

ON THE ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE OF THE K -THEORY OF

$$\frac{G_2}{SU(3)} \text{ AND } \frac{F_4}{Spin(9)}$$

BY

JACK M. SHAPIRO

This paper is an extension of the results of [8] to the exceptional Lie groups G_2 and F_4 . In [8] we discussed the following situation. Suppose G is a compact connected Lie group and H is a subgroup of maximal rank. We let $R(G)$ and $R(H)$ denote the *complex representation rings* of G and H respectively [1], [6]. We can think of $R(G)$ as a subring of $R(H)$ [6] making $R(H)$ an $R(G)$ module.

An extension of the Weyl character formula yields a *duality homomorphism*,

$$F : R(H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)),$$

and this was shown in [8] to be an isomorphism for a large number of cases involving the classical groups.

Among the corollaries of this theorem is a new proof of the conjecture by Atiyah-Hirzebruch [2] that $\alpha : R(H) \rightarrow K(G/H)$ is onto. We are also able to derive an explicit free basis for generating $R(H)$ over $R(G)$. This in turn yields an explicit basis for the free abelian group $K(G/H)$ [8, §9].

For those more familiar with equivariant K -theory we know that $R(H) \cong K_G(G/H)$, $R(G) \cong K_G(\text{point})$ [7]. The theorem can then be thought of as a Poincaré duality result for this cohomology theory.

1. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and H a subgroup of maximal rank. That is H contains a maximal torus, T , of the group G . We can form the complex representation ring of G , denoted $R(G)$ [1], [6]. As a group $R(G)$ is the free abelian group on the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible complex representations of G , with the ring structure induced by the tensor product of representations. Restriction of representations makes $R(G)$ in a natural way a subring of $R(H)$, and $R(H)$ a subring of $R(T)$ [6]. We also think of each ring as a module over its subrings.

If $T \cong S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ (n times) then $R(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$ the polynomial ring over the integers in n indeterminates and their inverses [1]. To each group G , H is associated a group of automorphisms of T (hence of $R(T)$) called the Weyl group, denoted $W(G)$, $W(H)$ respectively. A major theorem in the representation theory of Lie groups asserts that $R(G)$ is the fixed subring of $R(T)$ under the action of $W(G)$ [1].

It is well known [1] that each element of the Weyl group can be given a sign, $(-1)^\sigma = \pm 1$, for $\sigma \in W(G)$. An alternating operator, A , can then be defined for all $x \in R(T)$ by $A(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in W(G)} (-1)^\sigma \sigma(x)$ [1], [8].

Received March 15, 1973.

The irreducible representations of T are known as *weights*. To each group G, H is associated a subset of weights called the *roots* of the group. With some choice of "orientation" a subset of roots called the *positive roots* can be singled out [1]. If G is simply connected then the product of positive roots (a monomial) raised to the one half power is a well defined weight denoted $\beta(G)$ [1], [8]. Here we are translating the usual additive notation of [1] into multiplicative notation. $\beta(G)$ is usually referred to as "one half the sum of the positive roots" (see [8] for correspondence).

These notions can be extended to the case where $\pi_1(G)$ has no two torsion but we will only need the simply connected case for this paper (see [8]).

Using a generalization of the Weyl character formula discussed in [4] we can define an $R(G)$ module map $f : R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$ [8, Proposition 3]. If G and H are simply connected then $f(x)$ is defined as $A(\beta(H) \cdot x) / A(\beta(G))$ for $x \in R(H)$. For the case $H = T$ we let $\beta(T) = 1$ and we get the usual Weyl character formula.

f induces a *duality homomorphism* $F : R(H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G))$ by $F(x)(y) = f(x \cdot y)$, $x, y \in R(H)$. We can also associate to F a bilinear form

$$\bar{F} : R(H) \times R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$$

defined by $\bar{F}(x, y) = f(x \cdot y)$. In [8] we showed that F was an isomorphism for the case G a classical group and H a suitable subgroup of maximal rank. In this paper we will extend this result to the cases $G = G_2, H = SU(3)$ and $G = F_4, H = Spin(9)$. Let us first recall a number of lemmas and corollaries from [8].

LEMMA 1. *If x , a weight, is left fixed by any element of the Weyl group then $A(x) = 0$.*

Proof. See [1, 6.12].

COROLLARY 1. (i) *Suppose $S_n \leq W(G)$ where S_n denotes the symmetric group on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then if $x = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{m_i} \in R(T)$ and $m_i = m_j, i \neq j$, then $A(x) = 0$.*

(ii) *Suppose $W(G)$ contains the group generated by S_n and the maps $x_i \leftrightarrow x_i^{-1}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$A(x) = 0, \quad x = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{m_i} \quad \text{if} \quad |m_i| = |m_j|.$$

In either case we say x is symmetric in some (i, j) .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are 2 sets of elements from $R(H)$, where $N = |W(G)| / |W(H)|$, and suppose that the determinant of the matrix $((\bar{F}(a_i, b_j))$ is a unit of $R(G)$. Then $R(H)$ is a free module over $R(G)$ of rank N , freely generated by either $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ or $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^N$. Furthermore F is then an isomorphism,*

$$F : R(H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)).$$

Proof. See [8], §2.

Remark. If the hypothesis of Lemma 2 are fulfilled we call \bar{F} *strongly non-singular* (often *s.n.s.*). We will show that F is an isomorphism by showing that \bar{F} is *s.n.s.* in each case.

LEMMA 3 (Inductive Lemma). *If both*

$$\bar{F} : R(T) \times R(T) \rightarrow R(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F} : R(H) \times R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$$

are s.n.s. then so is $\bar{F} : R(T) \times R(T) \rightarrow R(G)$.

Proof. See [8, §3].

In [2] it was conjectured that $\alpha : R(H) \rightarrow K(G/H)$ is onto for suitable $H \leq G$ (see [2] for details). This conjecture was proved there for a number of cases including those discussed in this paper. The results here will yield another proof and will in fact give a specific set of generators for the free abelian group $K(G/H)$.

COROLLARY 2. *If $\bar{F} : R(H) \times R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$ is strongly non-singular then*

$$\alpha : R(H) \rightarrow K(G/H)$$

is onto. Furthermore $\{\alpha(a_i)\}_{i=1}^N (\{\alpha(b_j)\}_{j=1}^N)$ provides a basis for the free abelian group $K(G/H)$.

Proof. See [8, §9].

2. Let G_2 be the simply connected compact Lie group representing the local structure G_2 . G_2 contains $SU(3)$ as a subgroup of maximal rank [3]. Let T be a maximal torus for $SU(3)$ and $G_2, R(T) \cong Z[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$. The positive roots of G_2 can be chosen to be [3]

$$\{x_1, x_2, x_1 \cdot x_2, x_1 \cdot x_2^{-1}, x_1^2 \cdot x_2, x_1 x_2^2\}.$$

The last three represent a choice of positive roots for the maximal subgroup $SU(3)$ [3]. It follows that $\beta(G_2) = x_1^3 x_2^2$ and $\beta(SU(3)) = x_1^2 x_2$.

It is well known [1] that to each root there corresponds an element of the Weyl group, usually referred to as "reflection in the plane perpendicular to the root" (see [1] where an explicit formula is given to determine the action of this element). For example, to the root x_1 of G_2 there corresponds $\varphi_1 \in W(G_2)$ sending $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_1^{-1}$ and leaving everything else fixed. Similarly for x_2 .

The Weyl group of $SU(3)$ acts on $R(T)$ as the group of permutations on the set $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, where $x_3 \equiv x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$ [1]. The index of $W(SU(3))$ in $W(G_2)$ is 2 [5], and φ_1 is a representative for the non-trivial left coset.

If we let

$$\rho_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = x_1^{-1} + x_2^{-1} + x_1 \cdot x_2$$

then $R(SU(3)) \cong Z[\rho_1, \rho_2]$, the polynomial ring on 2 indeterminates [1].

THEOREM 1. $F : R(SU(3)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(G_2)}(R(SU(3)), R(G_2))$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. If we let \bar{F} be the induced bilinear form then we will show that \bar{F} is s.n.s. Let $\{a_i\} = \{1, \rho_2\}$ and $\{b_j\} = \{\rho_2, 1\}$. The result will follow if

$$\bar{F}(a_i, b_j) = \begin{cases} +1, & i = j. \\ 0, & i < j. \end{cases}$$

This in turn will follow if

- (1) $A(\beta(SU(3))) = 0$ and
- (2) $A(\rho_2 \beta(SU(3))) = A(\beta(G_2))$.

$\beta(SU(3)) = x_1^2 x_2$ which under the action of the map sending $x_1 x_2 \rightarrow x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$ followed by the map $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_3 = x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$ is left fixed. Lemma 1 then implies that $A(x_1^2 x_2) = 0$.

$A(\beta(SU(3)) \cdot \rho_2) = A(x_1 x_2) + A(x_1^2) + A(x_1^3 x_2^2)$. The first two summands are zero by Lemma 1 and the last is $A(\beta(G_2))$.

COROLLARY. $R(SU(3))$ is freely generated over $R(G_2)$ by the set $\{1, \rho_2\}$. (See Lemma 2.)

Note. This corollary together with [8, §4], provides a free basis for $R(T)$ as an $R(G_2)$ module.

COROLLARY. $\alpha : R(SU(3)) \rightarrow K(G_2/SU(3))$ is onto and $K(G_2/SU(3))$ is a free abelian group with $\{\alpha(1), \alpha(\rho_2)\}$ providing a free basis.

3. Let F_4 be the simply connected compact Lie group representing the local structure F_4 . F_4 contains $Spin(9)$ as a subgroup of maximal rank. Let $H = Spin(9)$ for the rest of this section, and let T be a maximal torus for F_4 and H . In order to get a reasonable model for the action of $W(H)$ on $R(T)$ we must use the method of [6] and view

$$R(T) \cong Z[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_4^{\pm 1}, x_1^{1/2} x_2^{1/2} x_3^{1/2} x_4^{1/2}].$$

With this description $W(H)$ acts on $R(T)$ as the group generated by S_4 , acting on $\{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$, together with the maps sending $x_i \leftrightarrow x_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ [6].

The positive roots of F_4 can be chosen to be [5]

$$\{x_i\} \cup \{x_i x_j^{\pm 1}\} \cup \{x_1^{1/2} x_2^{\pm 1/2} x_3^{\pm 1/2} x_4^{\pm 1/2}\}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 4.$$

The first two sets represents a choice for the positive roots of H [5]. Accordingly

$$\beta(F_4) = x_1^{1/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(H) = x_1^{7/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}.$$

Let $\gamma \in W(F_4)$ be the element corresponding to the root $x_1^{1/2} x_2^{-1/2} x_3^{-1/2} x_4^{-1/2}$. An elementary calculation using the formula in [1] yields the following action

for γ :

$$\gamma(x_1) = x_1^{1/2} x_2^{1/2} x_3^{1/2} x_4^{1/2},$$

$$\gamma(x_i) = x_1^{1/2} x_2^{\epsilon_i/2} x_3^{\epsilon_i/2} x_4^{\epsilon_i/2} \quad \text{where } \epsilon_i = 1, \quad \epsilon_j = -1 \quad \text{for } j \neq i, \quad i = 2, 3, 4.$$

In this calculation we are using the formula in [1] with $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let us choose γ as a representative for a non-trivial element of $W(F_4)/W(H)$.

If $\varphi_i \in W(H) \leq W(F_4)$ is the element permuting $\{x_i, x_i^{-1}\}$ then

$$\varphi_1 \circ \gamma(x_1) = x_1^{-1/2} x_2^{1/2} x_3^{1/2} x_4^{1/2}.$$

Since $\gamma \circ \sigma(x_1) \neq x_1^{-1/2} x_2^{1/2} x_3^{1/2} x_4^{1/2}$ for any $\sigma \in W(H)$, $\varphi_1 \circ \gamma$ represents a second non-trivial element of $W(F_4)/W(H)$. Being that $|W(F_4)|/|W(H)| = 3$ [2], $\{\gamma, \varphi_1 \circ \gamma\}$ together with $W(H)$ describes completely the action of $W(F_4)$ on $R(T)$.

$R(H) \cong Z[\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \Delta]$ where ρ_i are the i th elementary symmetric functions on the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_4, x_1^{-1} \dots, x_4^{-1}, 1\}$ (e.g. $\rho_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_4 + x_1^{-1} + \dots + x_4^{-1} + 1$) and Δ is the "Spinor representation" $\sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} x_1^{\epsilon_1/2} x_2^{\epsilon_2/2} x_3^{\epsilon_3/2} x_4^{\epsilon_4/2}$ [6].

THEOREM 2. $F : R(\text{Spin}(9)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(F_4)}(R(\text{Spin}(9)), R(F_4))$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let \bar{F} represent the induced bilinear form. We will show that \bar{F} is s.n.s. If we let $\{a_i\} = \{1, \Delta, \Delta^2\}$ and $\{b_j\} = \{\Delta^2, \Delta, 1\}$ then we claim that

$$F(a_i, b_j) = \begin{matrix} +1, & i = j. \\ 0, & i < j \end{matrix}$$

The claim will follow provided we can show:

- (1) $A(\beta(H)) = 0,$
- (2) $A(\beta(H) \cdot \Delta) = 0,$
- (3) $A(\beta(H) \cdot \Delta^2) = +A(\beta(F_4)).$

Recall that $\beta(H) = x_1^{7/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}$. $\gamma(\beta(H)) = x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3$ which is left fixed by φ_4 . Lemma 1 therefore implies that $A(\beta(H)) = 0$.

$\beta(H) \cdot \Delta$ is a sum of monomials of the form $x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} x_3^{m_3} x_4^{m_4}$ where the m_i are non-negative integers ≤ 4 . If any $m_i = 0$ then the monomial is left fixed by φ_i . If $m_i = m_j, i \neq j$, then it is left fixed by the element permuting x_i and x_j . In either case the alternating sum of these terms is zero by Lemma 1. It follows that $A(\beta(H) \cdot \Delta) = A(x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4)$. $\gamma(x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4) = x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3$ which is left fixed by φ_4 . Therefore $A(\beta(H) \cdot \Delta) = 0$.

In $R(H)$ we have the identity $\Delta^2 = \rho_4 + \rho_3 + \rho_2 + \rho_1 + 1$ [6]. To conclude the proof we will show

$$A(\beta(H)\rho_i) = 0, \quad i \neq 3, \quad \text{and} \quad A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_3) = +A(\beta(F_4)).$$

An analogous argument to the one used for $\beta(H) \cdot \Delta$ shows that

$$A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_1) = A(x_1^{9/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}).$$

All other summands are zero (e.g. most are symmetric in some (i, j) (see Corollary 1). γ fixes $x_1^{9/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}$ implying $A(\beta(H)\rho_1) = 0$.

ρ_2 contains $\rho_1 + 3$ as a summand. The compliment of $\rho_1 + 3$ in ρ_2 is the sum $\sum_{i < j} x_i^{\epsilon_i} x_j^{\epsilon_j}, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j = \pm 1$. Once more all terms but one are trivially in the kernel of A and we get

$$A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_2) = A(x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}).$$

$\gamma(x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}) = x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3$ which is left fixed by φ_4 proving that $A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_2) = 0$.

It follows from the previous remarks that we can drop all the summands of ρ_3 which are either integers or summands of $\rho_2 + \rho_1$. The complement consists of

$$x = \sum_{i < j < k} x_i^{\epsilon_i} x_j^{\epsilon_j} x_k^{\epsilon_k}, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1.$$

If we check $\beta(H) \cdot x$ we will see that all but one term is either symmetric in some (i, j) or is in the orbit of a monomial previously shown to have alternating sum zero (e.g. $\beta(H) \cdot x_1 x_3^{-1} x_4 = x_1^{9/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{1/2} x_4^{3/2}$ which is in the orbit of $x_1^{9/2} x_2^{5/2} x_3^{3/2} x_4^{1/2}$). The exception is $\beta(H) \cdot x_1 x_2 x_3 = x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{5/2} x_4^{1/2}$. Under $\varphi_4 \circ \gamma$ this monomial is mapped to $\beta(F_4)$ implying that $A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_3) = +A(\beta(F_4))$.

$$\rho_4 = \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} x_4^{\epsilon_4} + \sum_{i < j < k} x_i^{\epsilon_i} x_j^{\epsilon_j} x_k^{\epsilon_k} + C$$

where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and C is a term made up of monomials appearing as summands in $\rho_2 + \rho_1$. A monotonous repetition of the previous arguments shows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\beta(H)\varphi_4) &= A[\beta(H)(x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 x_4^{-1} + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3)] \\ &= A(x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{5/2} x_4^{3/2} + x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{5/2} x_4^{-1/2} + x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{5/2} x_4^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms are images of each other under the action of $\varphi_4((-1)^{\varphi_4} = -1)$ and therefore cancel in the alternating sum.

$$\gamma(x_1^{9/2} x_2^{7/2} x_3^{5/2} x_4^{3/2}) = x_1^6 x_2^2 x_3$$

which is left fixed by φ_4 . Therefore $A(\beta(H) \cdot \rho_4) = 0$ completing the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *$R(\text{Spin}(9))$ is a free module over $R(F_4)$ with $\{1, \Delta, \Delta^2\}$ as a set of free generators. (Using the results of [8, §8], we can get a basis for $R(T)$ over $R(F_4)$.)*

COROLLARY.

$$\alpha : R(\text{Spin}(9)) \rightarrow K(F_4/\text{Spin}(9))$$

is onto and $K(F_4/\text{Spin}(9))$ is a free abelian group of rank 3 freely generated by the set $\{\alpha(1), \alpha(\Delta), \alpha(\Delta^2)\}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. F. ADAMS, *Lectures on Lie groups*, W. A. Benjamin, N. Y., 1969.
2. M. F. ATIYAH AND F. HIRZEBRUCH, *Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 3, A.M.S., (1961) pp. 7-38.
3. A. BOREL AND J. SIEBENTHAL, *Sur les sous-groupes fermés connexes de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos.*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, vol. 226 (1948), pp. 1662-1664.
4. R. BOTZ, "The index theorem for homogeneous diff. operators" in *Differential and combinatorial topology*, edited by S. S. Cairnes, Princeton, 1965.
5. N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapter 6, Hermann, Paris.
6. J. MILNOR, *The representation rings of some classical groups*, Notes for Mathematics, no. 402, Princeton University, 1963.
7. G. SEGAL, *Equivariant K-Theory*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci., vol. 34, 1968, pp. 129-151.
8. J. SHAPIRO, *A duality theorem for the representation ring of a compact connected Lie group*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 18 (1974), pp. 79-106.

TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
HAIFA, ISRAEL
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI