

## DONALDSON'S POLYNOMIALS FOR $K3$ SURFACES

KIERAN G. O'GRADY

Let  $M$  be a smooth compact simply connected four-manifold with  $b_2^+ = 2p + 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Donaldson [5], [7] has defined polynomials  $\gamma_c \in \text{Sym}^d H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$  for all  $c > \frac{3}{2}(p+1)$ , where  $d = 4c - 3(p+1)$ . The polynomials are invariant under diffeomorphisms and actually provide new  $C^\infty$  invariants [5], [7]. To define these invariants choose a generic metric,  $g$ , on  $M$  and consider  $X_c$ , the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_c$  of  $g$ -anti-self-dual connections on the  $SU(2)$  bundle on  $M$  with  $c_2 = c$  [7]. There is a map  $\bar{\mu}: H_2(M) \rightarrow H^2(X_c)$  which extends the map  $\mu: H_2(M) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{M}_c)$  obtained by slant product with  $-\frac{1}{4}p_2(P)$ , where  $P$  is the universal  $SO(3)$  bundle over  $M \times \mathcal{M}_c$ . One defines

$$\gamma_c(\Gamma) = \int_{[X_c]} \underbrace{\mu(\Gamma) \cup \mu(\Gamma) \cup \dots \cup \mu(\Gamma)}_{d \text{ times}}.$$

If  $M$  is the smooth manifold underlying a projective complex surface  $S$ , and  $g$  is the Kähler metric associated to an ample divisor  $H$ , then, by a theorem of Donaldson [4],  $\mathcal{M}_c \cong M_S(H, 0, c)$ , where  $M_S(H, 0, c)$  is the moduli space of rank-two vector bundles  $E$  on  $S$  with  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = c$ ,  $\mu$ -stable with respect to  $H$ . By passing to the algebraic-geometric situation Donaldson has proved that, for a projective surface,  $\gamma_c \neq 0$ , at least for big  $c$  [5]. Not much is known about Donaldson's polynomials: R. Friedman and J. Morgan have partially computed  $\gamma_c$  for simply connected elliptic surfaces. In particular, let  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface with  $c \geq 4$ ,  $d = 4c - 6 = 2n$ , and  $q$  the quadratic form of  $S$ . They show that

$$\gamma_c = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} q^n.$$

The aim of this paper is to give a different proof of this formula in the case where  $c$  is odd. We do this by defining a polynomial  $\delta_c \in \text{Sym}^d H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$  analogous to  $\gamma_c$ , the difference being that instead of  $X_c$  we use the compactification of  $M_S(H, 0, c)$  provided by the moduli space of semistable

sheaves. We prove that although  $\gamma_c$  and  $\delta_c$  are not a priori equal, in fact they are the same polynomial (we prove this only for certain polarized  $K3$  surfaces and a corresponding value of  $c$ , but our arguments can be generalized to any  $K3$  surface); this should be generalizable to many other surfaces. Then we compute  $\delta_c(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the Poincaré dual of a nonzero holomorphic two-form on  $S$ ; it is plausible that the method we employ can be applied to any surface. The result follows because  $\gamma_c$  is a multiple of a power of the quadratic form for a  $K3$  surface.

**Notation.** Let  $E$  be a coherent torsion-free sheaf on a projective surface  $S$ , and let  $H$  be the hyperplane class on  $S$ . Then we say  $E$  is  $\mu$ -stable (respectively semistable) if  $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$  (respectively  $\leq$ ) for every subsheaf  $F \hookrightarrow E$ , where  $\mu(G) = (c_1(G) \cdot H) / \text{rank}(G)$ . We say  $E$  is stable (respectively semistable) if  $p_F(n) < p_E(n)$  (respectively  $\leq$ ) for all subsheaves  $F \hookrightarrow E$  and all  $n \gg 0$ , letting  $p_G(n) = \chi(G(n)) / \text{rank}(G)$ , i.e., if  $E$  is stable (semistable) according to Gieseker and Maruyama. Both notions of stability depend on the polarization chosen, so to be precise one should always specify  $H$ . We denote by  $M_S(H, c_1, c_2)$  the moduli space of rank-two locally free sheaves,  $E$ , on  $S$ ,  $\mu$ -stable with respect to  $H$ , with  $c_1(E) = c_1$  and  $c_2(E) = c_2$ . We let  $\bar{M}_S(H, c_1, c_2)$  be the moduli space of rank-two torsion-free sheaves,  $E$ , on  $S$ , Gieseker-Maruyama semistable with respect to  $H$ , with  $c_1(E) = c_1$  and  $c_2(E) = c_2$ ; it is a projective scheme [8], [10]. There is a natural embedding  $\iota: M_S(H, c_1, c_2) \hookrightarrow \bar{M}_S(H, c_1, c_2)$ , and  $\iota(M_S(H, c_1, c_2))$  is clearly open in its closure, but a priori it need not be that  $\bar{M}_S(H, c_1, c_2)$  is the closure of  $\iota(M_S(H, c_1, c_2))$ : there could possibly exist components all of whose points parametrize sheaves which are not locally free. When  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = c$ , and there is no confusion about  $S$  and  $H$ , we will abbreviate  $M_S(H, c_1, c_2)$  and  $\bar{M}_S(H, c_1, c_2)$  to  $M_c$  and  $\bar{M}_c$  respectively. Let  $E^{**}$  be the double dual of  $E$ . By the canonical sequence of  $E$  we will mean the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{**} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $Q$  is a sheaf which naturally lives on  $Y$ , the zero-dimensional subscheme of  $S$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\text{Ann } Q$ . For such  $Q$  and  $Y$  we set  $l(Q) = h^0(Q)$  and  $l(Y) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ . In general we will denote by  $[X]$  the equivalence class of an object  $X$  for an appropriate equivalence relation. So, for example, if  $E$  is an  $H$ -semistable sheaf, then  $[E]$  will be a point in an appropriate moduli space, if  $Z \subset S$  is a zero-dimensional subscheme, then  $[Z]$  will be the corresponding point in the appropriate Hilbert scheme, etc.

**1. Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  a polarization on  $S$ , and  $E$  an  $H$ -semistable rank two torsion-free sheaf on  $S$ , and let  $c_1(E) = 0$ ,  $c_2(E) = c$  with  $c$  odd. Then  $E$  is stable.*

*Proof.* In Gieseker's notation

$$p_E(n) = \frac{1}{2}H^2n^2 - c/2 + 2.$$

Let  $F \rightarrow E$  be a rank-one subsheaf of  $E$ . Then

$$p_F(n) = \frac{1}{2}H^2n^2 + (\det F \cdot H)n + \frac{1}{2}(\det F)^2 - c_2(F) + 2.$$

If  $E$  were semistable, there would exist  $F$  such that  $p_F(n) = p_E(n)$ . This is impossible because the constant coefficient of  $p_F(n)$  is an integer (the intersection form is even), while the constant coefficient of  $p_E(n)$  is not integer.

**Corollary.** *Let  $c$  be odd. If  $\overline{M}_c$  is not empty, then it is smooth of dimension  $4c - 6$ , and there exists a universal sheaf over  $S \times \overline{M}_c$ .*

*Proof.* By the lemma, if  $[E] \in \overline{M}_c$ , then  $E$  is stable, hence simple. By a result of Mukai [13, Theorem 0.3],  $\overline{M}_c$  is smooth at  $[E]$  of dimension  $4c - 6$ . Again by a theorem of Mukai [13, Theorem A.6] a universal sheaf exists.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface whose Picard group is generated by the ample divisor  $H$ , and let  $H^2 = 2m$ , and  $c = 2m + 3$ . Then  $\overline{M}_c$  is irreducible and birational to the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of  $S$  of length  $4m + 3$ .*

*Proof.* If  $[E] \in \overline{M}_c$  let  $F = E \otimes H$ . Then  $c_1(F) = 2H$  and  $c_2(F) = 4m + 3$ .

**Claim 1.** *The sheaf  $F$  fits into the exact sequence*

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow F \rightarrow I_Z(2H) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $Z \subset S$  is a zero-dimensional subscheme of length  $4m + 3$ .

*Proof.* By Riemann-Roch,  $h^0(F) + h^2(F) \geq 1$ ; let us prove that  $h^0(F) \geq 1$ . By considering the canonical sequence of  $F$  we see that  $h^2(F) = h^2(F^{**})$ . By Serre duality,  $h^2(F^{**}) = h^0(F^*)$ ; if  $h^0(F^*) > 0$  there is an injection  $\mathcal{O}_S(kH) \rightarrow F^*$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , hence an injection  $\mathcal{O}_S((2+k)H) \rightarrow F^{**}$  and consequently a map  $I_Z((2+k)H) \rightarrow F$  for some zero-dimensional  $Z \subset S$ . This clearly contradicts the stability of  $F$ , hence  $h^2(F) = 0$  and  $h^0(F) \geq 1$ . From the stability of  $F$  it follows that any nonzero section has isolated zeros, hence it defines an injection  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow F$  with quotient a torsion-free rank-one sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  which is isomorphic to  $I_Z(2H)$  for some zero-dimensional subscheme  $Z \subset S$ . Since  $c_2(F) = 4m + 3$ , the length of  $Z$  is  $4m + 3$ .

If  $F$  fits into the exact sequence  $(*)$ , then the following equalities hold:

- (i)  $h^0(F) = h^0(I_Z(2H)) + 1$ .
- (ii)  $h^0(I_Z(2H)) + 1 = h^1(I_Z(2H))$ .
- (iii)  $h^1(I_Z(2H)) = \dim \text{Ext}^1(I_Z(2H), \mathcal{O}_S)$ .

The first two equalities follow from the long exact cohomology sequences associated to  $(*)$  and the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_Z(2H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(2H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(2H) \rightarrow 0$ , respectively. Equality (iii) follows from Serre duality.

**Claim 2.** *Let  $Z \subset S$  be a zero-dimensional subscheme of length  $4m + 3$  such that, if  $Z' \subset Z$  is a subscheme of length  $4m + 2$  with  $h^0(I_{Z'}(2H)) = 0$ , then there is a unique stable locally free sheaf  $F$  fitting into the exact sequence  $(*)$ .*

*Proof.* By our hypothesis  $h^0(I_Z(2H)) = 0$ , hence by (ii) and (iii) there is a unique nontrivial extension,  $F$ , of  $I_Z(2H)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . Since  $Z$  satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property relative to  $|2H|$ , the sheaf  $F$  is locally free. Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kH) \rightarrow F$  be a sublinebundle. Since, by (i),  $h^0(F) = 1$ , we must have  $k \leq 0$ , i.e.,  $F$  is stable.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_c$  be the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of  $S$  of length  $4m + 3$ , and let  $U_c \subset \mathcal{H}_c$  be the open subset defined by

$$U_c = \{Z \mid h^0(I_Z(2H)) = 0 \text{ and the corresponding extension } (*) \text{ is stable.}\}.$$

By Riemann-Roch,  $h^0(2H) = 4m + 2$ , hence if  $Z \subset S$  is a generic zero-dimensional subscheme of length  $4m + 3$ , then  $h^0(I_Z(2H)) = 0$  and, for any subscheme  $Z' \subset Z$  of length  $4m + 2$ ,  $h^0(I_{Z'}(2H)) = 0$ . By Claim 2 we conclude that  $U_c$  is not empty. Let  $V_c$  be the open subset of  $\overline{M}_c$  defined by

$$V_c = \{[E] \mid h^0(E \otimes H) = 1\}.$$

The previous discussion defines an isomorphism  $f: U_c \xrightarrow{\sim} V_c$  which extends to a rational map  $\bar{f}: \mathcal{H}_c \rightarrow \overline{M}_c$ .

Since  $V_c$  is open (or by a dimension count),  $\bar{f}$  is a birational map between  $\mathcal{H}_c$  and one component of  $\overline{M}_c$ . We will be done if we can prove that there are no other components of  $\overline{M}_c$ . By the Corollary to Lemma 1 any component has dimension  $4c - 6$ , hence the following claim finishes the proof of the proposition.

**Claim 3.** *The codimension of  $\overline{M}_c \setminus V_c$  in  $\overline{M}_c$  is at least two (in fact equal to two).*

*Proof.* Let  $[E] \in \overline{M}_c$ . Then  $F = E \otimes H$  fits into the exact sequence  $(*)$ , so we have to bound the number of moduli of stable nontrivial

extensions which arise from  $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}_c \setminus U_c$ . Let  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4m+1}$  be the map associated to the complete linear system  $|2H|$ . Let  $[Z] \in \mathcal{H}_c$  vary in a family  $\mathcal{F}$  for which  $\dim \text{Ext}^1(I_Z(2H), \mathcal{O}_S)$  is constant. Then the number of moduli of  $F$ 's obtained as extensions (\*) is at most

$$\dim \mathcal{F} + \dim \text{Ext}^1(I_Z(2H), \mathcal{O}_S) - 1 - (h^0(F) - 1) = \dim \mathcal{F},$$

where we have used the equalities (i), (ii), (iii) (this is the essential point). We stratify  $\mathcal{H}_c \setminus U_c$  according to the dimension of  $\text{span } \varphi(Z)$  and its intersection with  $\varphi(S)$ ; since  $[Z] \notin U_c$ ,  $\dim \text{span } \varphi(Z) \leq 4m$ . First, assume  $\text{span } \varphi(Z) \cap \varphi(S)$  is zero-dimensional. Then  $d = \dim(\text{span } \varphi(Z)) \leq 4m - 1$ . Since locally on  $\mathcal{F}$  there is a subscheme  $Z' \subset Z$  such that  $\varphi(Z')$  spans  $\varphi(Z)$  and  $l(Z') = d + 1$ , there is an injection  $\iota: \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}^{d+1}(S)$ , and hence

$$\text{number of moduli of } F\text{'s} \leq 2(d + 1) \leq 8m.$$

If  $\text{span } \varphi(Z) \cap \varphi(S)$  is a divisor  $D$ , then either  $D \in |H|$  or  $D \in |2H|$ . In the first case the number of moduli is  $\dim |H| + 4m + 3 = 5m + 5$ , and in the second it is  $\dim |2H| + 4m + 3 = 8m + 4$ . Since  $\dim \overline{M}_c = 8m + 6$  we conclude that  $\text{codim}(\overline{M}_c \setminus V_c, \overline{M}_c) \geq 2$ .

**2. Definition 2.** Let  $c$  be odd,  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface,  $H$  be a polarization on  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  be a universal sheaf on  $S \times \overline{M}_c$ . Then we set

$$\nu: H_2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\overline{M}_c, \mathbf{Z})$$

to be the map given by  $\nu(\Gamma) = c_2(\mathcal{E})/\Gamma$ .

Notice that a universal sheaf is not unique, but  $\nu$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $X_c$  be Uhlenbeck's compactification [7] of the moduli space of connections on the  $SU(2)$ -bundle with  $c_2 = c$ , anti-self-dual with respect to the Kähler metric associated to  $H$ . Then one has the extended  $\mu$ -map  $\bar{\mu}: H_2(S) \rightarrow H^2(X_c)$ . By a theorem of Donaldson [4]  $X_c$  and  $\overline{M}_c$  are two (different) compactifications of  $M_c$ . If we restrict to  $M_c$ , then  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\nu$  agree. Let  $C \subset S$  be a curve and restrict the universal sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $C \times \overline{M}_c$ . Choose  $L \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $C$ , and let  $p: C \times \overline{M}_c \rightarrow C$  and  $q: C \times \overline{M}_c \rightarrow \overline{M}_c$  be the projections. Then applying Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch to  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes p^*(L)$  and  $q$  one gets

$$\nu(C) = -c_1(q_1^* \mathcal{F}).$$

This has an analogue in  $X_c$ —one chooses a spin structure on  $C$ , and  $q_1^* \mathcal{F}$  is replaced by the determinant of the twisted Dirac operator.

One can choose a representative of  $\nu(C)$  as follows: let

$$\Delta(C, L)_{\text{red}} = \{[E] | h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(E \otimes L)) > 0\}.$$

Then the Poincaré dual of  $\nu(C)$  is represented by a cycle  $\Delta(C, L)$  supported on  $\Delta(C, L)_{\text{red}}$  (with positive coefficients). On the other hand, as is shown by Friedman and Morgan [7],  $\Delta(C, L)$  restricted to  $M_c$  also represents  $\mu(C)$ . For this to make sense one has to choose  $L$  so that  $\Delta(C, L)$  is a divisor (maybe empty), i.e., every component of  $\overline{M}_c$  must contain a point  $[E]$  such that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(E \otimes L)) = 0$ . By a theorem of Raynaud [14] this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{O}_C(E)$  being semistable. If  $C$  is an ample divisor and  $E$  is  $\mu$ -stable with respect to  $C$ , then Mehta and Ramanathan [11] have shown that there exist  $n > 0$  and  $C' \in |nC|$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{C'}(E)$  is stable. We will need the following stronger version due to Bogomolov [2, 11.8, Corollary 1].

**Theorem (Bogomolov).** *Let  $S$  be a projective surface,  $H$  an ample line bundle on  $S$ , and  $E$  an  $H$   $\mu$ -stable rank-two vector bundle over  $S$  with Chern classes  $c_1, c_2$ . Then there exists a number  $k(c_1, c_2)$ , depending on  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  but not on  $E$ , such that if  $k \geq k_0$  and  $C$  is any smooth curve in  $|kH|$ , then  $E|_C$  is stable.*

**Definition 3.** Let  $S, H, c$  be as in Definition 2, and let  $d = 4c - 6 = \dim \overline{M}_c$ . We define  $\delta_c \in \text{Sym}^d(H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})) \cong \text{Sym}^d(H_2(S, \mathbf{Z})^*)$  by setting

$$\delta_c(\Gamma) = \nu(\Gamma)^d \quad \text{for } \Gamma \in H_2(S, \mathbf{Z}).$$

The polynomial  $\delta_c$  depends a priori on the polarization chosen to define  $\overline{M}_c$  and on the polarized K3  $S$ , so whenever we want to stress this dependence we denote it by  $\delta_c(S, H)$ . It is clearly analogous to Donaldson's polynomial  $\gamma_c$ , but it is not a priori obvious that they are equal.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(S, H)$  be a polarized K3 surface, let  $c$  be odd, and assume  $\overline{M}_c$  is not empty. Then  $\gamma_c(H) = \delta_c(S, H)(H)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows Donaldson's method for proving that  $\gamma_c(H) \neq 0$  [5]. Let  $d = \dim \overline{M}_c = 4c - 6$ . We will show that for  $k$  large enough one can choose smooth curves  $C_i \in |kH|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and line bundles  $L_i \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C_i)$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $C_i$ , such that the representatives  $\Delta(C_i, L_i)$  of  $\nu(kH)$  intersect only in  $M_c$  and the intersection is a finite set of points (a priori it could be empty, but in fact our main theorem shows it is not). Let  $g_H$  be the Kähler metric associated to the polarization  $H$ . Then, as we will see,  $g_H$  and the  $\Delta(C_i, L_i)$ 's define an admissible system in the terminology of Donaldson [5], hence the intersection of their restrictions to  $M_c$  computes  $\gamma_c(H)$ , but then, since there is no point of intersection on  $\overline{M}_c \setminus M_c$ ,  $\gamma_c(H) = \delta_c(H)$ .

We introduce the following notation:  $\Delta_i(C, L) = \Delta(C, L)|_{M_i}$ . We also need to observe that the set  $\mathcal{S} = \{F \in \text{Pic}(S) \mid -c \leq F^2 \leq 0, F \cdot H = 0\}$

is finite: this follows from the Hodge index theorem and the fact that  $S$  is regular. By Bogomolov's Theorem there exists  $k$  such that if  $C \in |kH|$  and  $[E] \in M_l$  for  $l \leq c$ , then  $E|_C$  is stable; clearly we can also assume that  $|kH|$  is very ample.

**Claim.** *We can choose smooth curves  $C_i \in |kH|$  and line bundles  $L_i \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  such that*

- (1) *no three of the  $C_i$ 's intersect,*
- (2) *for all  $i \leq d$ , if  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $h^0(L_i \otimes F|_{C_i}) = 0$ ,*
- (3)  *$\Delta_l(C_1, L_1)_{\text{red}} \cap \dots \cap \Delta_l(C_n, L_n)_{\text{red}}$  is empty or has codimension  $n$  for any  $n \leq d$ .*

*Proof of claim.* By induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  let  $\{[E_1], \dots, [E_r]\}$  be a finite set of  $\mu$ -stable rank-two vector bundles on  $S$  with  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 \leq c$  such that any irreducible component of  $M_l$  for  $l \leq c$  contains at least one  $[E_s]$ . Let  $C_1 \in |kH|$  be any smooth curve. Since  $E_s|_{C_1}$  is stable for all  $s$ , there exists  $L_1 \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C_1)$  such that  $h^0(E_s|_{C_1} \otimes L_1) = 0$  for all  $s$ ; since  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite we can further insure that  $h^0(L_1 \otimes F|_{C_1}) = 0$ . With this choice of  $(C_1, L_1)$ ,  $\Delta_l(C_1, L_1)_{\text{red}}$  is a divisor for all  $l \leq c$ . Now assume  $(C_1, L_1), \dots, (C_m, L_m)$  satisfy (1), (2), (3) with  $d$  replaced by  $m$ . Then let  $\{[E_1], \dots, [E_r]\}$  be a finite set as above such that for all  $l \leq c$  each irreducible component of  $\Delta_l(C_1, L_1)_{\text{red}} \cap \dots \cap \Delta_l(C_m, L_m)_{\text{red}}$  contains at least one  $[E_s]$ . Furthermore, let  $C_{m+1} \in |kH|$  be any smooth curve such that  $C_1, \dots, C_{m+1}$  satisfy (1). Then we choose  $L_{m+1} \in \text{Pic}^g(C_{m+1})$  such that  $h^0(E_s|_{C_{m+1}} \otimes L_{m+1}) = 0$  for all  $s$  and  $h^0(L_{m+1} \otimes F|_{C_{m+1}}) = 0$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . Clearly with these choices  $(C_1, L_1), \dots, (C_{m+1}, L_{m+1})$  satisfy (1), (2), (3), hence the proof is complete.

Now let us show that  $\Delta(C_1, L_1)_{\text{red}} \cap \dots \cap \Delta(C_d, L_d)_{\text{red}} \subset M_c$ . Assume there exists

$$(*) \quad [E] \in \Delta(C_1, L_1)_{\text{red}} \cap \dots \cap \Delta(C_d, L_d)_{\text{red}}$$

with  $[E] \in \overline{M}_c \setminus M_c$ . Consider the canonical sequence of  $E$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $Z \subset S$  be the zero-dimensional subscheme whose ideal sheaf is  $\text{Ann } \mathcal{O}$ , let  $Z_{\text{red}}$  be the reduced  $Z$ , and let  $c_2(E^{**}) = l$ . Then  $c_2(E^{**}) + l(\mathcal{O}) = c$ . If  $[E] \in \Delta(C_i, L_i)$ , then  $h^0(E|_{C_i}^{**} \otimes L_i) > 0$  or  $Z_{\text{red}} \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $E$  is Gieseker-Maruyama stable, the double dual  $E^{**}$  is  $\mu$ -semistable. We distinguish two cases.

*First case:  $E^{**}$  is  $\mu$ -stable.* Since  $[E] \notin M_c$ , we have  $E^{**} \neq E$ , hence  $l < c$ . Let  $a = \#\{i|[E^{**}] \in \Delta_i(C_i, L_i)\}$  and  $b = \#\{i|Z_{\text{red}} \cap C_i \neq \emptyset\}$ ; then by (\*)  $a + b \geq d$ . From our choice of the  $(C_i, L_i)$ 's it follows that  $a \leq \dim M_l = 4l - 6$ . On the other hand clearly  $b \leq 2(\#Z_{\text{red}}) \leq 2l(\mathcal{C}) = 2(c - l)$ , hence  $d \leq a + b \leq 2c + 2l - 6 < 4c - 6 = d$ , which is absurd.

*Second case:  $E^{**}$  is  $\mu$ -semistable but not stable.* Let  $F$  be the semistabilizing line bundle of  $E^{**}$ , i.e.,  $F \cdot H = 0$  and  $E^{**}$  fits into

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E^{**} \rightarrow I_W \otimes F^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $W \subset S$  is a zero-dimensional subscheme. From (\*\*) we get that  $c_2(E^{**}) = l(W) - F^2$ , by the Hodge index theorem  $F^2 \leq 0$ , hence  $-c \leq F^2 \leq 0$ , i.e.,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $Z$  is, as above, the subscheme on which  $\mathcal{C}$  lives, then  $[E] \in \Delta(C_i, L_i)$  implies that one of the following holds:

- (1)  $h^0(E_{|C_i}^{**} \otimes L_i) > 0$ .
- (2)  $W_{\text{red}} \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ .
- (3)  $Z_{\text{red}} \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , we know that (1) cannot hold. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be the number of  $i$ 's such that (2), (3) hold, respectively. Clearly  $\alpha \leq 2(\#W_{\text{red}}) \leq l(W) \leq 2l$  and  $\beta \leq 2(c - l)$ , hence  $d \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2c < 4c - 6 = d$ , which is absurd.

Next we claim that the Kähler metric  $g_H$  and the  $\Delta(C_i, L_i)$ 's define an admissible system, as defined by Donaldson [5]. In fact we only have to notice that, by a theorem of Mukai [13, Theorem 0.3],  $M_l$  is smooth and of the expected dimension (if not empty) whatever  $l$  is; but then our choice of the  $(C_i, L_i)$ 's ensures that the  $\Delta(C_i, L_i)$ 's define an admissible system. By Donaldson's Proposition 3.6 [5] the intersection number  $\Delta_c(C_1, L_1) \cdots \Delta_c(C_d, L_d)$  is equal to  $\gamma_c(kH)$ . On the other hand, since the  $\Delta(C_i, L_i)$ 's do not intersect in  $\overline{M}_c \setminus M_c$ ,  $\Delta_c(C_1, L_1) \cdots \Delta_c(C_d, L_d) = \delta_c(S, kH)(kH)$ , hence we conclude that  $\gamma_c(kH) = \delta_c(kH)$ .

The following lemma is well known in the case of locally free sheaves.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface, let  $A \subset \text{Pic}(S)$  be the subset of ample line bundles, and let  $R_c = \{F \in \text{Pic}(S) | -c \leq F^2 \leq 0\}$ . The set of walls  $W_c = \{F^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(S) | F \in R\}$  determined by  $R_c$  partitions the ample cone  $A \otimes \mathbf{R}$  into chambers. Let  $H_1, H_2$  be polarizations on  $S$  and assume that they belong to the same open chamber of  $A \otimes \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\overline{M}_S(H_1, 0, c) \cong \overline{M}_S(H_2, 0, c)$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that a sheaf  $E$  cannot be  $H_2$ -semistable and  $H_1$  nonsemistable (then we exchange the roles of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ). Let

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_\Gamma(F) \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_{\Gamma'}(-F) \rightarrow 0$$

be an  $H_1$  desemistabilizing sequence. Let  $\gamma = h^0(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma)$  and  $\gamma' = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'})$ .

Then  $c = -F^2 + \gamma + \gamma'$ , hence

$$(†) \quad F^2 \geq -c.$$

Assume  $F \cdot H_1 > 0$  and  $F \cdot H_2 < 0$ . Then by the Hodge index theorem  $F^2 < 0$ , and by (†)  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  cannot belong to the same chamber, impossible. If  $F \cdot H_1 > 0$  and  $F \cdot H_2 = 0$ , again by Hodge index  $F^2 < 0$ , and by (†) and our hypothesis it is impossible. If  $F \cdot H_1 = 0$  either  $F = 0$  or  $F^2 < 0$ . By (†) and our hypothesis  $F^2 < 0$  is impossible. If  $F = 0$ , since  $I_{\Gamma}(F)$  is  $H_1$  desemistabilizing,  $-\gamma > -c/2$ , but  $-\gamma \leq -c/2$  since  $E$  is  $H_2$  semistable, impossible.

**Corollary.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  a polarization on  $S$ , and  $c$  an odd number. Assume  $\overline{M}_c$  is not empty, and  $H$  does not lie on a wall of  $W_c$ . Then*

$$\gamma_{c|\text{Pic}(S)} = \delta_c(S, H)|_{\text{Pic}(S)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_H$  be the intersection of the open chamber containing  $H$  and  $\text{Pic}(S)$ , and let  $H_i \in C_H$ . By Lemma 3 we know that  $\delta_c(S, H)(H_i) = \delta_c(S, H_i)(H_i)$ , and, by Lemma 2,  $\delta_c(S, H_i)(H_i) = \gamma_c(H_i)$ , hence  $\delta_c(S, H) \times (H_i) = \gamma_c(H_i)$ . The set of lines  $\{[H_i]|H_i \in C_H\}$  is a Zariski dense subset of  $\mathbf{P}(\text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbf{R})$ , hence the two homogeneous polynomials  $\gamma_{c|\text{Pic}(S)}$  and  $\delta_c(S, H)|_{\text{Pic}(S)}$  must be equal.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  be a primitive polarization on  $S$ ,  $H^2 = 2m$ ,  $c = 2m + 3$ , and  $d = 4c - 6$ . Let  $q \in \text{Sym}^2(H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}))$ ,  $h \in H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$  be the intersection form and  $c_1(H)$  respectively. Then  $\delta_c(S, H)$  is a polynomial in  $q$  and  $h$ , i.e.,*

$$\delta_c(S, H) = a_0 q^{d/2} + a_1 q^{d/2-1} h^2 + \dots + a_{d/2} h^d$$

for some rational numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{d/2}$ .

*Proof.* The surface  $S$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of all K3 surfaces with a primitive polarization of degree  $H^2$ , which will be surfaces in a fixed  $\mathbf{P}^r$ ,  $r = h^0(S, nH) - 1$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). By Gieseker and Maruyama's theorem ([8], [10]), there is a relative moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $H$ -semistable sheaves over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be the projection. By Proposition 1,  $\pi(\mathcal{M})$  contains the dense subset  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$  parametrizing surfaces whose Picard group has rank one. Since  $\pi$  is proper, we conclude that  $\pi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{B}$ . We would like to have a relative universal sheaf on  $\mathcal{S} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the universal K3 with a primitive polarization of degree  $2m$ , in order to compare the polynomials  $\delta_c(S_0, H_0)$  and  $\delta_c(S_1, H_1)$  for two surfaces. A relative universal sheaf might not exist, although there is one of each fiber  $S \times \overline{M}_c$ . But, by using a criterion of Maruyama [10, Proposition 6.10],

as modified by Mukai [13, Theorem A.6], we conclude that there exists a finite covering map  $\phi: \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that there is a “universal sheaf” on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . In fact let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{d-3}$  be fixed generic hyperplanes and let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset S \times \mathcal{B}$  be defined by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{(P, b) | P \in H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-3} \cap S\}$ . By definition on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  there is a section  $\Delta$  of the map to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ ; hence the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ . When restricted to  $S \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$  is  $\mathcal{O}_P$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_P(E)) = 2$ ; hence Mukai’s criterion [13, Theorem A.6] applies in this relative case and we conclude that there exists a “universal sheaf”. Let  $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a path with end points corresponding to surfaces  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ , and let  $\alpha_*: H_2(S_0) \rightarrow H_2(S_1)$  be the natural map. Hence we conclude that  $\delta_c(S_0, H_0)(v) = \delta_c(S_1, H_1)(\alpha_*(v))$ . Now fix one polarized K3,  $S$ ; then  $\delta_c(S, H)$  is invariant under the action of the fundamental group of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . Since the image of  $\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$  in the group of isometries of  $H_2(S)$  is of finite index in the subgroup fixing  $h$ , we conclude, as in [6], that  $\delta_c(S, H)$  is of the given form.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  be a primitive polarization on  $S$  of degree  $2m$ , and  $c = 2m + 3$ . Then  $\delta_c(S, H) = \gamma_c$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4,  $\delta_c(S, H)$  is a polynomial in  $q$  and  $h$ ; on the other hand,  $\gamma_c$  is a polynomial in  $q$  [7], hence we can write

$$(*) \quad \delta_c(S, H) - \gamma_c = \sum_{i=0}^{d/2} a_i q^{d/2-i} h^{2i}.$$

Let  $(S, H)$  be a polarized K3 surface such that  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[H] \oplus \mathbf{Z}[L]$ , where  $H^2 = 2m$ ,  $H \cdot L = a$ ,  $L^2 = -2$  (i.e.,  $L$  is a rational curve of degree  $a$ ). Such an  $S$  exists if  $a > 0$ . As is easily checked, whatever  $a$  is,  $H$  will not lie on any wall of  $W_c$  (the notation is as in Lemma 3), hence by the Corollary to Lemma 3 we know that

$$(**) \quad \gamma_c|_{\text{Pic}(S)} = \delta_c(S, H)|_{\text{Pic}(S)}.$$

Let  $\phi$  be the polynomial on the right side of (\*). We claim that (\*\*) implies  $\phi = 0$ . Assuming  $\phi \neq 0$ , we will arrive at a contradiction. Write  $\phi = h^{2n}\psi$ , where  $\psi$  is not divisible by  $h$ , so  $\psi = \sum_{i=n}^{d/2} a_i q^{d/2-i} h^{2i-2n}$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Obviously  $\psi|_{\text{Pic}(S)} = 0$ . Let  $D \in \text{Pic}(S)$  be a nonzero divisor class perpendicular to  $H$ . Then  $\psi(D) = a_n q(D)^{d/2-n}$  and, since  $D^2 \neq 0$ , we get  $a_n = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**Corollary.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  be a primitive polarization on  $S$  of degree  $2m$ , and  $c = 2m + 3$ . Then  $\delta_c = aq^{d/2}$ .*

3. Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $H$  be a primitive polarization on  $S$ ,  $H^2 = 2m$ , and  $c = 2m + 3$ . Recall from §2 that there is an isomorphism

$f: U_c \xrightarrow{\sim} V_c$ : if  $[Z] \in U_c$ , then  $f([Z])$  is the isomorphism class of the unique nontrivial extension of  $I_Z(2H)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . We will therefore identify  $U_c$  and  $V_c$ . Let  $Y = S \times U_c$ . By a standard construction [3] there exists a universal extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{Z}}(p_S^*(2H) \otimes p_{U_c}^*(L)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times U_c$  is the restriction of the universal subscheme on  $S \times \mathcal{H}_c$  to  $S \times U_c$ ,  $p_S$  and  $p_{U_c}$  are the projections, and  $L$  is a line bundle on  $U_c$ . If we tensor  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $p_S^*(-H)$ , we get a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $S \times U_c$  and consequently on  $S \times V_c$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(p_S^*(-H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{Z}}(p_S^*(H) \otimes p_{U_c}^*(L)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now choose a nonzero holomorphic two-form,  $\omega$ , on  $S$ . Let  $\Gamma \in H_2(S)$  be the Poincaré dual to the class  $[\omega] \in H^2(S)$  represented by  $\omega$ , and let  $\text{P.D.}(\mathcal{Z})$  be the Poincaré dual of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) = p_S^*(-c_1(H)^2) - p_S^*(H)p_{U_c}^*(L) + \text{P.D.}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Since  $[\omega] \cup c_1(H) = 0$ , we see that

$$c_2(\mathcal{E})/\Gamma = \text{P.D.}(\mathcal{Z})/\Gamma,$$

so that  $c_2(\mathcal{E})/\Gamma$  is represented by the form obtained by integrating  $p_S^*(\omega)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$  along the fibers of  $p_V$ , i.e., the push-forward of  $p_S^*(\omega)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , which we will denote by  $\omega^{(n)}$ ,  $n = 4m + 3$  (since  $V_c$  is identified with  $U_c$ , we can think of  $V_c$  as a subset of  $\mathcal{H}_c$ , and then  $\omega^{(n)}$  is the restriction of a holomorphic form on  $\mathcal{H}_c$  [1]). We have proved

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\pi: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow V_c$  be the projection and let  $\omega^{(n)} \in \Gamma(\Omega_{U-c}^{2,0})$  be the push-forward of  $p_S^*(\omega)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ . Then  $\nu(\Gamma)$  restricted to  $V_c$  is represented by  $\omega^{(n)}$ .*

**Lemma 6.** *There exists a unique holomorphic two-form on  $\overline{M}_c$ ,  $\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)$ , extending  $\omega^{(n)}$  and representing  $\nu(\Gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* The point is that, by the claim following Definition 1,  $\text{cod}(\overline{M}_c \setminus V_c, \overline{M}_c) = 2$ , hence  $\omega^{(n)}$  extends holomorphically to  $\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)$ . Since  $[\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)]|_{V_c} = \nu(\Gamma)|_{V_c}$ , we conclude that they are equal on the whole  $\overline{M}_c$ .

**Remark.** We have associated to  $\omega \in H^0(K_S)$  a two-form on  $\overline{M}_c$ . One can show that  $\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)$  is (up to a multiplicative constant) the symplectic form constructed by Mukai ([12], [15]).

**Theorem.** *Let  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface, let  $c = 2m + 3$  be an odd number greater than 3, and let  $n = 4m + 3$ . Then*

$$\gamma_c = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} q^n.$$

*Proof.* Since all  $K3$  surfaces are diffeomorphic, we can assume that  $S$  has a primitive polarization,  $H$ , of degree  $2m$ . By Proposition 3 we know that  $\gamma_c = \delta_c(S, H)$ . Let  $\omega \in H^0(K_S)$  be a generator; we will compute  $\delta_c(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})$ . Let  $d = 8m + 6 = \dim \overline{M}_c$ . By Lemma 6,  $\nu_c(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})$  is represented by  $\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega) + \overline{\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)}$ . Then

$$\delta_c(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma}) = \int_{\overline{M}_c} \bigwedge^d (\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega) + \overline{\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)}),$$

which is equal to

$$\int_{U_c} \bigwedge^d (\omega^{(n)} + \bar{\omega}^{(n)}).$$

Now let  $S_0^{(n)} \subset U_c$  be the subvariety parametrizing the  $Z$ 's such that  $\text{supp } Z$  consists of  $n$  distinct points, let  $S^n$  be the product of  $n$  copies of  $S$ , and  $S_0^n$  be the open subvariety mapping to  $S_0^{(n)}$  by the obvious map. Denote this map by  $f$ , and the  $i$ th projection by  $p_i: S^n \rightarrow S$ . Then it is clear that  $f^*(\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega) + \overline{\tau_{\overline{M}_c}(\omega)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^*(\omega + \bar{\omega})$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^n} \bigwedge^d \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^*(\omega + \bar{\omega}) \right) &= (2n)! \left( \int_S \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n} \left( \int_S (\omega + \bar{\omega}) \wedge (\omega + \bar{\omega}) \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality holds because in the wedge product the only terms which give a nonzero integral are

$$\underbrace{p_1^*(\omega) \wedge p_1^*(\bar{\omega}) \wedge p_2^*(\omega) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^*(\bar{\omega})}_{2n}$$

and all its permutations. Since  $\deg f = n!$ , we have

$$\delta_c(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma}) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} q(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})^n.$$

By Proposition 2 we conclude that

$$\gamma_c = \delta_c = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} q^n.$$

### Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Bob Friedman for various useful conversations. Thanks again to Friedman and to John Morgan for letting me read the preliminary version of their book on Gauge theory and the classification of smooth four-manifolds.

### References

- [1] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geometry **18** (1983) 755–782.
- [2] F. A. Bogomolov, *Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties*, Math. USSR-Izv. **13** (1979) No. 3, 499–555.
- [3] J. E. Brosius, *Rank-two vector bundles on a ruled surface. I*, Math. Ann. **265** (1983) 155–168.
- [4] S. K. Donaldson, *Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987) 231–247.
- [5] —, *Polynomial invariants for smooth four-manifolds*, Topology **29** (1990) 257–315.
- [6] R. Friedman, B. Moishezon & J. Morgan, *On the  $C^\infty$  invariance of the canonical class of certain algebraic surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17** (1987) 283–286.
- [7] R. Friedman & J. Morgan, *Gauge theory and the classification of smooth four-manifolds*, Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces, Springer, Berlin, to appear.
- [8] D. Gieseker, *On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977) 45–60.
- [9] A. Iarrobino, *Punctual Hilbert schemes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972) 819–823.
- [10] M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves. II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978) 557–614.
- [11] V. B. Mehta & A. Ramanathan, *Restriction of stable sheaves and representations of the fundamental group*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984) 163–172.
- [12] S. Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or  $K3$  surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984) 101–116.
- [13] —, *On the moduli spaces of bundles on  $K3$  surfaces. I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties, Oxford University Press, 1987.
- [14] M. Raynaud, *Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1982) 103–125.
- [15] A. N. Tyurin, *Symplectic structures on the varieties of moduli of vector bundles on algebraic surfaces with  $p_g > 0$* , Math. USSR-Izv. **33** (1989) 139–177.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

