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Let $K$ be an algebraic number field of finite degree $n$ over the rationals, and let $J(K)$ be its ring of integers. If $m$ is a positive integer greater than unity, let $J_{m}(K)$ be the additive group generated by the $m^{\text {th }}$ powers of the elements of $J(K)$. Clearly $J_{m}(K)$ is a subring of $J(K)$. Needless to say, $J_{m}(K)$ is that subset of $J(K)$ in which Waring's problem for $m^{\text {th }}$ powers is to be considered. The identity

$$
m!x=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-1-k}\binom{m-1}{k}\left\{(x+k)^{m}-k^{m}\right\}
$$

shows that

$$
m!J(K) \subset J_{m}(K) \subset J(K)
$$

Hence $J_{m}(K)$ consists of certain of the residue classes of $J(K)$ modulo $m!J(K)$. Further $J_{m}(K)$ can be determined in a particular case by an examination of the quotient ring $J(K) /\{m!J(K)\}$. This determination can be rather complicated, especially when $m$ is composite.

When $m$ is a prime $q$, the situation is somewhat simpler than in the general case. In particular, it is easy to characterize those algebraic number fields $K$ for which $J_{q}(K)=J(K)$. We shall do this in this paper. Examples of our main result are as follows: (A) $J_{3}(K)=J(K)$ unless either 3 is ramified ${ }^{2}$ in $J(K)$ or 2 has in $J(K)$ a prime ideal factor of second degree, (B) $J_{11}(K)=J(K)$ unless 11 is ramified in $J(K)$, (C) $J_{31}(K)=J(K)$ unless either 31 is ramified in $J(K)$ or 2 has in $J(K)$ a prime ideal factor of fifth degree or 5 has in $J(K)$ a prime ideal factor of third degree. For most primes $q$ the situation is analogous to that for $q=11$, that is, we usually can say that $J_{q}(K)=J(K)$ if and only if $q$ is not ramified in $J(K)$. This generalizes the familiar result [10] that $J_{2}(K)=J(K)$ if and only if 2 is not ramified in $J(K)$.

The primes for which complications occur are those special primes $q$ ex-

[^0]pressible in the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $p$ is also a prime number and $r$ and $d$ are positive integers. Here $d$ must be a divisor of $r$, since otherwise $\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ would not be an integer, in view of the identity

$$
\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{[r / d]} p^{r-i d}+\left(p^{r-[r / d] d}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)
$$

where $[u$ ] denotes the greatest integer not exceeding the real number $u$. Further $r$ must actually be a prime-power, and $d$ must be the largest divisor of $r$ other than $r$ itself, since otherwise $\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ would be composite, in view of the identity

$$
\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)=\prod \Phi_{j}(p)
$$

where $j$ runs over the divisors of $r$ which are not divisors of $d$, and $\Phi_{j}(x)$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ cyclotomic polynomial. Thus in specifying an expression for a prime $q$ in the form (*), it is enough to give the value of $r$.

Our precise result is the following, which is a restatement of Theorem 3 below. If $q$ is a prime number not expressible in the form (*), then $J_{q}(K)=J(K)$ if and only if $q$ is unramified in $J(K)$. If $q$ is a prime number expressible in the form (*), let

$$
q=\left(p_{1}^{r_{1}}-1\right) /\left(p_{1}^{d_{1}}-1\right), \quad \cdots, \quad q=\left(p_{v}^{r_{v}}-1\right) /\left(p_{v}{ }^{d_{v}}-1\right)
$$

be all the ways it can be so expressed. Then $J_{q}(K)=J(K)$ if and only if $q$ is unramified in $J(K)$ and $p_{i}$ does not have in $J(K)$ a prime ideal factor of degree $r_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, v$.

The prime numbers of the form (*) are comparatively rare. For example, the table at the end of the paper shows that there are only 28 of them less than $(10)^{5}$. Within the range of the table, 31 is the only prime with more than one expression in the form (*). We shall show by the sieve method that $\sum^{*} q^{-1 / 2}$ converges, where the sum runs over the primes of the form (*), each taken in the multiplicity of its occurrence in the form (*). More specifically, we shall show that if $x$ is large, there are at most $50 x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-2}$ primes of the form ( $*$ ) not exceeding $x$, repetitions counting.

Special cases of our main result such as (A), (B), and (C) above can easily be read off by use of the table.

Siegel [9, 10] has shown that if $\nu$ is a totally positive element of $J_{m}(K)$, then $\nu$ is expressible as a sum of $\left(2^{m-1}+n\right) m n+1$ or fewer $m^{\text {th }}$ powers of totally positive elements of $J(K)$, provided that, if $K$ is totally real, the norm of $\nu$ is sufficiently large. Tatuzawa [12] has improved this result by showing that $8 m n(m+n)$ or fewer summands will suffice. ${ }^{3}$ It would naturally be desirable to eliminate the strong dependence of these results on the

[^1]field degree $n$. While this would probably be a rather ambitious task, on the other hand one of us has shown that a result of this kind is readily obtainable for the so-called easier Waring problem. Specifically, it is shown in [11] that for any prime $q$ every element $\nu$ of $J_{q}(K)$ is expressible as a sum of at most $2^{q-1}+q / 3+1$ integers of the form $\pm \lambda^{q}$, where $\lambda \in J(K)$. The results obtained in this paper tell us for which fields $K$ we can make such an assertion for every element $\nu$ of $J(K)$.

## 2. A theorem of Tornheim

We shall require the following result of Tornheim [13] and so we include a brief proof for convenience. As is customary we denote the finite field of $p^{r}$ elements, where $p$ is a prime, by $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $q$ is a prime. Then every element of $\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{r}\right)$ is expressible as a sum of $q^{\text {th }}$ powers of elements of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$ unless $q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ for some divisor $d$ of $r$, in which special case the $q^{\text {th }}$ powers form a subfield of $p^{d}$ elements.

Proof. If $q \nmid\left(p^{r}-1\right)$, then the operation of taking the $q^{\text {th }}$ power gives an automorphism of the multiplicative group of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$, and hence every element of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$ is a $q^{\text {th }}$ power. If $q \mid\left(p^{r}-1\right)$, regardless of whether or not $q$ has the special form mentioned in the statement of the theorem, the nonzero $q^{\text {th }}$ powers form a subgroup $H$ of index $q$ in the multiplicative group of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$. If $q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ for some divisor $d$ of $r$, then $H$ must coincide with the multiplicative group of that subfield of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$ which has $p^{d}$ elements, so that in this case we have the result indicated. Now suppose $q \mid\left(p^{r}-1\right)$ but $q$ does not have the previous special form. Then $H$ does not coincide with the multiplicative group of any subfield of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$. However, the set $L$ consisting of those elements of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$ which are expressible as the sum of $q^{\text {th }}$ powers is closed under addition and multiplication, and therefore $L$ is a subfield of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$. Thus the multiplicative group of $L$ properly contains $H$. Since $H$ has prime index $q$ in the multiplicative group of $G F\left(p^{r}\right)$, we must have $L=G F\left(p^{r}\right)$. This completes the proof.

## 3. How to determine $J_{q}(K)$

The Chinese Remainder Theorem enables us to prove the following result on the determination of $J_{q}(K)$, which is implicit in [11].

Theorem 2. Suppose $q$ is a prime number. Suppose $P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{s}$ are the distinct prime ideals of $J(K)$ dividing $(q-1)!$. Then an element $\nu$ of $J(K)$ is in $J_{q}(K)$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) For each $i(i=1,2, \cdots, s)$ there are elements $\rho_{i 1}, \cdots, \rho_{i m(i)}$ of $J(K)$ such that

$$
\nu \equiv \rho_{i 1}^{q}+\cdots+\rho_{i m(i)}^{q} \quad\left(\bmod P_{i}\right) .
$$

(b) There is an element $\delta$ of $J(K)$ such that

$$
\nu \equiv \delta^{q} \quad(\bmod q J(K))
$$

Remark. In order to obtain the result on the easier Waring problem mentioned at the end of $\S 1$, all we need do, in view of the identity of the first paragraph of $\S 1$, is to show that we can always take $m(i) \leqq q / 3$. This is rather simple to do by easy group-theoretic arguments.

Proof. First suppose $\nu \in J_{q}(K)$. Then by definition $\nu$ is the sum of a finite number of elements of the form $\pm \lambda^{q}$, where $\lambda \in J(K)$. Since

$$
-\lambda^{q} \equiv(-\lambda)^{q} \quad(\bmod q!J(K))
$$

this implies that $\nu$ is congruent to a sum of $q^{\text {th }}$ powers modulo $q!J(K)$. Hence (a) holds. Since

$$
\mu_{1}^{q}+\mu_{2}^{q}+\cdots+\mu_{n}^{q} \equiv\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\cdots+\mu_{n}\right)^{q} \quad(\bmod q J(K))
$$

for any $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{n}$ in $J(K)$, it follows that (b) holds also.
Now suppose (a) and (b) hold. By inserting zero terms if necessary we may assume that $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{s}$ all have the same value $m-1$. For $j=1, \cdots, m-1$ we choose $\gamma_{j} \epsilon J(K)$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem so that

$$
\gamma_{j} \equiv \rho_{i j} \quad\left(\bmod P_{i}\right) \quad(i=1, \cdots, s)
$$

Put $\gamma_{m}=-1$. Then

$$
\nu \equiv 1^{q}+\gamma_{1}^{q}+\cdots+\gamma_{m}^{q} \quad\left(\bmod P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{s}\right)
$$

Define a sequence $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots$ of elements of $J(K)$ as follows. Put $\beta_{1}=1$ and

$$
\beta_{k+1}=\beta_{k}+h\left(\nu-\beta_{k}{ }^{q}-\gamma_{1}^{q}-\cdots-\gamma_{m}^{q}\right),
$$

where $h$ is a fixed rational integer such that $h q \equiv 1(\bmod (q-1)!)$. Then it is easy to see by induction that $\beta_{k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{s}\right)$ and

$$
\nu \equiv{\beta_{k}}^{q}+\gamma_{1}^{q}+\cdots+\gamma_{m}^{q} \quad\left(\bmod \left(P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{s}\right)^{k}\right)
$$

for any positive integral value of $k$. Choose $k$ so large that

$$
(q-1)!J(K) \mid\left(P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{s}\right)^{k}
$$

Choose $\alpha_{0}$ in $J(K)$ so that for this value of $k$ we have

$$
\alpha_{0} \equiv \beta_{k} \quad(\bmod (q-1)!J(K)), \quad \alpha_{0} \equiv \delta \quad(\bmod q J(K))
$$

and for $j=1,2, \cdots, m$ choose $\alpha_{j}$ in $J(K)$ so that

$$
\alpha_{j} \equiv \gamma_{j} \quad(\bmod (q-1)!J(K)), \quad \alpha_{j} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q J(K))
$$

Then clearly

$$
\nu \equiv \alpha_{0}^{q}+\alpha_{1}^{q}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}^{q} \quad(\bmod q!J(K)),
$$

since this congruence holds both modulo $(q-1)!J(K)$ and modulo $q J(K)$.

Since $q!J(K) \subset J_{q}(K)$, we conclude that $\nu \in J_{q}(K)$. Hence (a) and (b) imply that $\nu \in J_{q}(K)$.

## 4. Main result on the characterization of $J_{q}(K)$

The previous two theorems enable us to prove the following main result.
Theorem 3. Suppose $q$ is a prime number. Then $J_{q}(K) \neq J(K)$ if and only if at least one of the following holds:
(i) $q$ is ramified in $J(K)$.
(ii) $q$ is expressible in the form $\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$, where $p$ is a prime and $r$ and $d$ are positive integers, and $p$ has in $J(K)$ a prime ideal factor of degree $r$.

Proof. Suppose (i) holds. Then $q J(K)$ is divisible by the square of some prime ideal $Q$ in $J(K)$. Thus the coprime-residue-class group modulo $q J(K)$ has order divisible by $q$. Hence not all coprime-residue-classes contain $q^{\text {th }}$ powers, since in an Abelian group of order divisible by $q$ the mapping $X \rightarrow X^{q}$ is a homomorphism of the group strictly into itself. Therefore, by Theorem $2, J_{q}(K)$ is properly contained in $J(K)$ when (i) holds.

Suppose (ii) holds. Suppose $P$ is a prime ideal in $J(K)$ of degree $r$ which divides $p$. Then $G F(N P)$ falls under the exceptional case of Theorem 1. Thus by Theorem 1 not all residue-classes modulo $P$ contain sums of $q^{\text {th }}$ powers. Therefore by Theorem $2, J_{q}(K)$ is properly contained in $J(K)$ when (ii) holds.

Now suppose neither (i) nor (ii) holds. Suppose $P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{s}$ are the distinct prime ideals dividing $(q-1)!J(K)$. Since (ii) does not hold, for $i=1,2, \cdots, s$ we know that $G F\left(N P_{i}\right)$ does not come under the exceptional case of Theorem 1. It follows that for $i=1,2, \cdots, s$ every residue-class modulo $P_{i}$ contains a sum of $q^{\text {th }}$ powers. Thus condition (a) of Theorem 2 holds for any $\nu$ in $J(K)$. On the other hand, since (i) does not hold,

$$
q J(K)=Q_{1} Q_{2} \cdots Q_{t}
$$

where $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \cdots, Q_{t}$ are distinct prime ideals. If $\nu \in J(K)$ and if we choose $\delta \epsilon J(K)$ so that

$$
\delta \equiv \nu^{N Q_{j} / q} \quad\left(\bmod Q_{j}\right) \quad(j=1, \cdots, t)
$$

we will have

$$
\delta^{q} \equiv \nu^{N Q_{j}} \equiv \nu \quad\left(\bmod Q_{j}\right) \quad(j=1, \cdots, t)
$$

and thus

$$
\delta^{q} \equiv \nu \quad(\bmod q J(K))
$$

Thus condition (b) of Theorem 2 holds for any $\nu$ in $J(K)$. Since conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2 hold for any $\nu$ in $J(K)$, it follows that $J_{q}(K)=J(K)$ when neither (i) nor (ii) holds. Thus Theorem 3 is proved.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the exceptional case of Theorem 1 and the case (ii) of Theorem 3 cannot occur unless $r$ is a prime-power and $d$ is the largest divisor of $r$ other than $r$ itself.

Our arguments enable us to give the following description of $J_{q}(K)$ when
$J_{q}(K) \neq J(K)$. If (i) holds but (ii) does not, then $J_{q}(K)$ is equal to the ring $R_{q}(K)$ consisting of those integers of $K$ which are congruent to $q^{\text {th }}$ powers modulo $q J(K)$. If (ii) holds but (i) does not, then $J_{q}(K)$ is equal to the ring $S_{q}(K)$ consisting of those integers of $K$ which are congruent to $q^{\text {th }}$ powers modulo each of the prime ideals of the type referred to in the statement of (ii). If both (i) and (ii) hold, then $J_{q}(K)=R_{q}(K) \cap S_{q}(K)$.

## 5. Frequency of occurrence of primes of the form (*)

Let $H(x)$ denote the number of primes $q$ not exceeding $x$ and expressible in the form (*) for some prime $p$ and some positive integers ${ }^{4} r$ and $d$, each $q$ being counted according to the multiplicity of its occurrence in the form (*). (Thus 31 is counted twice.) In this section we use Atle Selberg's sieve method to show that $H(x) \leqq 50 x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-2}$ for large $x$. The crude form of Brun's sieve method given in [5] would show that

$$
H(x)=O\left(x^{1 / 2}(\log \log x)^{2}(\log x)^{-2}\right)
$$

for large $x$, which would be sufficient to show that $\sum^{*} q^{-1 / 2}$ converges. Our proof will be accomplished by means of several lemmas. In what follows, sums or products on the letter $p$ are to be extended over the primes, and sums on the letter $m$ are to be extended over the positive integers.

Lemma 1 (Atle Selberg). Suppose $F$ is a polynomial in one variable with integral coefficients. Suppose $N$ is a positive integer greater than 1 and $1<z<N$. Let $S$ be the number of positive integers $j$ between 1 and $N$ inclusive such that $F(j)$ is relatively prime to $\prod_{p \leqq z} p$. Let $\omega(m)$ denote the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
F(X) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod m)
$$

If $\omega(p)=p$ for some prime $p$ not exceeding $z$, then $S=0$. If $\omega(p)<p$ for all primes $p$ not exceeding $z$, then

$$
S \leqq N / Z+R
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\sum_{m \leqq z} a_{m} m^{-1}, \quad a_{m}=\mu^{2}(m) \omega(m) \prod_{p \mid m}(1-\omega(p) / p)^{-1} \\
R=z^{2} \prod_{p \leqq z}(1-\omega(p) / p)^{-2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. See [8].
Lemma 2. Suppose $F$ is the product of $k$ distinct polynomials with integral coefficients each irreducible over the field of rational numbers. Suppose $\omega(m)$ and $a_{m}$ are defined as in Lemma 1. If $\omega(p)<p$ for all primes $p$, then for $x$ large

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \leqq x} a_{m} m^{-1}=\{k!C(F)\}^{-1}(\log x)^{k}+A_{k-1}(\log x)^{k-1}+\cdots \\
&+A_{1} \log x+A_{0}+O\left(x^{\theta-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]where $A_{0}, \cdots, A_{k-1}$ are certain constants depending on $F$,
$$
C(F)=\prod_{p}\left\{(1-1 / p)^{-k}(1-\omega(p) / p)\right\}
$$
and $\theta$ is a number between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 depending only on the degrees of the factors of $F$.

Proof. Suppose the $k$ irreducible factors of $F$ are $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{k}$, and let $\omega_{i}(m)$ be the number of solutions of the congruence $f_{i}(X) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. Then for all but finitely many primes $p$ we know that $\omega_{i}(p)$ is the number of distinct prime ideals of first degree in the algebraic number field generated by a zero of $f_{i}$ (see [16]). It is also known that

$$
\sum_{p}\left(\omega_{i}(p)-1\right) / p
$$

converges. Clearly $\omega(p)=\omega_{1}(p)+\cdots+\omega_{k}(p)$ for all but finitely many primes $p$, so that

$$
\sum_{p}(\omega(p)-k) / p
$$

converges. Then for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{s}} & =\prod_{p}\left\{1+\frac{\omega(p)}{p^{s}}\left(1-\frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right)^{-1}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{m} \frac{\delta_{m}}{m^{s}} \cdot \prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{\omega(p)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{m} \frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{m^{s}} \cdot \prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{\omega_{1}(p)+\cdots+\omega_{k}(p)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{m} \frac{\eta_{m}}{m^{s}} \cdot \prod_{p}\left\{\left(1-\frac{\omega_{1}(p)}{p^{s}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{\omega_{k}(p)}{p^{s}}\right)\right\}^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{m} \frac{\theta_{m}}{m^{s}} \cdot \zeta_{1}(s) \cdots \zeta_{k}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta_{i}(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta-function of the field generated by a zero of $f_{i}$, and $\sum \delta_{m} m^{-s}, \sum \varepsilon_{m} m^{-s}, \sum \eta_{m} m^{-s}$, and $\sum \theta_{m} m^{-s}$ converge absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s>\frac{1}{2}$. Now put (for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$ )

$$
\sum b_{m} m^{-s}=\zeta_{1}(s) \cdots \zeta_{k}(s)
$$

Then by an elementary argument of the type discussed in [14] we readily deduce from Weber's theorem $[15,16]$ that

$$
\sum_{m \leqq x} b_{m}=B_{k-1} x(\log x)^{k-1}+B_{k-2} x(\log x)^{k-2}+\cdots+B_{0} x+O\left(x^{\theta}\right)
$$

where $\theta$ is as announced. (Complex-variable methods using the functional equation of the Dedekind zeta-function would give a better value of $\theta$.) A further elementary argument gives as an immediate consequence of the above

$$
\sum_{m \leqq x} a_{m}=D_{k-1} x(\log x)^{k-1}+D_{k-2} x(\log x)^{k-2}+\cdots+D_{0} x+O\left(x^{\theta}\right)
$$

where $D_{0}, D_{1}, \cdots, D_{k-1}$ are certain constants. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-1)!D_{k-1} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+}(s-1)^{k} \sum_{m} a_{m} m^{-s} \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+} \zeta(s)^{-k} \sum_{m} a_{m} m^{-s} \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+} \prod_{p}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)^{k}\left(1+\frac{\omega(p)(1-\omega(p) / p)^{-1}}{p^{s}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\prod_{p}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k}\left(1+\frac{\omega(p)}{p-\omega(p)}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{C(F)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the limit step follows from the fact that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+} \sum_{p} \frac{\omega(p)-k}{p^{s}}=\sum_{p} \frac{\omega(p)-k}{p} .
$$

The result of the lemma now follows from the formula

$$
\sum_{m \leqq x} a_{m} m^{-1}=x^{-1} \sum_{m \leqq x} a_{m}+\int_{1}^{x} u^{-2}\left(\sum_{m \leqq u} a_{m}\right) d u .
$$

Lemma 3. Suppose $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{k}$ are distinct irreducible polynomials with integral coefficients and positive leading coefficients, and suppose $F$ is their product. Let $Q_{F}(N)$ be the number of positive integers $j$ between 1 and $N$ inclusive such that $f_{1}(j), \cdots, f_{k}(j)$ are all primes. Then for large $N$ we have

$$
Q_{F}(N) \leqq 2^{k} k!C(F) N(\log N)^{-k}+o\left(N(\log N)^{-k}\right)
$$

Remark. Heuristically we would expect to have

$$
Q_{F}(N)=h_{1}^{-1} h_{2}^{-1} \cdots h_{k}^{-1} C(F) \int_{2}^{N}(\log u)^{-k} d u+o\left(N(\log N)^{-k}\right)
$$

where $h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{k}$ are the degrees of $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{k}$ respectively. Thus Selberg's method gives an upper bound for $Q_{F}(N)$ which is $2^{k} k!h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{k}$ times the conjectured asymptotic value.

Proof. The result is trivial if $\omega(p)=p$ for some prime $p$. Otherwise we apply Lemma 1 to $F$ with $z=N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{-(3 k+1) / 2}$. In view of Lemma 2 the quantity $Z$ of Lemma 1 satisfies

$$
Z=\{k!C(F)\}^{-1}\{\log z\}^{k}+O\left(\{\log z\}^{k-1}\right)
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =z^{2} \exp \left\{-2 \sum_{p \leqq z} \log \left(1-\omega(p) p^{-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =z^{2} \exp \left\{2 \sum_{p \leqq z}\left(k p^{-1}+c_{p}-d_{p}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c_{p}=\begin{gathered}
\omega(p)-k \\
p
\end{gathered}, \quad d_{p}=\frac{\omega(p)}{p}+\log \left(1-\frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right)
$$

Since $\sum c_{p}$ and $\sum d_{p}$ converge and since

$$
\sum_{p \leqq z} p^{-1}=\log \log z+O(1)
$$

we have

$$
R \leqq z^{2} \exp (2 k \log \log z+\log B)=B z^{2}(\log z)^{2 k}
$$

where $B$ is a positive constant. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{F}(N) & \leqq O(z)+S \\
& \leqq O(z)+N / Z+R \\
& =O(z)+k!C(F) N(\log z)^{-k}+O\left(N(\log z)^{-k-1}\right)+O\left(z^{2}(\log z)^{2 k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of our choice of $z$ we have

$$
Q_{F}(N) \leqq 2^{k} k!C(F) N(\log N)^{-k}+O\left(N(\log \log N)(\log N)^{-k-1}\right)
$$

which gives the result of Lemma 3.
Lemma 4. Suppose $r$ is a prime-power and $d$ is the largest divisor of $r$ other than $r$ itself. Let $P_{r}(N)$ denote the number of primes $p$ such that $p \leqq N$ and $\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ is prime. If $r$ is a power of 2 , then $P_{r}(N) \leqq 1$. If $r$ is a power of an odd prime, then for large $N$ we have

$$
P_{r}(N) \leqq 8 C_{r} N(\log N)^{-2}+o\left(N(\log N)^{-2}\right)
$$

Here

$$
C_{r}=\prod_{p}\left\{(1-1 / p)^{-2}(1-\omega(p) / p)\right\}
$$

where $\omega(p)=2$ if $p \mid r, \omega(p)=\phi(r)+1$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod r)$, and $\omega(p)=1$ otherwise.

Remark. Heuristically we would expect to have

$$
P_{r}(N) \sim r^{-1} C_{r} \int_{2}^{N}(\log u)^{-2} d u
$$

as $N \rightarrow+\infty$. Also note that

$$
\omega(p)=2+\chi_{1}(p)+\cdots+\chi_{\phi(r)-1}(p)
$$

where $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{\phi(r)-1}$ are the nonprincipal residue-characters modulo $r$.
Proof. If $r$ is a power of 2, then

$$
\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)=p^{d}+1
$$

which is divisible by 2 when $p$ is odd. Thus $P_{r}(N) \leqq 1$, with equality only if $2^{d}+1$ is a Fermat prime and $N \geqq 2$. Now suppose $r$ is a power of an odd prime. Then, in view of Lemma 3, all we need to do is find the number $\omega(p)$ of solutions of the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(X^{r-d}+X^{r-2 d}+\cdots+X^{d}+1\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is one more than the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{r-d}+X^{r-2 d}+\cdots+X^{d}+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any solution of (2) is relatively prime to $p$ and satisfies $X^{r} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, so that its multiplicative order modulo $p$ must be a divisor of $r$. But if the multiplicative order of $X_{0}$ is a divisor of $r$ other than $r$ itself, then $X_{0}{ }^{d} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and so

$$
r / d \equiv X_{0}^{r-d}+X_{0}^{r-2 d}+\cdots+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Thus if $p$ does not divide $r$, the number of solutions of (2) is equal to the number of elements of exact order $r$ in the coprime-residue-class group modulo $p$, namely, $\phi(r)$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod r)$ and zero if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod r)$. If $p$ is the unique prime dividing $r$, then $X \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ is a solution of $(2)$ and is the only one, since no other element of the coprime-residue-class group modulo $p$ has order dividing $r$. Thus the number of solutions of (1) is as given in the statement of the lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $P_{3}(N)$ denote the number of primes $p$ such that $p \leqq N$ and $p^{2}+p+1$ is prime. Then for large $N$ we have

$$
P_{3}(N) \leqq 8 C_{3} N(\log N)^{-2}+o\left(N(\log N)^{-2}\right)
$$

where

$$
C_{3}=\prod_{p}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2}\left(1-\frac{2+\chi(p)}{p}\right)\right\}=1.52 \cdots
$$

and $\chi(p)=-1,0$, or 1 according as $p$ is congruent to $-1,0$, or 1 modulo 3 . In particular

$$
P_{3}(N) \leqq 12.3 N(\log N)^{-2}
$$

for all sufficiently large $N$.
Remark. The heuristic result here is

$$
P_{3}(N) \sim \frac{1}{2} C_{3} \int_{2}^{N}(\log u)^{-2} d u=0.76 \cdots \int_{2}^{N}(\log u)^{-2} d u
$$

as $N \rightarrow+\infty$. We notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} & =L(1, \chi)^{-1} \prod_{p}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2}\left(1-\frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\frac{2+\chi(p)}{p}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \prod_{p}\left\{\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{p-\chi(p)-2}{p-\chi(p)}\right)\right\} \\
& =1.6539 \cdots \prod_{p}\left\{1-\frac{p+2 \chi(p) p-\chi(p)}{(p-1)^{2}(p-\chi(p))}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Lemma 5 is a special case of Lemma 4.

Lemma 6. Suppose $H(x)$ is defined as at the beginning of this section and $P_{3}(x)$ is as defined in Lemma 5. Then

$$
H(x)=P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)+O\left(x^{1 / 4}(\log x)^{-2}\right)
$$

Proof. If $r$ is a fixed prime-power and $d$ is the largest divisor of $r$ other than $r$ itself, let $G_{r}(x)$ denote the number of primes $q$ such that $q \leqq x$ and $q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$ for some prime $p$. Since

$$
\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right) \geqq p^{r-d} \geqq 2^{r-d} \geqq 2^{r / 2} \geqq e^{r / 3}
$$

we have

$$
H(x)=\sum_{r \leqq 3 \log x} G_{r}(x)
$$

Since $p^{2}+p+1 \leqq x$ if and only if $p \leqq\left(x-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
G_{3}(x)=P_{3}\left(\left(x-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)=P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)+O(1) .
$$

By Lemma 4

$$
G_{5}(x) \leqq P_{5}\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)=O\left(x^{1 / 4}(\log x)^{-2}\right)
$$

If $r$ is an odd prime-power greater than 6 , we have trivially

$$
G_{r}(x) \leqq x^{1 /(r-d)}=x^{1 / \phi(r)} \leqq x^{1 / 6}
$$

Finally if $r$ is a power of 2 , then

$$
G_{r}(x) \leqq 1 \leqq x^{1 / 6}
$$

Combining these results, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x) & =P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)+O(1)+O\left(x^{1 / 4}(\log x)^{-2}\right)+O\left(x^{1 / 6} \log x\right) \\
& =P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)+O\left(x^{1 / 4}(\log x)^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. If $H(x)$ denotes the number of primes of the form (*) not exceeding $x$, then

$$
H(x) \leqq 50 x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-2} \leqq 12.5 \int_{2}^{x^{1 / 2}}(\log u)^{-2} d u
$$

for all sufficiently large $x$.
Remark. Heuristically we would expect to have (as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ )

$$
H(x) \sim P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{2} C_{3} \int_{2}^{x^{1 / 2}}(\log u)^{-2} d u=0.76 \cdots \int_{2}^{x^{1 / 2}}(\log u)^{-2} d u
$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Lemmas 5 and 6.
Corollary. The series $\sum^{*} q^{-1 / 2}$ converges, the sum being taken over all primes of the form (*), each taken in the multiplicity of its occurrence in the form (*).

Proof. Cf. the proof of Theorem 120 of [5].

## 6. Numerical data

Table II lists the first 240 primes $q$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a prime and $r$ and $d$ are positive integers. It is part of a more extensive unpublished table giving the 814 such primes less than $1.275 \times 10^{10}$.

Most primes of the form (*) have $r=3$, that is, are of the form $p^{2}+p+1$, where $p$ is a prime. In fact up to $1.275 \times 10^{10}$ there are only 38 primes of the form (*) with $r \neq 3$; these are already known and can be found among the data in [1], [2], and [3]. However, Table II apparently does go beyond previously published tables of primes of the form $p^{2}+p+1$. This was made possible by the efforts of Mr. Roger A. Horn, a student in the 1961 Undergraduate Summer Program of the University of Illinois Digital Computer Laboratory, who used the Illiac to prepare a list of the 776 primes of the form $p^{2}+p+1$ less than $1.275 \times 10^{10}$. Up to $1.21 \times 10^{8}$ Mr. Horn's list agrees perfectly with a similar but shorter list made earlier by us from inspection of Poletti's table [7] of the primes of the form $N^{2}+N+1$ less than $1.21 \times 10^{8}$, except that we had missed 86927653 because of a typographical error in Poletti's paper. (Poletti's list gives 86927653 as $(9333)^{2}+9333+1$ instead of as $(9323)^{2}+9323+1$.)

The 38 primes of the form (*) which do not exceed $1.275 \times 10^{10}$ and which have $r \neq 3$ are distributed as follows: sixteen are of the form $\left(p^{5}-1\right) /(p-1)$, six are of the form $\left(p^{7}-1\right) /(p-1)$, three are of the form $\left(p^{9}-1\right) /\left(p^{3}-1\right)$, three are of the form $\left(p^{13}-1\right) /(p-1)$, and there are ten primes which are one of a kind, namely $2^{1}+1,2^{2}+1,2^{4}+1$, $2^{8}+1,2^{16}+1,2^{17}-1,2^{18}+2^{9}+1,2^{19}-1,\left(5^{11}-1\right) /(5-1)$, and $2^{31}-1$.

Table I shows that the numerical data agree remarkably well with the heuristic formulas mentioned in the remarks after Lemma 5 and Theorem 4.

TABLE I

| $x$ | $H(x)$ | $G_{3}(x)$ | $\frac{1}{2} C_{3} \int_{2}^{x^{1 / 2}}(\log u)^{-2} d u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| $10^{2}$ | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| $10^{3}$ | 12 | 4 | 5 |
| $10^{4}$ | 19 | 8 | 8 |
| $10^{5}$ | 28 | 13 | 14 |
| $10^{6}$ | 44 | 23 | 26 |
| $10^{7}$ | 76 | 52 | 55 |
| $10^{8}$ | 146 | 117 | 123 |
| $10^{9}$ | 318 | 286 | 292 |
| $10^{10}$ | 744 | 706 | 720 |
| $1.275 \times 10^{10}$ | 814 | 776 | 793 |

TABLE II
Table of primes $q$ of the form $q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$, where $p$ is a prime and $r$ and $d$ are positive integers.

| $q$ | $p^{r}$ | $q$ | $p^{r}$ | $q$ | $p^{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $2^{2}$ | 732541 | $29^{5}$ | 12190573 | $3491{ }^{3}$ |
| 5 | $2^{4}$ | 735307 | $857{ }^{3}$ | 12207031 | $5^{11}$ |
| 7 | $2^{3}$ | 797161 | $3^{13}$ | 12655807 | $3557{ }^{3}$ |
| 13 | $3^{3}$ | 830833 | $911{ }^{3}$ | 13479913 | $3671{ }^{3}$ |
| 17 | $2^{8}$ | 1191373 | $1091{ }^{3}$ | 15066043 | $3881{ }^{3}$ |
| 31 | $2^{5}$ | 1204507 | $1097{ }^{3}$ | 15916111 | $3989{ }^{3}$ |
| 31 | $5^{3}$ | 1353733 | $1163{ }^{3}$ | 17284807 | $4157{ }^{3}$ |
| 73 | $2^{9}$ | 1395943 | $1181{ }^{3}$ | 17787307 | $4217^{3}$ |
| 127 | $2^{7}$ | 1424443 | $1193{ }^{3}$ | 18143341 | $4259^{3}$ |
| 257 | $2^{16}$ | 1482307 | $1217^{3}$ | 19443691 | $4409^{3}$ |
| 307 | $17^{3}$ | 1772893 | $11^{9}$ | 22292563 | $4721^{3}$ |
| 757 | $3^{9}$ | 1886503 | $1373{ }^{3}$ | 22406023 | $4733{ }^{3}$ |
| 1093 | $3^{7}$ | 2037757 | $1427{ }^{3}$ | 22576753 | $4751{ }^{3}$ |
| 1723 | $41^{3}$ | 2212657 | $1487{ }^{3}$ | 23790007 | $4877^{3}$ |
| 2801 | $7{ }^{5}$ | 2432041 | $1559^{3}$ | 23907211 | $4889^{3}$ |
| 3541 | $59^{3}$ | 2507473 | $1583{ }^{3}$ | 24735703 | $4973{ }^{3}$ |
| 5113 | $71^{3}$ | 2922391 | $1709^{3}$ | 25035013 | $5003{ }^{3}$ |
| 8011 | $89^{3}$ | 3281533 | $1811{ }^{3}$ | 25396561 | $5039^{3}$ |
| 8191 | $2^{13}$ | 3413257 | $1847{ }^{3}$ | 25646167 | $17^{7}$ |
| 10303 | $101^{3}$ | 3500201 | $43^{5}$ | 25882657 | $5087^{3}$ |
| 17293 | $131{ }^{3}$ | 3730693 | $1931{ }^{3}$ | 28638553 | $5351{ }^{3}$ |
| 19531 | $5^{7}$ | 3894703 | $1973{ }^{3}$ | 28792661 | $73^{5}$ |
| 28057 | $167{ }^{3}$ | 4534771 | $2129{ }^{3}$ | 30266503 | $5501{ }^{3}$ |
| 30103 | $173{ }^{3}$ | 5168803 | $2273{ }^{3}$ | 34427557 | $5867{ }^{3}$ |
| 30941 | $13^{5}$ | 5229043 | $13^{7}$ | 36572257 | $6047{ }^{3}$ |
| 65537 | $2^{32}$ | 5333791 | $2309^{3}$ | 38112103 | $6173{ }^{3}$ |
| 86143 | $293{ }^{3}$ | 5473261 | $2339^{3}$ | 39449441 | $79^{5}$ |
| 88741 | $17^{5}$ | 5815333 | $2411{ }^{3}$ | 40825711 | $6389^{3}$ |
| 131071 | $2^{17}$ | 7094233 | $2663{ }^{3}$ | 42922153 | $6551{ }^{3}$ |
| 147073 | $383{ }^{3}$ | 7450171 | $2729^{3}$ | 43158331 | $6569^{3}$ |
| 262657 | $2^{27}$ | 7781311 | $2789^{3}$ | 43553401 | $6599{ }^{3}$ |
| 292561 | $23^{5}$ | 8746807 | $2957^{3}$ | 44269063 | $6653{ }^{3}$ |
| 459007 | $677{ }^{3}$ | 8817931 | $2969{ }^{3}$ | 45151681 | $6719^{3}$ |
| 492103 | $701{ }^{3}$ | 9069133 | $3011{ }^{3}$ | 45717883 | $6761^{3}$ |
| 524287 | $2^{19}$ | 9250723 | $3041{ }^{3}$ | 46124473 | $6791{ }^{3}$ |
| 552793 | $743^{3}$ | 9843907 | $3137{ }^{3}$ | 46696723 | $6833{ }^{3}$ |
| 579883 | $761^{3}$ | 10378063 | $3221{ }^{3}$ | 47851807 | $6917^{3}$ |
| 598303 | $773{ }^{3}$ | 10572253 | $3251{ }^{3}$ | 48037081 | $83^{5}$ |
| 684757 | $827{ }^{3}$ | 11611057 | $3407{ }^{3}$ | 49189183 | $7013^{3}$ |
| 704761 | $839^{3}$ | 11899051 | $3449^{3}$ | 52265671 | $7229^{3}$ |

TABLE II (Continued)
Table of primes $q$ of the form $q=\left(p^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{d}-1\right)$, where $p$ is a prime and $r$ and $d$ are positive integers.

| $q$ | $p^{r}$ | $q$ | $p^{r}$ | $q$ | $p^{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52613263 | $7253{ }^{3}$ | 142265257 | $11927{ }^{3}$ | 256240057 | $16007{ }^{3}$ |
| 56964757 | $7547^{3}$ | 142408423 | $11933{ }^{3}$ | 258357403 | $16073{ }^{3}$ |
| 62149573 | $7883{ }^{3}$ | 143700157 | $11987^{3}$ | 262209281 | $127{ }^{5}$ |
| 62433703 | $7901{ }^{3}$ | 146736883 | $12113^{3}$ | 263396671 | $16229{ }^{3}$ |
| 65504743 | $8093{ }^{3}$ | 147464593 | $12143{ }^{3}$ | 265738903 | $16301{ }^{3}$ |
| 67757593 | $8231{ }^{3}$ | 149511757 | $12227^{3}$ | 269665663 | $16421^{3}$ |
| 67856407 | $8237{ }^{3}$ | 150099253 | $12251{ }^{3}$ | 271639843 | $16481{ }^{3}$ |
| 70350157 | $8387{ }^{3}$ | 150540631 | $12269^{3}$ | 274018363 | $16553{ }^{3}$ |
| 72275503 | $8501{ }^{3}$ | 155588203 | $12473^{3}$ | 275809057 | $16607^{3}$ |
| 72991393 | $8543{ }^{3}$ | 159807523 | $12641^{3}$ | 277605583 | $16661^{3}$ |
| 74433757 | $8627^{3}$ | 159959257 | $12647{ }^{3}$ | 278606173 | $16691^{3}$ |
| 75160231 | $8669^{3}$ | 171858991 | $13109^{3}$ | 285660703 | $16901{ }^{3}$ |
| 75368443 | $8681{ }^{3}$ | 173277733 | $13163^{3}$ | 293214253 | $17123{ }^{3}$ |
| 76413823 | $8741^{3}$ | 175019671 | $13229^{3}$ | 300450223 | $17333^{3}$ |
| 76623763 | $8753{ }^{3}$ | 177728893 | $13331{ }^{3}$ | 302533843 | $17393{ }^{3}$ |
| 77572057 | $8807^{3}$ | 181427431 | $13469^{3}$ | 305175781 | $5^{13}$ |
| 80344333 | $8963{ }^{3}$ | 181912657 | $13487{ }^{3}$ | 305463007 | $17477{ }^{3}$ |
| 82074541 | $9059^{3}$ | 182236501 | $13499{ }^{3}$ | 308827903 | $17573{ }^{3}$ |
| 86927653 | $9323^{3}$ | 183697363 | $13553^{3}$ | 309672007 | $17597{ }^{3}$ |
| 90658963 | $9521{ }^{3}$ | 185327383 | $13613^{3}$ | 310728757 | $17627^{3}$ |
| 90887623 | $9533{ }^{3}$ | 194086693 | $13931{ }^{3}$ | 318176407 | $17837{ }^{3}$ |
| 93886411 | $9689^{3}$ | 198457657 | $14087^{3}$ | 327230011 | $18089^{3}$ |
| 94468681 | $9719^{3}$ | 206482531 | $14369^{3}$ | 329404351 | $18149^{3}$ |
| 94935793 | $9743^{3}$ | 210815881 | $14519^{3}$ | 333336307 | $18257^{3}$ |
| 95052751 | $9749^{3}$ | 211687951 | $14549^{3}$ | 333774631 | $18269^{3}$ |
| 96108613 | $9803^{3}$ | 221042557 | $14867^{3}$ | 338615203 | $18401{ }^{3}$ |
| 103052953 | $10151^{3}$ | 223188661 | $14939{ }^{3}$ | 350869093 | $18731^{3}$ |
| 104519953 | $10223^{3}$ | 223547353 | $14951{ }^{3}$ | 352444303 | $18773{ }^{3}$ |
| 105873811 | $10289^{3}$ | 227331007 | $15077^{3}$ | 357191101 | $18899^{3}$ |
| 112137511 | $10589^{3}$ | 228236557 | $15107^{3}$ | 359007757 | $18947{ }^{3}$ |
| 113028793 | $10631^{3}$ | 229143907 | $15137^{3}$ | 361513183 | $19013{ }^{3}$ |
| 116240743 | $10781^{3}$ | 229507351 | $15149^{3}$ | 369081733 | $19211^{3}$ |
| 124802413 | $11171^{3}$ | 237575983 | $15413{ }^{3}$ | 373243081 | $19319^{3}$ |
| 125742583 | $11213^{3}$ | 241103257 | $15527^{3}$ | 376495813 | $19403{ }^{3}$ |
| 126416293 | $11243^{3}$ | 242409331 | $15569^{3}$ | 386574583 | $19661^{3}$ |
| 133390951 | $11549^{3}$ | 244656523 | $15641^{3}$ | 399180421 | $19979^{3}$ |
| 135059263 | $11621^{3}$ | 247668907 | $15737^{3}$ | 399660073 | $19991{ }^{3}$ |
| 137299807 | $11717^{3}$ | 249561007 | $15797{ }^{3}$ | 404955253 | $20123^{3}$ |
| 138709507 | $11777^{3}$ | 252222043 | $15881^{3}$ | 408828181 | $20219^{3}$ |
| 138992311 | $11789^{3}$ | 253557853 | $15923{ }^{3}$ | 414916531 | $20369^{3}$ |

As in the previous section $H(x)$ is the total number of primes of the form (*) not exceeding $x$, and $G_{3}(x)=P_{3}\left(\left(x-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is the number of primes of the form $p^{2}+p+1$ not exceeding $x$. (For the values of $x$ listed in Table I, we actually have $G_{3}(x)=P_{3}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)$ except for the value $x=10$.) The values in the last column of Table I are given to the nearest integer.
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