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#### Abstract

In this paper several results on certain constants related to the notion of Chebyshev radius are obtained. It is shown in the first part that the Jung constant of a finite-codimensional subspace of a space $C(T)$ is 2, where $T$ is a compact Hausdorff space which is not extremally disconnected. Several consequences are stated, e.g. the fact that every linear projection from a space $C(T), T$ a perfect compact Hausdorff space, onto a finite-codimensional proper subspace has norm at least 2.

The second discusses mainly the "self-Jung constant" which measures "uniform normal structure." It is shown that this constant, unlike Jung's constant, is essentially determined by the finite subsets of the space.


1. Jung constant in $C(T)$ spaces. For a bounded subset $A$ of a normed linear space $E$ and a subset $Y$ of $E$ we denote by $\operatorname{diam} A$ the diameter of $A\left(\sup _{x, y \in A}\|x-y\|\right)$, by $r_{Y}(A)$ the relative Chebyshev radius of $A$ with respect to $Y\left(\inf _{y \in Y} \sup _{x \in A}\|x-y\|\right)$, and by $Z_{Y}(A)$ the relative Chebyshev center set of $A$ in $Y\left(\left\{y \in Y ; \sup _{x \in A}\|x-y\|=r_{Y}(A)\right\}\right)$. The Jung constant of $E$ is $J(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{E}(A) ; A \subset E, \operatorname{diam} A=1\right\}$. It is easily seen that $1 \leqq J(E) \leqq 2$. For $n$-dimensional spaces $E_{n}$, it was shown by Jung [12] that $J\left(l_{2}^{n}\right)=(2 n /(n+1))^{1 / 2}$ and $J\left(E_{n}\right)=1$ if and only if $E_{n}=l_{\infty}^{n}$. Bohnenblust [2] showed that $J\left(E_{n}\right) \leqq 2 n /(n+1)$, and Leichtweiss [14] characterized the extremal case (in the 2-dimensional case it is the hexagonal plane). In the infinite-dimensional case, it was shown that $J\left(l_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2}$ (Routledge [20]), and that $J(E)=1$ if and only if $E=C(T)$ for a Stonian $T$, i.e. if $E \in \mathscr{P}_{1}$ (Davis [5]) (cf. also [10], pages 91-92 in [11] and §6 in [4]).

Studying intersections of balls with subspaces, Franchetti [6] deduced that for every finite-codimensional subspace $E$ of $C[a, b]$ we have $J(E) \geqq$ $3 / 2$. A stronger and more general result is true.
1.1. Proposition. If the compact Hausdorff space $T$ is not extremally disconnected, then for every finite-codimensional subspace $E$ of $C(T)$ we have $J(E)=2$.

We need the following
1.2. Lemma. Let $E$ be a finite-codimensional subspace of $C(T), T$ compact Hausdorff. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every infinite open $V \subset T$ there is $f \in E$ with $\|f\|=1, f(T \backslash V)=0$ and $f \geqq-\varepsilon$.

Proof of the lemma. In the case where $V$ contains no isolated points, the proof is quite short: Since $V$ is infinite, $\{f \in E ; f(T \backslash V)=0\}$ is infinite dimensional and there are $f_{1} \in E, t_{1} \in V$ with $\left\|f_{1}\right\|=1=f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$, $f_{1}(T \backslash V)=0$. For $V_{1}=\left\{t \in V ; f_{1}(t)>1-\varepsilon\right\}$, which is infinite too, find in the same way $f_{2} \in E, t_{2} \in V_{1}$ with $\left\|f_{2}\right\|=1=f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(T \backslash V_{1}\right)=$ 0 , etc. $g=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}$ satisfies $\|g\| \geqq g\left(t_{n}\right)>n(1-\varepsilon)$, while, since $f_{j}(t)<0$ happens only when $f_{j-1}(t)>1-\varepsilon$ and $f_{j+1}(t)=0, g(t)>-\varepsilon$. Normalize to get $f$.

For the general case we apply
1.3. Sublemma. Given an infinite matrix $\left(x^{j}(k)\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots}$ such that $x^{j}(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there are $k$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right| \leqq \varepsilon$ for all $i$ and $x^{j}(k)=\sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{i} x^{j}(i)$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof of the sublemma. We may assume that the rows $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ are linerly independent. Therefore there are also $n$ independent columns, which we may assume to be the first $n$ ones. Let $\left(\gamma_{r, s}\right)_{r, s=1}^{n}$ be the inverse of the matrix $\left(x^{j}(k)\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$ and $c=\sum_{r, s}\left|\gamma_{r, s}\right|$. There is $k$ such that $\left|x^{j}(k)\right|<$ $\varepsilon / c$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Represent the $k$ th column as a linear combination of the first $n$ ones.

Proof of the lemma in the general case. Take a sequence $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k=1}$ of disjointly supported nonnegative norm-one functions sitting in $V$. Apply the sublemma to $x^{J}(k)=\mu_{j}\left(f_{k}\right)$, where $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n} \in C(T)^{*}$ are such that $E=\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right\}_{\perp}$. Take $f=f_{k}-\sum_{i \neq k} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}$.

Proof of the proposition. Choose disjoint open subsets $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and $w \in \bar{V}_{1} \cap \bar{V}_{2}$ (such $w, V_{1}, V_{2}$ exist since $T$ is not extremally disconnected). Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $A \subset E$ consist of all $f_{1}-f_{2}$, when $f_{i}$ run over all the functions $f$ satisfying the conclusions of the lemma with respect to $V_{i}$. Then $f^{*}=\sup _{f \in A} f$ is 1 on $V_{1}$ and $\leqq \varepsilon$ on $V_{2}$, while $f_{*}=\inf _{f \in A} f$ is -1 on $V_{2}$ and $\geqq-\varepsilon$ on $V_{1}$. Thus the diameter of $A$ is $\leqq 1+\varepsilon$. The radius of $A$, however, is $\geqq 1$ since $\max _{t_{1}, t_{2} \in V}\left|f^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)-f_{*}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|=2$ in every neighborhood $V$ of $w$.

Remark. Proposition 1.1 verifies also a conjecture of Franchetti ([7]): If $J(C(T))<2$ then $T$ is extremally disconnected (and then $J(C(T))=1$ by Davis' result). This last result has been proved independently by $\mathbf{C}$. Franchetti [8].

Lemma 1.2 can be applied also to improve Proposition 2 in [6], giving an alternative proof of our Proposition 1.1 in the perfect case.
1.4. Proposition. Let $F$ be a finite-codimensional subspace of $C(T), T$ perfect compact Hausdorff space. Then for every $x \in C(T)$ and every $s>d \equiv d(x, F)$ we have

$$
Z_{F}(B(x, s) \cap F)=P_{F} x \quad \text { and } \quad r_{F}(B(x, s) \cap F)=s+d
$$

where $B(x, s)$ is the closed $s$-ball centered at $x(\{y ;\|y-x\| \leqq s\})$ and $P_{F} x$ । is the best approximation to $x$ in $F$.

Proof. Given any $y_{0} \in F$ with $\left\|x-y_{0}\right\|>d$, we want to show that there is a $y \in F$ with $\|x-y\| \leqq s$ and $\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|>s+d$. This will establish both claims, since if $\left\|x-y_{1}\right\|<d+\varepsilon$ then clearly $\left\|y-y_{1}\right\| \leqq \| y-$ $x\|+\| x-y_{1} \|<s+d+\varepsilon$ for every such $y$.

Without loss of generality we may assume $y_{0}=0,\|x\|=x\left(t_{0}\right)$ for some $t_{0} \in T$. If $\|x\|<s$, let

$$
0<\varepsilon<\min \left(\frac{s-\|x\|}{s+d+1}, \frac{\|x\|-d}{2}, 1\right)
$$

$V=\left\{t ;\left|x(t)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$. Apply Lemma 1.2 to get $z \in F$ with $\|z\|=1$ and $z \geqq-\varepsilon$ which vanishes off $V$. Let $y=(s+d+\varepsilon) z$. Clearly $\|y\|>s$ $+d$. If $t \notin V$, then $|(x-y)(t)|=|x(t)| \leqq\|x\|<s$. If $t \in V$ then

$$
-s<\|x\|-\varepsilon-(s+d+\varepsilon) \leqq(x-y)(t) \leqq\|x\|+\varepsilon(s+d+\varepsilon)<s
$$

If $\|x\| \geqq s$, let $y_{1} \in F$ satisfy $d<\left\|x-y_{1}\right\|<s$. Let

$$
0<\varepsilon<\min \left(\frac{s-\left\|x-y_{1}\right\|}{s+d}, \frac{\left\|s-y_{1}\right\|-d}{2}, y_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

$V=\left\{t:\left|x(t)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\left|y_{1}(t)-y_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$. Apply Lemma 1.2 to get $z \in F$ with $\|z\|=1=z\left(t_{1}\right), z \geqq-\varepsilon$ which vanishes off $V$. Let $y=y_{1}+$ $(s+d) z$.

$$
\|y\| \geqq y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+s+d>y_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)-\varepsilon+s+d>s+d .
$$

If $t \notin V$, then $|(x-y)(t)|=\left|\left(x-y_{1}\right)(t)\right|<s$. If $t \in V$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-s & <\left(x-y_{1}\right)\left(t_{0}\right)-\varepsilon-s-d \\
& \leqq(x-y)(t) \leqq\left(x-y_{1}\right)(t)+(s+d) \varepsilon<s
\end{aligned}
$$

1.5. Corollary. If $F$ is a subspace of $C(T), T$ any compact Hausdorff space with no isolated points, and $1 \leqq \operatorname{codim} F<\infty$, then $J(F)=2$.

Thus, for perfect $T$, the restriction in Proposition 1.1 that $T$ be non-Stonian is necessary (for $J(F)=2$ ) only in the case $F=C(T)$. Further concessions are impossible - since if $t_{0} \in T$ is isolated in the Stonian space $T$, then $F=\left\{x \in C(T) ; x\left(t_{0}\right)=0\right\}$ is isometric to $C\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, where $T^{\prime}=T \backslash\left\{t_{0}\right\}$ is Stonian too, hence $J(F)=1$.

Applying Franchetti's observation on the relation between projection constants of hyperplanes and radii of hypercircles [8], we get:
1.6. Corollary. If $F$ is a finite-codimensional proper subspace of $C(T), T$ perfect compact Hausdorff space, then every linear projection of $C(T)$ onto $F$ has norm $\geqq 2$.

Proof. Let $F=\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right\}_{\perp}, \quad \mu_{i} \in C(T)^{*}, \quad\left\|\mu_{i}\right\|=1, \quad E=$ $\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right\}_{\perp}$ such that $F$ is a maximal subspace of $E$. A linear projection of $E$ onto $F$ has the form $P x=x-\mu_{n}(x) z$, where $z \in E$ and $\mu_{n}(z)=1$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|P\| & \geqq \sup _{0 \leqq \alpha<1} \sup _{\|x\| \leqq 1}\|P x\|=\sup _{0 \leqq \infty<1} \sup _{\substack{y \in F \\
\|y+\alpha z\| \leqq 1}}\|y\| \\
& \geqq \sup _{0 \leqq \alpha<1} r_{F}(B(-\alpha z, 1) \cap F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 1.4, since $d(-\alpha z, F)=\alpha, r_{F}(B(-\alpha z, 1) \cap F)=1+\alpha$, so that $P=\sup _{0 \leqq \alpha<1}(1+\alpha)=2$.

Thus, every projection of $E$ onto $F$, and therefore also every projection of $C(T)$ onto $f$, has norm $\geqq 2$.
2. Jung constants and normal structure coefficients. By a classical result of Garkavi and Klee (cf., e.g. [13]) $r_{A}(A)=r(A)$ for all convex closed and bounded $A \subset E$ is equivalent to $E$ having dimension $\leqq 2$ or being an inner product space. Therefore, besides the Jung constant $J(E)$, one may study also the "self-Jung constant" $J_{s}(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{A}(A) ; A \subset E\right.$ convex, $\operatorname{diam} A=1\}$. Clearly $J_{s}(E) \geqq J(E) . E$ is said to have "normal structure" if for every such $A$ we have $r_{A}(A)<\operatorname{diam} A$. Thus $J_{s}(E)$ measures to what extent $E$ has "uniform normal structure". Bynum [3] introduced the "normal structure coefficient"; $N(E)=2 / J_{s}(E)$, and two other coefficients, $B S(E)$ and $W C S(E)$, analogously defined by the "asymptotic diameter" and the "asymptotic radius" of bounded, or
weakly convergent, sequences in $E$, respectively, i.e.

$$
\inf \left\{\frac{\lim _{k} \sup _{m, n>k}\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|}{\inf \lim _{k} \sup _{n \geq k}\left\|y-x_{n}\right\| ; y \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}}\right\},
$$

where the infinum is taken over all bounded nonconvergent sequences $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset E$ in the $B S(E)$ case, and over all weakly convergent, non-normconvergent sequences in the $W C S(E)$ case. Clearly $1 \leq N(E) \leq B S(E)$ $\leq W C S(E)$ and $W C S(E) \leq 2$ unless $E$ has the Schur property (i.e. unless in $E$ norm and weak sequential convergence coincide).

It is easy to see, and hinted in [3], that $B S(E)=\sup \{N(F) ; F \subset E$ separable $\}$ and $W C S(E)=\sup \{W C S(F) ; F \subset E$ separable $\}$.

In [15], $\operatorname{Lim}$ shows that $J_{s}(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{A}(A) ; A \subset E\right.$ convex and separable, $\operatorname{diam} A=1\}$, hence $N(E)=B S(E)$ for every normed $E$. This can be further improved, using the following observations:
2.1. Proposition. (a) If $E$ is a dual Banach space, then

$$
J(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{E}(K) ; K \subset E \text { finite }, \operatorname{diam} K=1\right\} .
$$

(b) If $E$ is a reflexive Banach space, then

$$
J_{s}(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{\text {conv } K}(K) ; K \subset E \text { finite, } \operatorname{diam} K=1\right\} .
$$

Proof. (a) Let $A \subset E$ be any with $\operatorname{diam} A=1, r<r_{E}(A)$ any. Then $\cap_{x \in A} B(x, r)=\varnothing$ and by $\mathrm{w}^{*}$-compactness of the balls there is a finite $K=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset A$ with $\bigcap_{x \in K} B(x, r)=\varnothing$, i.e. $r<r_{E}(K)$.
(b) Let $A \subset E$ be convex closed with $\operatorname{diam} A=1, r<r_{A}(A)$ any. Then $\cap_{x \in A} B(x, r) \cap A=\varnothing$ and by w-compactness of the balls and of $A$ there is a finite $K \subset A$ with $\cap_{x \in K} B(x, r) \cap \operatorname{conv} K \subset \cap_{x \in K} B(x, r) \cap A$ $=\varnothing$, i.e. $r<r_{\text {conv } K}(K)$.
2.2. Proposition. (Maluta, [16].) If $E$ is a non reflexive Banach space, then $J_{s}(E)=2$.

Proof. By a theorem of D. P. Milman and V. D. Milman [18] there is, in every nonreflexive Banach space $E$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$, a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $E$ such that for every $m \geq 1$ and every $y^{\prime} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$, $y^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots\right)$ we have $1-\varepsilon<\left\|y^{\prime}-y^{\prime \prime}\right\|<1+\varepsilon$. Taking $A=\operatorname{conv}\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, one has diam $A \leq 1+\varepsilon$ while $r_{A}(A) \geq 1-\varepsilon$.
2.3. Corollary. (a) $(\mathrm{Lim}) J_{s}(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{\text {conv }} A ; A \subset E\right.$ separable, $\operatorname{diam} A=1\}=\max \left\{J_{s}(F) ; F\right.$ a separable subspace of $\left.E\right\}$.
(b) If $J_{s}(E)<2$, then $J_{s}(E)=\sup \left\{2 r_{\text {conv } K} K ; K \subset E\right.$ finite, diam $K=$ $1\}=\sup \left\{J_{s}(F) ; F\right.$ a finite dimensional subspace of $\left.E\right\}$.
(c) If $E$ has "uniform normal structure", so does every reflexive $G$ which is finitely representable in $E$ (i.e. such that for every finite dimensional subspace $F$ of $G$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there is an isomorphism $T$ of $F$ onto a subspace of $E$ with $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|<1+\varepsilon$ ).

Proof. Immediate from Propositions 2.1(b) and (2.2) and from the fact that every non reflexive Banach space contains a separable non reflexive subspace.

Remark. It is not clear, however, from the above whether "uniform normal structure" is a superproperty, i.e. whether "reflexive" can be dropped in (c) or, equivalently, whether "uniform normal structure" implies superreflexivity.

We observe here that the (absolute) Jung constant $J(E)$ cannot be estimated from either side by the Jung constants of its subspaces in a similar way. Any space $E$ is a subspace of some $\mathscr{P}_{1}$-space $F=l_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ for some $\Gamma$ (e.g. the dual ball) and $J(F)=1$ while $J(E)$ can be any. Thus we may have $J(E)>J(F)$ when $E \subset F$. We cannot also get lower bounds for $J(E)$ by considering finite or separable subsets, as shown by:
2.4. Examples. (a) $J\left(c_{0}\right)=2$ by Proposition 1.1 (e.g. take $A=$ $\left\{(-1)^{n} e_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, then $\left.\operatorname{diam} A=1=r_{c_{0}}(A)\right)$. However, for every finite $A=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset c_{0}, \quad \bar{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}-\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}\right) \in c_{0}$ satisfies $r(\bar{x}, A)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} A$.
(b) Let $\Gamma$ be an uncountable set, $E=\{x \notin m(\Gamma)$; spt $x$ countable $\}$ (where spt $x=\{\gamma ; x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ ). $E$ is a closed subspace of $m(\Gamma)$, hence Banach. Every separable subset of $E$ is contained in a subspace of $m\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$, where $\Gamma_{0} \subset \Gamma$ is countable (the union of the supports of a dense sequence). $m\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ is a subspace of $E$ isometric to $m=l_{\infty}$ which has Jung constant $J(M)=1$. On the other hand, let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are uncountable and disjoint, $A_{i}=\left\{x \in E ; 0 \leqq x \leqq x_{\Gamma_{i}}\right\}(i=1,2), A=A_{1} \cup\left(-A_{2}\right)$. It is easily seen that $\operatorname{diam} A=1$ but $r(A)=1$. Thus $J(E)=2$.

On the other hand, we have:
2.5. Proposition. Let $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in D}$ be a net of linear subspaces of the Banach space $E$, directed by inclusion, such that $\overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in D} E_{\alpha}}=E$. Then: (a) If $E$ is reflexive, then $J_{s}(E)=\sup _{\alpha} J_{s}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)=\lim _{\alpha \in D} J_{s}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$.
(b) If $E$ is a dual space and each $E_{\alpha}$ admits a norm-1 linear projection $P_{\alpha}$, then $J(E)=\sup _{\alpha} J\left(E_{\alpha}\right)=\lim _{\alpha \in D} J\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. If $P$ is a norm- 1 projection of $E$ onto $F$, then for every $A \subset F$, $x \in E$ we have $r(P x, A) \leq r(x, A)$, hence $r_{F}(A)=r_{E}(A)$, thus $J(F) \leq$ $J(E)$. Therefore for every $\alpha \leq \beta$ we have $J_{s}\left(E_{\alpha}\right) \leq J_{s}\left(E_{\beta}\right) \leq J_{s}(E)$ or $J\left(E_{\alpha}\right) \leq J\left(E_{\beta}\right) \leq J(E)$, respectively. In either case it is enough to con$\operatorname{sider} A=\operatorname{conv}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in E$ with

$$
\frac{r_{A}(A)}{\operatorname{diam} A}>\frac{1}{2} J_{s}(E)-\varepsilon \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{r_{E}(A)}{\operatorname{diam} A}>\frac{1}{2} J(E)-\varepsilon
$$

respectively. But taking $x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime} \in E_{\alpha}$ with $\left\|x_{t}-x_{i}^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ we get $A^{\prime}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \subset E_{\alpha}$ (for some $\alpha$ ) satisfying, respectively,

$$
\frac{r_{A^{\prime}}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} A^{\prime}}>\frac{r_{A}(A)-\varepsilon}{\operatorname{diam} A+\varepsilon} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{r_{E}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} A^{\prime}}>\frac{r_{E}(A)-\varepsilon}{\operatorname{diam} A+\varepsilon}
$$

2.6. Corollary. For every $1 \leq p<\infty$ and every infinite dimensional $L_{p}(\mu)$ space we have
(a) $J_{s}\left(L_{p}(\mu)\right)=J_{s}\left(l_{p}\right)=\sup _{n} J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{n}\right)=\lim _{n} J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{n}\right)$ and
(b) $J\left(L_{p}(\mu)\right)=J\left(l_{p}\right)=\sup _{n} J\left(l_{p}^{n}\right)=\lim _{n} J\left(l_{p}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. For every measurable partition $D=\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ of the measure space, with $0<\mu\left(D_{i}\right)<\infty$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, the characteristic fucntions $\left\{\chi_{D_{1}}, \ldots, \chi_{D_{n}}\right\}$ span in $L_{p}(\mu)$ a subspace $F_{D}$ isometric to $l_{p}^{n}$, and admitting the norm-1 projection $P_{D} f=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{D_{1}} f d \mu\right) \chi_{D_{1}} / \mu\left(D_{t}\right)$. The $F_{D}$ clearly form a net directed by inclusion whose union is dense in $L_{p}(\mu)$, so that we can apply Proposition 2.5.

In order to give lower bounds for $J$ and $J_{s}$ in $n$-dimensional spaces, consider " $(n, m, r)$-symmetric block designs", i.e. $0-1$ symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{t, j=1}^{n}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} a_{k j}= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } i=k \\ r & \text { if } i \neq k\end{cases}
$$

where $n>m>0$ and $r$ is, necessarily, $m(m-1) /(n-1)$.
2.7. Lemma. If $E$ is an $n$-dimensional space with a symmetric basis $\left(e_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$ (i.e. such that $\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| e_{k}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{\pi(k)} e_{k}\right\|$ for all scalars $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and all permutations $\pi$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ), and if there is an $(n, m, r)$-symmetric block design $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$, then

$$
J(E) \geq 2 \min _{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1}\left\|(1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}+\alpha \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{2(m-r)} e_{i}\right\|^{-1}
$$

and

$$
J_{s}(E) \geq 2\left\|\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{t}+\frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|\left\|^{2(m-r)} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\right\|_{i}^{-1} .
$$

If there is an ( $n, m, m / 2$ )-symmetric block design (hence, necessarily, $m=(n+1) / 2)$, then also

$$
J_{s}(E) \geq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}\right\|^{-1}
$$

Proof. Consider the points $x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} e_{j}$ and the sets $A=$ $\operatorname{conv}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ or $A_{0}=\operatorname{conv}\left(0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, respectively. By symmetry, center points are multiples of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}$. Also,

$$
\min _{0 \leq \alpha \leq m / n} \max \left(\left\|(1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}+\alpha \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|, \alpha\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\right\|
$$

2.8. Corollary. If there is an $(n, m, r)$-symmetric block design then, for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$
J\left(l_{p}^{n}\right) \geq\left(\frac{2^{p-1}(n-1)}{\|(m, n-m)\|_{q-1}}\right)^{1 / p} \quad\left(\text { where } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)
$$

( since the minimizing $\alpha$ for $p>1$ is $m^{1 / p-1} /\left(m^{1 / p-1}+(n-m)^{1 / p-1}\right)$ and for $p=1$ it is 1 if $m \geq 2 n$ and 0 if $m \leq 2 n$ ), and

$$
J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{n}\right) \geq \frac{\left(2^{p-1}(n-1)\|(m, n-m)\|_{p-1}^{p-1}\right)^{1 / p}}{n}
$$

If there is an ( $n, m, m / 2$ )-symmetric block design, then

$$
J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{n}\right) \geq(2 n /(n+1))^{1 / p}
$$

2.9. Lemma. There are $(n, m, r)$-symmetric block designs in each of the following cases:
(a) $n$ is any, $m=1, r=0$, or $m=n-1, r=n-2$.
(b) $n=2^{2 t}, m=2^{t-1}\left(2^{t}-1\right), r=2^{t-1}\left(2^{t-1}-1\right)$ or $m=2^{t-1}\left(2^{t}+1\right)$, $r=2^{t-1}\left(2^{t-1}+1\right)$.
(c) $n=2^{t}-1, m=2^{t-1}, r=2^{t-2}$, or $m=2^{t-1}-1, r=2^{t-2}-1$.

Proof. For (a) take the unit matrix, $a_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ or its complement $a_{i j}=1-\delta_{i j}$. For (b) define, inductively, $A_{0}=(1), B_{0}=(0)$,

$$
A_{t+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{t} & A_{t} & A_{t} & A_{t} \\
A_{t} & B_{t} & A_{t} & A_{t} \\
A_{t} & A_{t} & B_{t} & A_{t} \\
A_{t} & A_{t} & A_{t} & B_{t}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(B_{t+1}\right)_{i j}=1-\left(A_{t+1}\right)_{i j}
$$

For (c), let $W_{t}=\left(w_{i j}^{t}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2^{t}}$ be the Walsh matrix, defined inductively by $W_{0}=(1)$,

$$
W_{t+1}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
W_{t} & W_{t} \\
W_{t} & -W_{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and consider $\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-w_{i j}^{t}\right)\right)_{i, j=2}^{2^{t}}$.
2.10. Corollary. (a) $J\left(l_{p}^{2^{t}}\right) \geq\left(\left(2^{t}-1\right) / 2^{t-1}\right)^{1 / p}$.
(b) $J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{n}\right) \geq 2^{p-1 / p}\left[(n-1)+(n-1)^{p}\right]^{1 / p} / n$.
(c) $J_{s}\left(l_{p}^{2^{t}-1}\right) \geq\left(\left(2^{t}-1\right) / 2^{t-1}\right)^{1 / p}$.
(d) $J\left(l_{p}\right) \geq 2^{1 / p}$.
(e) $J_{s}\left(l_{p}\right) \geq \max \left(2^{1 / p}, 2^{p-1 / p}\right)$.
((e) follows also from Corollary 2.6 and Bynum's estimate $\operatorname{WCS}\left(L_{p}\right)$ $\leq \min \left(2^{p-1 / p}, 2^{1 / p}\right)$.
2.11. Corollary. (a) $J_{s}(E) \geq 2^{1 / p_{E}}$, where $p_{E}=\inf \left\{p ; l_{p}\right.$ is finitely represented in $E\}=$ the maximal "type" of $E$ in the sense of Maurey and Pisier [17]. Thus, if E has uniform normal structure, it is " $B$-convex" ([13]). In fact, stronger conditions are imposed on $E$ (cf. [1]).
(b) For every infinite-dimensional $E, J_{s}(E) \geq \sqrt{2}$ (Maluta, [16]) (since $p_{E} \leq 2$ by Dvoretzky's theorem).

Now we observe some upper bounds.
2.12. Proposition. If $\operatorname{dim} E \leq n$, then $J_{s}(E) \leq 2 n /(n+1)$.

Proof. Given a convex $A \subset E$ with $\operatorname{diam} A=1$, take any $r<r_{A}(A)$. Then $\cap_{x \in A} B(x, r) \cap \bar{A}=\varnothing$ hence by Helly's theorem, there are $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ with $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} B\left(x_{i}, r\right) \cap A=\varnothing$. But, taking

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i} \in A
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{x}-x_{j}\right\| & =\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|\sum_{i \neq j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \max _{i \neq j}\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{n}{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $r<n /(n+1)$. Since $r<r_{A}(A)$ was arbitrary, $r_{A}(A) \leq n /(n+1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in E \text {, the " } n \text {-volume" of } \operatorname{conv}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { is } \\
& V\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{det}\binom{1, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}}{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}} ; f_{i} \in B\left(E^{*}\right), i=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following Sullivan [21], we define the modulus of $n$-convexity of $E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{E}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}\right\| ; x_{i} \in B(E)\right. \\
&\left.i=0, \ldots, n, V\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(so that

$$
\delta_{E}^{(1)}(\varepsilon)=\delta_{E}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right\| ; x_{0}, x_{1} \in B(E),\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\| \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

is the ordinary modulus of convexity). Sullivan showed that if $E$ is " $n$-uniformly convex", i.e. if $\delta_{E}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)>0$ for all $\varepsilon>0$, then $E$ is superreflexive and has normal structure. Bynum [3] observed that $J_{s}(E) \leq 2\left(1-\delta_{E}(1)\right)$. One can push this argument one step further:
2.13. Proposition.

$$
J_{s}(E) \leq 2 \min _{\varepsilon} \max \left(1-\delta_{E}^{(2)}(\varepsilon), \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $A \subset E$ be convex with $\operatorname{diam} A=1$. Suppose $r_{A}(A)>r>$ $1-\delta_{E}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)$. Take $\eta>0$ and $x_{0}, x_{1} \in A$ with $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|>1-\eta$ and $x_{2} \in A$ with

$$
\left\|x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right\|>r
$$

$x_{3} \in A$ with

$$
\left\|x_{3}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}}{3}\right\|>r .
$$

Translating, we may assume $x_{3}=0$. Take $f_{1} \in B\left(E^{*}\right)$ with

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)>1-\eta \text { and } f_{2} \in B\left(E^{*}\right)
$$

with

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right)>r .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & f_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) & f_{1}\left(x_{2}-\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right) / 2\right) \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) & f_{2}\left(x_{2}-\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right) / 2\right)
\end{array}\right| \\
& >(1-\eta) r-f_{1}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## But

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right) & =f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(\frac{2 x_{2}+x_{0}}{2}-\frac{3 x_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& =f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2} f_{1}\left(\frac{2 x_{2}+x_{0}}{3}-x_{1}\right) \\
& >(1-\eta)-\frac{3}{2}=-\frac{1}{2}-\eta
\end{aligned}
$$

and also

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right)=-f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(\frac{2 x_{2}+x_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 x_{0}}{2}\right)<\frac{1}{2}+\eta .
$$

Similarly,

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right)+f_{2}\left(\frac{3 x_{1}}{2}-\frac{2 x_{2}+x_{0}}{2}\right)>r-\frac{3}{2}
$$

and

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)=-f_{2}\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{0}+x_{1}}{2}\right)+f_{2}\left(\frac{x_{1}+2 x_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 x_{0}}{2}\right)<\frac{3}{2}-r .
$$

Thus

$$
\varepsilon \geq V\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)>(1-\eta) r-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}-r\right) .
$$

Since $\eta>0$ was arbitrary, $3 r / 2-3 / 4 \leq \varepsilon$ or $r \leq 2 \varepsilon / 3+1 / 2$.

If we use this estimate for $l_{2}$ we get $J_{s}\left(l_{2}\right) \leq 1.61$ (while $2\left(1-\delta_{l_{2}}(1)\right)=$ $\sqrt{3}$ and $\left.J_{s}\left(l_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2}\right)$. In any $E$, if $\delta_{E}^{(2)}(3 / 4)>0$, then $J_{s}(E)<2$.
2.14. Proposition. For every $n$ and every $\varepsilon>0$, we have $J_{s}(E) \leq$ $2 \max \left(1-(1-\varepsilon) / n!\varepsilon, 1-\delta_{E}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)\right)$, so that if $\delta_{E}^{(n)}(1)>0$ then $E$ has uniform normal structure.

Proof. Let $A \subset E$ be convex with $\operatorname{diam} A=1$. Take any $r<r_{A}(A)$ and any $\eta>0$. Find $x_{0}, x_{1} \in A$ with $\left\|x_{0}-x_{1}\right\|>1-\eta$ and $x_{k} \in A$, $k=2,3, \ldots, n+1$ with $\left\|x_{k}-k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{i}\right\|>r$ (such $x_{k}$ exist since $k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{i} \in A$ and $\left.r_{A}(A)>r\right)$. Translate to get $x_{n+1}=0$, so that $x_{i} \in$ $B(E), i=0, \ldots, n$. Find $f_{1} \in B\left(E^{*}\right)$ with $f_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)>1-\eta$ and $f_{k} \in$ $B\left(E^{*}\right), k=2, \ldots, n$, with $f_{k}\left(x_{k}-k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{i}\right)>r$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}}{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{det}\binom{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots, f_{n}}{x_{1}-x_{0}, x_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

All the entries in the last determinant have absolute value $\leq 1$, but the subdiagonal ones, $f_{m}\left(x_{k}-k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{i}\right)$ for $m>k$, are small for $r$ close to 1: since $m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_{m}\left(x_{m}-x_{i}\right)>r$ and $\left|f_{m}\left(x_{m}-x_{i}\right)\right| \leq 1$, we have $1-$ $m(1-r)<f_{m}\left(x_{m}-x_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $i<m$, hence

$$
\left|f_{m}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|f_{m}\left(x_{m}-x_{i}\right)-f_{m}\left(x_{m}-x_{k}\right)\right|<m(1-r)
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{m}\left(x_{k}-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_{m}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right)\right|<m(1-r)
$$

too. Thus

$$
V\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)>(1-\eta) r^{n-1}-(n!-1) n(1-r)>\varepsilon
$$

provided $r>1-(1-\varepsilon-\eta) / n!n$. Therefore for such $r$ we must have

$$
r<\left\|1 /(n+1) \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}\right\|<1-\delta_{E}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)
$$

Since $\eta>0$ and $r<r_{A}(A)$ were arbitrary, we get

$$
r_{A}(A) \leq \max \left(1-\delta_{E}^{(n)}(\varepsilon), 1-(1-\varepsilon) / n!n\right)
$$

Remark. The rough estimate we used above can be improved, but since the computation of $\delta_{E}^{(n)}$ seems to be quite complicated, it is not clear whether finer estimates will yield more results.
$\operatorname{Lim}$ [15] gave the following upper bound for $J_{s}\left(l_{p}\right), p>2$ :

$$
J_{s}\left(l_{p}\right) \leq 2\left(1+\frac{1+t^{p-1}}{(1+t)^{p-1}}\right)^{-1 / p}
$$

where $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solves $(p-2) t^{p-1}+(p-1) t^{p-2}=1$.
Maluta [16] defined another related constant for a normed $E$ :
$D(E)=\sup \left\{\lim _{k} \sup _{n \geq k} d\left(x_{n+1}, \operatorname{conv}\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}\right) ;\left(x_{n}\right) \subset E, \operatorname{diam}\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=1\right\}$, and showed that:
(i) $D(E)=\sup \{D(F) ; F \subset E$ separable $\} ;$
(ii) $D(E)=0$ if and only if $E$ is finite-dimensional.
(iii) If $D(E)<1$ then the Banach space $E$ is reflexive and has normal structure (but $E=\left(\sum \oplus l_{n}\right)_{2}$ is reflexive and has normal structure although $D(E)=1)$.
(iv) $2 D(E) \leq J_{s}(E)$ and, if $E$ is reflexive, $D(E) \leq 1 / W C S(E)$.

Maluta asked if $D(E)=1 / W C S(E)$ for every reflexive $E$. She showed that this is the case for $l_{p}$, i.e. $D\left(l_{p}\right)=2^{-1 / p}$ (Bynum showed $W C S\left(l_{p}\right)=$ $\left.2^{1 / p}\right) ; D\left(\left(\sum \oplus l_{\infty}^{n}\right)_{2}\right)=2^{-1 / 2}$ (Bynum showed WCS $\left(\left(\sum \oplus l_{\infty}^{n}\right)_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2}>$ $\left.1=N\left(\left(\sum \oplus l_{\infty}^{n}\right)_{2}\right)\right)$. For the space $l_{p, 1}$, i.e. $l_{p}$ with the norm $\|x\|_{p, 1}=$ $\left\|x^{+}\right\|_{p}+\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{p}$, which is of special interest since in it $\delta(1)=0$, one still has $D\left(l_{p, 1}\right)=1 / W C S\left(l_{p, 1}\right)=2^{-1 / p}$. We can give an affirmative answer to Maluta's question in the case that $E$ satisfies the (weak) Opial condition: $w_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{w}} 0 \Rightarrow \liminf \left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \geq \liminf \left\|x_{n}\right\| \forall x \neq 0$ [19]. The $l_{p}$ spaces $(1<$ $p<\infty)$ satisfy this condition, but the $L_{p}[0,1]$ spaces do not, unless $p=2$.
2.15. Proposition. If $E$ satisfies Opial's condition, then $D(E) \geq$ $1 / W C S(E)$.

Proof. For any $0 \leq r<1 / W C S(E)$, we can find $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset E$ with $x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0, \operatorname{diam}\left(x_{n}\right)=1$ and $\lim \sup \left\|x_{n}-x\right\|>r$ for every $x \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(x_{n}\right)$. In particular, $\lim \sup \left\|x_{n}\right\|>r+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, so that we can take a subsequence $\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|>r+\varepsilon, \forall n$. By Opial's condition we have $\liminf \left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-x\right\| \geq r+\varepsilon, \forall x$. Let $n_{1}=1$. If $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ have been chosen, take a finite $\varepsilon / 2$-net, $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m_{k}}\right)$, for $\operatorname{conv}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$, and find $n_{k+1}$ so that $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-y_{j}\right\|>r+\varepsilon / 2$ for every $n \geq n_{k+1}, j \leq m_{k}$. Then $d\left(x_{n_{k+1}}^{\prime}, \operatorname{conv}\left(x_{n_{i}}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{k}\right)>r$, so that $D(E) \geq r$.

The parameters $J(E), 2 D(E)$ and $R(E)$ ([1]), although all of them between 1 and $J_{s}(E)$, are incomparable even for reflexive infinite dimensional spaces:

### 2.15. Examples.

(a) $J\left(l_{2}\right)=2 D\left(l_{2}\right)=\sqrt{2}>1=R\left(l_{2}\right)$.
(b) $E=\left(\Sigma \oplus l_{\infty}^{n}\right)_{2}$. Here $J(E)=1 ; 2 D(E)=\sqrt{2}$ and $R(E)=2$.
(c) $E=\left(\Sigma \oplus l_{1}^{n}\right)_{2}$. Here $2 D(E)=\sqrt{2}$ again, but $J(E)=R(E)=2$.

In concluding, we remark that none of the convexity properties $J(E)<2, J_{s}(E)<2, W C S(E)>1$ or $D(E)<1$ is isomorphy invariant. In fact, the "best" spaces have "worst" equivalent renormings. For $J$ this follows from Proposition 1.1 ( $m=C(\beta N$ ) has a maximal subspace 2-isomorphic to it of the type $C(T), T$ non-Stonian). For $J_{s}, W C S$ or $D$, it was observed by Maluta that $D\left(l_{2},\| \|_{J}\right)=1$, where $\|x\|_{J}=$ $\max \left(\|x\|_{2}, \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{\infty}\right)$.
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