

## A NON-REMOVABLE SET FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS SATISFYING A ZYGMUND CONDITION

BY

NGUYEN XUAN UY

### 1. Introduction

A complex-valued function  $f$  defined on the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , if there exists a constant  $C(f)$  such that

$$|f(z+h) - f(z)| \leq C(f)|h|^\alpha$$

for all complex  $z$  and  $h$ . This condition is obviously stronger than

$$|f(z+h) + f(z-h) - 2f(z)| \leq C(f)|h|^\alpha$$

which does not necessarily imply the continuity of  $f$ . When  $\alpha = 1$ , the latter condition is usually called the Zygmund condition. We shall denote the classes of bounded continuous functions which satisfy the above conditions respectively by  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha$ . If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , it is well known (see [5, Chap. V, Section 4]) that  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha$  are identical but  $\text{Lip}_1 \not\subseteq \Lambda_1$ .

We shall call a compact subset  $E$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , a removable set for analytic functions of class  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$ , resp.  $\Lambda_\alpha$ , provided that every function in  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$ , resp.  $\Lambda_\alpha$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus E$  has analytic extension to the entire plane. Dolženko [1] proved that  $E$  is removable for analytic functions of class  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , if and only if  $E$  has  $(1 + \alpha)$ -dimensional measure zero. In [6] we showed that this result is also true for the case  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus the removable sets for analytic functions of class  $\Lambda_1$  must also have zero  $dx dy$ -measure.

In this paper we shall construct a compact set  $E$  of zero  $dx dy$ -measure and a probability Borel measure  $\mu$ , supported on  $E$ , such that its Cauchy transform

$$\hat{\mu}(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{\xi - z}$$

belongs to  $\Lambda_1$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}(z) = -1/z + \dots$  at  $\infty$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  cannot be entire.

---

Received June 1, 1983.

Throughout this paper we will denote the Lebesgue measure in the plane by  $m$ , and for convenience, we denote by  $C$  certain absolute constants, not necessarily the same in different occurrences.

## 2. Construction of $E$ and $\mu$

We start with the unit square

$$Q = \{z = x_1 + ix_2 : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1\}$$

of the complex plane. For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be the grid of closed octadic squares of size  $8^{-n}$  which are contained in  $Q$ . The members of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  will be denoted by  $Q_j^{(n)}$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, (64)^n$ .

We divide the squares of each grid into two types, called red and green squares, as follows.

The red squares of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  will consist of 32 squares, where 28 of them are those squares that intersect the boundary  $\partial Q$ . The remaining 4 squares are chosen arbitrarily in the interior of  $Q$ .

Now suppose  $n \geq 2$ . For each  $Q_j^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ , we choose 32 squares of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which are contained in  $Q_j^{(n-1)}$ , in such a way that 28 of them intersect  $\partial Q_j^{(n-1)}$ . As above, the other 4 squares are arbitrarily chosen in the interior of  $Q_j^{(n-1)}$ . The red squares of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  are those chosen in this way, and the rest are green; red squares are labeled  $R_j^{(n)}$ , green squares  $G_j^{(n)}$ .

Now we define a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of Rademacher functions as follows:

$$(1) \quad \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in \text{int } G_j^{(n)} \text{ for some } j, \\ -1 & \text{if } z \in \text{int } R_j^{(n)} \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by induction, we derive a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  as follows. We set

$$(2a) \quad f_1(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + \varphi_1(z) & \text{if } z \in Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $f_n$  has been defined, then

$$(2b) \quad f_{n+1}(z) = \begin{cases} f_n(z) + \varphi_{n+1}(z) & \text{if } f_n(z) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that each  $f_n$  assumes only nonnegative integral values,  $f_n \leq n + 1$ , and  $f_n$  is constant on the interior of any octadic squares of size  $8^{-n}$ . Furthermore, since

$$\int \int_{Q_j^{(n)}} \varphi_{n+1} dm = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(3) \quad \int \int f_n dm = 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$(4) \quad \int \int_{Q^{(n)}} f_{n+k} dm = \int \int_{Q^{(n)}} f_n dm$$

for all  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Therefore the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to a unique probability Borel measure in the weak-star topology.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mu$  be the limit of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in the weak-star topology and  $E$  be the support of  $\mu$ . Then  $m(E) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  define

$$S_n(z) = 1 + \varphi_1(z) + \varphi_2(z) + \dots + \varphi_n(z), \quad z \in Q.$$

it is well known that  $S_n = 0$  infinitely often almost everywhere (see [2, Chap. XIV]). The support  $E$  omits the interior of any square  $Q_n$  such that  $S_n = 0$ . Hence  $m(E) = 0$ .

### 3. Density property of $\mu$

For each square  $I$  let  $\delta(I) = \mu(I)/m(I)$ . If  $I$  is an octadic square of size  $8^{-n}$ , it is easy to see that this ratio is equal to the common value of  $f_n$  in  $\text{int } I$ . Thus,

$$(5) \quad |\delta(I) - \delta(I')| \leq 2$$

for any two adjacent octadic square of the same size.

Property (5) is essential in proving the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let*

$$S = \{z = a + \rho e^{i\varphi}: \varphi_0 \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_0 + \theta, 0 \leq \rho \leq r\}$$

and

$$S' = \{z = a + \rho e^{i\varphi}: \varphi_0 + \theta \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_0 + 2\theta, 0 \leq \rho \leq r\}$$

*be two adjacent sectors of the same center and size. Then there exists an absolute*

constant  $C$  such that

$$(6) \quad |\mu(S) - \mu(S')| \leq Cm(S).$$

*Proof.* The proof we give here is based on a method used by Kahane in [4].

First we assume that the angle  $\theta$  is not too small, say  $\theta \geq \pi/4$ , so that the length of the arc on  $\partial S$  is comparable with radius  $r$ . Under this assumption we see that

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{8}\pi r^2 \leq m(S) \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi r^2.$$

An octadic square contained in  $\text{int } S$  will be called maximal if any expanded octadic square crosses the boundary  $\partial S$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest integer such that there exists an octadic square of size  $8^{-p}$  contained in  $\text{int } S$ . For each  $j = p, p+1, p+2, \dots$  let  $\{\omega_k^j\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_j$ , be the collection of those maximal squares of size  $8^{-j}$  contained in  $\text{int } S$ . Then

$$\text{int } S = \bigcup_{j=p}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_j} \omega_k^j \right)$$

and with a little computation we can show that

$$(8) \quad m \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_j} \omega_k^j \right) \leq Cr8^{-j}.$$

Now let  $\omega^*$  be an octadic square of size  $8^{-p+1}$  which intersects  $S$ . There are at most  $N$  such squares, where  $N$  is independent of  $S$ . Since each  $\omega_k^j$  is contained in some  $\omega^*$ , it follows from (5) that

$$|\delta(\omega_k^j) - \delta(\omega^*)| \leq 2N + j - p + 1$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(S) - m(S)\delta(\omega^*)| &= \left| \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} m(\omega_k^j) (\delta(\omega_k^j) - \delta(\omega^*)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} m(\omega_k^j) (2N + j - p + 1) \\ &\leq 2Nm(S) + Cr \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} 8^{-j} (j - p + 1) \end{aligned}$$

by (8). Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(S) - m(S)\delta(\omega^*)| &\leq 2Nm(S) + Cr8^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j8^{-j} \\ &\leq 2Nm(S) + Cr^2 \\ &\leq Cm(S) \end{aligned}$$

by (7).

If we choose  $\omega^*$  which intersects both  $S$  and  $S'$ , then

$$|\mu(S) - \mu(S')| \leq |\mu(S) - m(S)\delta(\omega^*)| + |\mu(S') - m(S')\delta(\omega^*)| \leq Cm(S).$$

This proves the theorem in case  $\theta \geq \pi/4$ .

We now consider the case when  $\theta \leq \pi/4$ . We use circles centered at  $a$  to divide  $S$  into truncated sectors  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  which have the property that the lengths of all sides of  $S_j$  are comparable with  $r\theta$ . Note that the perimeter of each  $S_j$  is  $O(r\theta)$ . Let  $S'_j$  be the corresponding sectors in  $S'$ . Then by an argument as above we can show that

$$|\mu(S_j) - \mu(S'_j)| \leq Cm(S_j)$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(S) - \mu(S')| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu(S_j) - \mu(S'_j)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m(S_j) \\ &\leq Cm(S). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* In view of (5) and the technique used in proving Theorem 2, we note that there exists an absolute constant  $C$  such that

$$(9) \quad |\mu(I) - \mu(I')| \leq Cm(I)$$

for any two adjacent squares of the same size. Then it follows from (9) that

$$(10) \quad \mu(I) \leq Ct^2 \log \frac{1}{t}$$

for every closed square of size  $t \leq t_0$ . Then  $\hat{\mu}$  is defined and continuous on the entire complex plane with modulus of continuity  $\omega$  satisfying

$$(11) \quad \omega(\delta) = O\left(\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

for small  $\delta > 0$ . See [3, chapter 3, Section 4].

#### 4. The Zygmund condition

In this section we shall show that  $\hat{\mu}$  satisfies a Zygmund condition. For this purpose, we define

$$\Phi(z, y) = (P_y * \hat{\mu})(z)$$

for all  $z$  and  $y > 0$ , where

$$P_y(z) = \frac{y}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}, \quad z = x_1 + ix_2,$$

is the Poisson Kernel, modulo a constant, of the upper half space. It is well known (see [5, Chapter V, Section 4]) that  $\hat{\mu}$  satisfies a Zygmund condition if and only if there exists a constant  $A$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2}(z, y) \right| \leq \frac{A}{y}$$

for all  $z$  and  $y > 0$ . Since  $\Phi$  is harmonic, this condition is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}(z, y) \right| \leq \frac{A}{y}.$$

Thus, in view of the equality

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{z}} = -\pi(P_y * \mu),$$

it is sufficient to prove the conditions

$$(12a) \quad \left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x_1} * \mu(z, y) \right| \leq \frac{A}{y},$$

$$(12b) \quad \left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x_2} * \mu(z, y) \right| \leq \frac{A}{y}.$$

We shall give a proof of (12a). The proof of (12b) is technically the same.

We assume without loss of generality that  $z = 0$ . If  $(r, \theta)$  are the polar coordinates of  $(x_1, x_2)$ , then

$$\frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x_1} = \frac{-2x_1 y}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} = \frac{-2ry \cos \theta}{(r^2 + y^2)^{5/2}}.$$

For  $y$  fixed, the function  $\varphi(r) = ry/(r^2 + y^2)^{5/2}$  is increasing when  $0 \leq r \leq y/2$  and decreasing when  $r \geq y/2$ . The maximum value of  $\varphi$  is  $M = \varphi(y/2) = C/y^3$  and

$$(13) \quad \int \int \tilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}) dx_1 dx_2 \leq \frac{C}{y},$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r) = \begin{cases} \varphi(y/2) & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq y/2, \\ \varphi(r) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and consider the points  $Mj2^{-n}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1$  in the range of  $\varphi$ . Let  $a_j, b_j$  be the inverse images by  $f$  of  $Mj2^{-n}$  with  $b_j > a_j$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j(r) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq a_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \beta_j(r) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq b_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \varphi_n &= M2^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} (\beta_j - \alpha_j). \end{aligned}$$

We can verify easily that

$$0 \leq \varphi(r) - \varphi_n(r) \leq M/2^n, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Next, let  $\theta_k = \cos^{-1}(k/2^n)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(\theta) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta_k \leq \theta \leq \pi/2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \psi_n &= \gamma_{2^n} - 2^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \gamma_k. \end{aligned}$$

With a little computation we can show that

$$0 \leq \cos \theta - \psi_n(\theta) \leq 1/2^n, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2.$$

Now, consider the sectors

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j,k} &= \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 \leq r \leq a_j, \theta_k \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}, \\ B_{j,k} &= \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 \leq r \leq b_j, \theta_k \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we consider  $\varphi_n \psi_n$  as a function of  $(x_1, x_2)$ , it follows that

$$\varphi_n \psi_n = M2^{-n} \sum_j (\chi_{B_{j,2^n}} - \chi_{A_{j,2^n}}) + M4^{-n} \sum_{j,k} (\chi_{B_{j,k}} - \chi_{A_{j,k}}).$$

Furthermore, by (13) we obtain

(14)

$$M2^{-n} \sum_j [m(B_{j,2^n}) + m(A_{j,2^n})] + M4^{-n} \sum_{j,k} [m(B_{j,k}) + m(A_{j,k})] \leq C/y.$$

We now extend  $\varphi_n \psi_n$  to the entire plane, in such a way that the resulting extension is odd in  $x_1$  and even in  $x_2$ . Then we apply Theorem 2 to each pair of correspondent sectors whose adjacent side lies on the  $x_2$ -axis. It follows from (14) that

$$\left| \int \varphi_n \psi_n d\mu \right| \leq C/y.$$

Finally,

$$\left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x_1} * \mu(0, y) \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int \varphi_n \psi_n d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C}{y}.$$

and (12a) is proved.

#### REFERENCES

1. E.M. STEIN, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
2. E.P. DOLZENKO, *On the removable singularities of analytic functions*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk, vol. 18 (1963), pp. 135–142; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., vol. 97 (1970), pp. 33–41.
3. J. GARNETT, *Analytic capacity and measures*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 297, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
4. J.-P. KAHANE, *Trois notes sur les ensembles parfaits lineaires*, Enseignement Math., vol. 15 (1969), pp. 185–192.
5. N.X. UY, *Removable sets of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Ark for Mat., vol. 17 (1979), pp. 19–27.
6. W. FELLER, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, vol. 1, 2nd edition, Wiley, New York, 1965.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA