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## 1. Introduction

Nine imaginary quadratic fields are known in which the ring of integers has unique factorization, namely the fields with discriminants

$$
-4,-8,-3,-7,-11,-19,-43,-67,-163
$$

Heilbronn and Linfoot [3] proved that there can exist at most one more such field. Dickson [2] showed that if this tenth field actually exists, then its discriminant must be numerically greater than 1500000 , while Lehmer [5] improved this bound to 5000000000 .

It is easy to prove (see the last footnote on p. 294 of [3]) that if an imaginary quadratic field other than those with discriminants -4 and -8 has unique factorization, then its discriminant must be of the form $-p$, where $p$ is a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 . We shall use $h(-p)$ to denote the number of classes of ideals in the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field with discriminant $-p$, and $L_{p}(s)$ to denote the Dirichlet $L$-function formed from the unique real nonprincipal residue-character modulo $p$. The latter is given by the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{-p}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \frac{1}{n^{s}} \tag{s>0}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the Kronecker and Legendre symbols respectively.
There are various results showing that if $h(-p)=1$ for some prime $p$ greater than 163, then $L_{p}(s)$ must have a real zero rather close to 1 . For example, S. Chowla and A. Selberg [1] showed that if $h(-p)=1$ for some prime $p$ greater than 163 , then $L_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<0$ and so $L_{p}(s)$ has a real zero between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 (since $L_{p}(1)$ is positive).

A more specific result follows from an inequality of Hecke, which is proved in [4]. If $0<a \leqq 2$ and $L_{p}(s)$ has no real zeros greater than $1-a / \log p$, Hecke showed that

$$
h(-p)>\frac{a}{11000} \frac{p^{1 / 2}}{\log p}
$$

(This is trivial if $p<10^{10}$, and otherwise follows from the inequality at the

[^0]top of page 290 of [4].) This shows in particular that if $h(-p)=1$ for some prime $p$ greater than $2 \cdot 10^{10}$, then $L_{p}(s)$ must have a real zero between $1-2 / \log p$ and 1 .

In this paper we show that one of the lemmas in Heilbronn and Linfoot's paper [3] implies the following sharper result.

Theorem. If $p>163$ and if the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field with discriminant $-p$ has unique factorization, then $L_{p}(s)$ has a real zero greater than

$$
1-\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{6 \log (p / 4)}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

If we combine this with the result of Lehmer's calculation, we see that if $p>163$ and $h(-p)=1$, then $L_{p}(s)$ has a real zero greater than

$$
1-\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{16000}\right)
$$

It is interesting to compare this with an unpublished result of Rosser that for any $p$ there are no zeros of $L_{p}(s)$ greater than

$$
1-\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}
$$

If Rosser's result could be improved very slightly, then we would be able to infer the nonexistence of the elusive tenth imaginary quadratic field whose ring of integers has unique factorization.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

The first lemma is the cornerstone of our argument.
Lemma 1. (Heilbronn and Linfoot). If $p$ is a prime such that $h(-p)=1$ and if $\frac{1}{2}<s<1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(s) L_{p}(s) \geqq \zeta(2 s)\left(1-4^{s} p^{-s}\right) & \\
& +2^{2 s-1} p^{1 / 2-s} \zeta(2 s-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-s} d u \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2 of [3]. The proof is not very difficult.
Remark. If the expression on the right-hand side of (1) is positive when $s=s_{0}$, where $\frac{1}{2}<s_{0}<1$, then $L_{p}\left(s_{0}\right)$ must be negative, and so $L_{p}\left(s_{1}\right)=0$ for some $s_{1}$ between $s_{0}$ and 1 . For given $p$ with $h(-p)=1$ we wish to prove the existence of a zero of $L_{p}(s)$ as close to 1 as possible, and thus we shall try to prove the positivity of the right-hand side of (1) when $s=1-\delta$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is as small as possible. We shall need some lemmas about the functions occurring on the right-hand side of (1).

Lemma 2. If $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\zeta(2-2 \delta)>\frac{1}{6} \pi^{2}(1+1.1 \delta)
$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem with remainder there is a number $\theta$ between 0 and 1 such that

$$
\zeta(2-2 \delta)=\zeta(2)-2 \delta \zeta^{\prime}(2)+2 \delta^{2} \zeta^{\prime \prime}(2-2 \theta \delta)
$$

Since $\zeta^{\prime \prime}(2-2 \theta \delta)>0$ and $\zeta^{\prime}(2) / \zeta(2)<-0.55$, the result follows.
Lemma 3. If $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\zeta(1-2 \delta)>-(1-\delta) /(2 \delta)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\zeta(1-2 \delta)=-\frac{1}{2 \delta}+\frac{1}{2}-(1-2 \delta) \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}\right) u^{-2+2 \delta} d u
$$

Since the integral here is negative, the result follows.
Lemma 4. If $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-1+\delta} d u<\pi(1-0.6 \delta) /(1-2 \delta)
$$

Proof. For nonnegative integral $n$ put

$$
I_{n}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-1} \log ^{n}\left(u^{2}+1\right) d u
$$

Using the substitutions $u^{2}+1=t$ and $\log t=v$ in turn, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\log ^{n} t}{t(t-1)^{1 / 2}} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{n} e^{-v / 2}\left(1-e^{-v}\right)^{-1 / 2} d v \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{n} e^{-v / 2}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{k-\frac{1}{2}}{k} e^{-k v}\right\} d v \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{k-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{n} e^{-(k+1 / 2) v} d v \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{k-\frac{1}{2}}{k}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-n-1} \\
& =2^{n} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)(2 k+1)^{-n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $I_{0}=\pi / 2$, while for $n>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} & \leqq 2^{n} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)(2 k+1)^{-2} \\
& <2^{n} n!\left\{1+\frac{1}{18}+\frac{3}{200}+\frac{5}{16} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}(2 k+1)^{-2}\right\} \\
& <(1.097) 2^{n} n!<0.7(\pi / 2) 2^{n} n!
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-1+\delta} d u & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-1}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{n} \log ^{n}\left(u^{2}+1\right)}{n!}\right\} d u \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{n} \delta^{n} / n! \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \pi\left\{1+0.7 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \delta)^{n}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \pi(1-0.6 \delta)(1-2 \delta)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. If $p$ is a prime number such that $h(-p)=1$ and if $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(1-\delta) L_{p}(1-\delta) \geqq \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\{1+1.1 \delta\}\{1 & \left.-\left(\frac{4}{p}\right)^{1-\delta}\right\} \\
& -\frac{\pi}{\delta p^{1 / 2}} \frac{(1-\delta)(1-0.6 \delta)}{1-2 \delta}\left(\frac{p}{4}\right)^{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The result is merely a combination of the previous lemmas.
Lemma 6. If $p$ is a prime number such that $h(-p)=1$ and if $0<\delta<\frac{1}{20}$, then

$$
\zeta(1-\delta) L_{p}(1-\delta) \geqq \frac{\pi}{\delta p^{1 / 2}} \frac{(1-\delta)(1-0.6 \delta)}{1-2 \delta} F(\delta),
$$

where

$$
F(\delta)=\frac{1}{6} \pi\{1+0.6 \delta\}\left\{1-(4 / p)^{1-\delta}\right\} \delta p^{1 / 2}-e^{\delta \log (p / 4)}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
(1+1.1 \delta)(1-2 \delta)>(1-\delta)(1-0.6 \delta)(1+0.6 \delta)
$$

for $0<\delta<\frac{1}{20}$, this follows from Lemma 5.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

Suppose now that $p$ is a prime greater than 163 such that $h(-p)=1$. In view of the calculations of Dickson and Lehmer we may (and shall) assume that $p>1500000$.

It is easy to see that the quantity $F(\delta)$ of Lemma 6 is negative if $\delta \leqq 6 /\left(\pi p^{1 / 2}\right)$, but becomes positive when $\delta$ is a little bit larger than $6 /\left(\pi p^{1 / 2}\right)$. Thus we take

$$
\delta=\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}(1+\eta), \quad 0<\eta<\frac{1}{10}
$$

where $\eta$ will later be chosen just large enough to make $F(\delta)>0$. In particular, $\delta<\frac{1}{20}$, so that Lemma 6 is applicable.

Since $(4 / p)^{19 / 20}<0.01 p^{-1 / 2}<0.01 \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{6} \pi\{1+0.6 \delta\}\left\{1-(4 / p)^{1-\delta}\right\} \delta p^{1 / 2} & >\frac{1}{6} \pi \delta p^{1 / 2}(1+0.5 \delta) \\
& =(1+\eta)(1+0.5 \delta)  \tag{2}\\
& >1+0.5 \delta+\eta
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\delta \log (p / 4)<\delta \log ^{2}(p / 4)<\frac{1}{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\delta \log (p / 4)} & <1+\delta \log (p / 4)+\frac{1}{2}\{\delta \log (p / 4)\}^{2}\left\{1-\frac{1}{2} \delta \log (p / 4)\right\}^{-1} \\
& <1+\delta \log (p / 4)+0.6\{\delta \log (p / 4)\}^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& <1+\delta \log (p / 4)+0.2 \delta .
\end{align*}
$$

If we now take

$$
\eta=\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}} \log \frac{p}{4}
$$

and combine (2) and (3), we have in the notation of Lemma 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(\delta) & >\eta-\delta \log (p / 4)+0.3 \delta \\
& =-\left(\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}} \log \frac{p}{4}\right)^{2}+0.3 \frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}(1+\eta) \\
& >\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}\left\{0.3-\frac{6}{\pi p^{1 / 2}}\left(\log \frac{p}{4}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Lemma 6 and our choice of $\delta$ and $\eta$, the theorem is proved. (Cf. the remark after Lemma 1.)

Addendum, December 1, 1961. The proof given by Heilbronn and Linfoot for our Lemma 1 actually shows that if $a, b, c$ are real numbers with $a>0$ and $d=b^{2}-4 a c<0$, and if $Z(s)$ is the analytic continuation of

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)}\left(a m^{2}+b m n+c n^{2}\right)^{-8}
$$

then for $\frac{1}{2}<s<1$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|a^{s} Z(s)-\zeta(2 s)-\left(4 a^{2} /|d|\right)^{s-1 / 2} \zeta(2 s-1) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-s} d u\right| \\
\leqq\left(4 a^{2} /|d|\right)^{s} \zeta(2 s)
\end{array}
$$

In fact their proof incidentally establishes the continuation of $Z(s)$ from the domain $\operatorname{Re} s>1$ into the domain $\operatorname{Re} s>\frac{1}{2}, s \neq 1$. The basic idea of the preceding inequality goes back to M. Deuring (Imaginäre quadratische Zahlkörper mit der Klassenzahl 1, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 37 (1933), pp. 405-415). To see that Lemma 1 is contained in the above result, we need only note that
if $h(-p)=1$ for some prime $p$ congruent to 3 modulo $4, p>3$, then

$$
\zeta(s) L_{p}(s)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)}\left\{m^{2}+m n+\frac{1}{4}(p+1) n^{2}\right\}^{-s}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$.
Accordingly, the argument of the present paper shows that if $d / a^{2}<-2 \cdot 10^{6}$, then $Z(s)$ has a real zero between

$$
1-\frac{3}{\pi}\left(\frac{4 a^{2}}{|d|}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{1+\frac{3}{\pi}\left(\frac{4 a^{2}}{|d|}\right)^{1 / 2} \log \left(\frac{|d|}{4 a^{2}}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad 1-\frac{3}{\pi}\left(\frac{4 a^{2}}{|d|}\right)^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

A less specific form of this assertion was obtained by M. Deuring (Zetafunktionen quadratischer Formen, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 172 (1935), pp. 226-252) and also by S. Chowla (The class-number of binary quadratic forms, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford), vol. 5 (1934), pp. 302-303, and On an unsuspected real zero of Epstein's zeta function, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, vol. 13, no. 4, 1 p. (1947)). Note, however, that Chowla uses $-4 d$ in place of our $d$.
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