \pmod{2} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

We count 16 values  $\eta$  from 2 0 0 0 0 0 0; and permuting the first four and last four components alike we count  $64 + 96 + 64$  values  $\eta$  from

$$111100001, \quad 11001100 \quad \text{and} \quad 10000111.$$

Thus  $g$  has 240 units.

For any integral column vectors  $\xi, \eta$  write

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (\xi, \eta) &= (\xi + \eta)'G(\xi + \eta) - \xi'G\xi - \eta'G\eta \\ &= \sum 2g_{ij} x_i x_j \quad \text{where} \quad G = (g_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\xi, -\eta) = -(\xi, \eta)$ ; and if  $\eta \neq 0$ ,  $(2\eta)'G(2\eta) \geq 4$ .

LEMMA 6. *If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are units and  $\xi \equiv \eta \pmod{2}$ , then  $\xi = \eta$  or  $\xi = -\eta$ .*

*Proof.* Otherwise,  $(\xi, \eta) \geq 4 - 1 - 1 > 0$ ,  $(\xi, -\eta) \geq 4 - 1 - 1 > 0$ .

LEMMA 7.  *$\xi'G\xi \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  has exactly 120 solutions  $\xi \pmod{2}$ . Hence for any  $\eta$  such that  $\eta'G\eta$  is odd, there is exactly one pair of units  $\xi$  and  $-\xi$  of  $g$  such that  $\xi \equiv \eta \pmod{2}$ .*

*Proof.* We need only count the octuplets  $x_1, \dots, x_8 \pmod{2}$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + (x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + 2x_5)^2 + (x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + 2x_6)^2 \\ + (x_1 + x_2 + x_4 + 2x_7)^2 + (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 2x_8)^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}: \end{aligned}$$

$x_1, x_2, x_3$  odd,  $x_4$  even, and adjust  $x_5 \pmod{2}$ ;  $x_1, x_2$  odd,  $x_3, x_4$  even, and adjust  $x_7 \pmod{2}$ ;  $x_1$  odd,  $x_2, x_3, x_4$  even, and adjust  $x_5 \pmod{2}$ ;  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  even and adjust  $x_5 \pmod{2}$ . In all,  $4 \cdot 8 + 6 \cdot 8 + 4 \cdot 8 + 8 = 120$ .

Let  $U$  denote a unimodular transformation replacing  $g$  by  $g_1$ . If  $\xi$  ranges over a complete set of residues mod 2 for which  $\xi'G\xi$  is odd,  $U^{-1}\xi$  ranges over

the same residues, since  $g \equiv g_1 \pmod{2}$ . Hence the units of  $g$  and  $g_1$  are alike in their residues mod 2. The form  $f_8$  has 16 units, these being given by the vectors  $(S\xi)/2$  which are integral. Hence  $f^*$  has 16 units. Theorem 2 follows.

It follows immediately that if  $1 \leq n \leq 7$ , a positive definite  $n$ -ary form with an integral matrix and determinant 1 is in the class of  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ . For, if  $h$  is such a form,

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) + x_{n+1}^2 + \cdots + x_8^2$$

is in the class of  $f_8$ , and the number of representations of 1 is 16. Hence  $h$  represents 1.

At the referee's suggestion we add that the deepest thing used is the elementary theory of the Hasse symbol, such as, for example in Chapter II of Jones's *Arithmetic theory of quadratic forms*.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. J. MORDELL, *The definite quadratic forms in eight variables with determinant unity*, J. Math. Pures Appl., vol. IX (1938), pp. 41-36.
2. F. VAN DER BLIJ AND T. A. SPRINGER, *The arithmetics of octaves and of the group  $G_2$* , Indagationes Mathematicae, vol. 21 (1959), pp. 406-418.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA  
 LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
 BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA