

Integral Representations of Beppo Levi Functions of Higher Order

Yoshihiro MIZUTA

(Received January 10, 1974)

Introduction

If f is a C^1 -function with compact support on the Euclidean space R^n ($n \geq 3$), then it can be represented by its partial derivatives as follows:

$$(1) \quad f(x) = -\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} |x-t|^{2-n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i}(t) dt.$$

There are many ways to represent a C^m -function (m : positive integer) with compact support on R^n ($n \geq 2$) in terms of its partial derivatives of m -th order. Among them, the following two are regarded as generalizations of (1):

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha \varphi(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy$$

(Yu. G. Reshetnyak [9]), and

$$(3) \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha (|x-y|^{2m-n}) D^\alpha \varphi(y) dy \\ \quad \text{if } n-2m > 0 \text{ or } n \text{ is odd} \\ \quad \text{and } n-2m < 0, \\ \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c'_\alpha \int D^\alpha (|x-y|^{2m-n} \log|x-y|) D^\alpha \varphi(y) dy \\ \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n-2m \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

(H. Wallin [11]).

On the other hand, J. Deny and J. L. Lions [5] studied the space of Beppo Levi functions, e.g., the space $BL(L^p(R^n))$ of distributions on R^n whose partial derivatives belong to $L^p(R^n)$. They showed that any quasi continuous function f in $BL(L^2(R^n))$ ($n \geq 3$) is represented as (1) quasi everywhere, with an additional constant. M. Ohtsuka [8] extended their results to p -precise functions, which belong to $BL(L^p(R^3))$, and gave many other properties of precise functions in his lectures at Hiroshima University.

In this paper, we consider the space $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ of Beppo Levi functions

of higher order m , that is the space consisting of distributions on R^n whose partial derivatives of m -th order all belong to $L^p(R^n)$. To obtain fine results, we need a concept of (m, p) -capacity. For our purpose, the (m, p) -capacity introduced by H. Wallin [12], which is denoted by $\Gamma_{m,p}$, is best suited. However, since we fail to verify whether it is subadditive or not, we also consider another capacity $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$, which is subadditive, and in fact, a true capacity in the sense of M. Brelot [2]. We shall see that it is equivalent to $\Gamma_{m,p}$. Through our capacity $\Gamma_{m,p}$, we shall define (m, p) -quasi continuity of functions.

It is known that functions in the Sobolev space $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ are represented as Bessel potentials (cf. [1], [3], [9]). Using Bessel potentials, Yu. G. Reshetnyak defined (l, p) capacity and then gave a characterization of (l, p) polar sets (see [9; Theorem 5.8]). We shall show that in case l is a positive integer m , his capacity is equivalent to $(\Gamma_{m,p})^p$ and that his characterization can be given by using our integral representations.

Then we shall show that integral representation of the form (2) is possible for certain (m, p) -quasi continuous functions in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$. Integral representation of the form (3) was given by H. Wallin for functions in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ with compact supports (see [11; Lemmas 7 and 8]). We shall extend his result to the case where supports are not necessarily compact, and in fact we shall prove it in a way different from his.

In the final section, we shall discuss representation of (m, p) -quasi continuous functions in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ as Riesz potentials of functions in $L^p(R^n)$. It is an extension of M. Ohtsuka's result for p -precise functions given in [8]. To obtain our result we shall make use of the methods in the previous sections.

§1. Preliminaries

Let R^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space with points $x=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y=(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, etc. For a multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, we set $|\alpha|=\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and

$$D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

We shall use the following notations of L. Schwartz [10]: $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$, $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$.

In this paper, let $1 < p < \infty$. For a non-negative integer m , we denote by $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ the Sobolev space, that is, the space of all distributions F such that $D^\alpha F \in L^p(R^n)$ for any α with $|\alpha| \leq m$. The norm of F in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ is defined by

$$\|F\|_{m,p} = \left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |D^\alpha F|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the L^p -norm in R^n . It is well-known that $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ is a reflex-

ive Banach space if $1 < p < \infty$ (cf. [7]).

J. Deny and J. L. Lions introduced the following spaces ([5]): $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ is the space of Beppo Levi functions of order m attached to $L^p(R^n)$, that is, the space of all distributions T such that $D^\alpha T \in L^p(R^n)$ for any $|\alpha| = m$ with a semi-norm $|T|_{m,p} = \|(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha T|^2)^{1/2}\|_p$, and $BL_m \cdot (L^p(R^n))$ is the quotient space of $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ by the space of all polynomials of degree $\leq m - 1$. We note that if $F \in BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ has compact support, then $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ by [10; Chapitre 6, Théorème XV (Kryloff)].

§2. (m, p) -capacity

Let m be a non-negative integer. We introduce the notion of (m, p) -capacity. First, for a compact set $e \subset R^n$, we define

$$\Gamma_{m,p}(e) = \inf \{ \|\varphi\|_{m,p}; \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n), \varphi \geq 1 \text{ on } e \},$$

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) = \inf \{ \|\varphi\|_{m,p}; \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_+(R^n), \varphi \geq 1 \text{ on } e \},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_+(R^n) = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n); \varphi \geq 0 \text{ on } R^n \}$. Next, for an open set $\omega \subset R^n$, we define

$$\Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) = \sup_{e \subset \omega, e: \text{compact}} \Gamma_{m,p}(e),$$

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(\omega) = \sup_{e \subset \omega, e: \text{compact}} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(e).$$

Then we note that $\Gamma_{m,p}(e) = \inf \{ \Gamma_{m,p}(\omega); e \subset \omega, \omega \text{ is open} \}$ and $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) = \inf \{ \Gamma_{m,p}^+(\omega); e \subset \omega, \omega \text{ is open} \}$, which allow us to define for an arbitrary set $A \subset R^n$ the following quantities:

$$\Gamma_{m,p}(A) = \inf_{A \subset \omega, \omega: \text{open}} \Gamma_{m,p}(\omega),$$

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) = \inf_{A \subset \omega, \omega: \text{open}} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(\omega).$$

$\Gamma_{m,p}(A)$ is called the (m, p) -capacity of A (cf. [12]).

REMARK 2.1. It is easy to see that $\Gamma_{0,p}(A) = \Gamma_{0,p}^+(A) = \{ \text{outer Lebesgue measure of } A \}^{1/p}$. Furthermore, we have $\Gamma_{1,p}(A) = \Gamma_{1,p}^+(A)$, because, for $F \in BL_1(L^p(R^n))$, $|F| \in BL_1(L^p(R^n))$ and $|\text{grad } |F|| = |\text{grad } F|$ a.e. on R^n (see [5; Théorème 3.2 in p. 316]).

From the definitions, we can easily prove

LEMMA 2.1. (i) $\Gamma_{m,p}$ and $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$ are monotone increasing and continuous from the right.

(ii) $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$ is countably subadditive, that is

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A_j)$$

for a countable family $\{A_j\}$ of sets in R^n .

For relationship between $\Gamma_{m,p}$ and $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$, we have

LEMMA 2.2. There exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) \leq C \Gamma_{m,p}(e) \quad \text{for all compact set } e \text{ in } R^n.$$

From this lemma, the following theorem immediately follows:

THEOREM 2.1. There exists a constant $C \geq 1$ independent of A such that

$$\Gamma_{m,p}(A) \leq \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) \leq C \Gamma_{m,p}(A)$$

for any set A in R^n .

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. On account of Remark 2.1, it suffices to show the case $m \geq 2$. We use the Bessel kernel G_m , which is determined by the following properties:

- (i) G_m is a non-negative function belonging to $L^1(R^n)$,
- (ii) the Fourier transform of G_m is $(1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)^{-m/2}$.

It is known that a distribution F belongs to $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ if and only if there exists a function $f \in L^p(R^n)$ such that $F = G_m * f$ in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$, and that

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1} \|f\|_p \leq \|G_m * f\|_{m,p} \leq C \|f\|_p$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of f (see [3; Theorem 7]).

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$ and $\varphi > 1$ on e . We can write $\varphi = G_m * f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$. Then $G_m * f^+$ is obviously continuous and > 1 on e , where $f^+(x) = \max(0, f(x))$. If we show $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) \leq \|G_m * f^+\|_{m,p}$, then

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) \leq C \|f^+\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \leq C^2 \|\varphi\|_{m,p},$$

which implies $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) \leq C^2 \Gamma_{m,p}(e)$.

Take a function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_+(R^1)$ which is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of 0. Set

$$\psi_j(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq j \\ \psi(t-j) & \text{if } t > j, \end{cases}$$

and set $\tilde{\psi}_j(x) = \psi_j(|x|)$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{\psi}_j F \rightarrow F$ in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for any $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$. Let $\{h_k\}$ be a sequence of functions belonging to $\mathcal{D}_+(R^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(h_k) \subset \{x; |x| \leq 1/k\}$ and $\int h_k(x) dx = 1$. For each j and k $\{\tilde{\psi}_j(G_m * f^+)\} * h_k \in \mathcal{D}_+(R^n)$ and for sufficiently large j and k , we have $\{\tilde{\psi}_j(G_m * f^+)\} * h_k \geq 1$ on e . Therefore

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(e) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\{\tilde{\psi}_j(G_m * f^+)\} * h_k\|_{m,p} = \|G_m * f^+\|_{m,p}.$$

Thus Lemma 2.2 is proved.

REMARK 2.2. In the above proof, we have also shown that $\mathcal{D}_+(R^n)$ is dense in $W_+^{m,p}(R^n) = \{f \in W^{m,p}(R^n); f \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$ with respect to the topology of $W^{m,p}(R^n)$.

A set $A \subset R^n$ is called (m, p) -polar if $\Gamma_{m,p}(A) = 0$, or equivalently, $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) = 0$. If a property is true on a set $A \subset R^n$ except for an (m, p) -polar set in A , then we say that this property is true (m, p) -quasi everywhere or (m, p) -q.e. on A .

A function f is called (m, p) -quasi continuous if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $\omega \subset R^n$ such that $\Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) < \varepsilon$ and the restriction of f to $R^n - \omega$ is continuous.

By Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we can prove the following lemma in the same manner as J. Deny J. L. Lions [5].

LEMMA 2.3. For each $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$, we set $\Phi(F) = \{f; f \text{ is } (m, p)\text{-quasi continuous and equals } F \text{ a.e. on } R^n\}$. Then we have the following assertions:

(i) $\Phi(F)$ is non-empty, two functions of $\Phi(F)$ are equal to each other (m, p) -q.e., and any function which equals some function of $\Phi(F)$ (m, p) -q.e. belongs to $\Phi(F)$ (cf. [5; Théorème 3.1 in p. 354]).

(ii) If a sequence $\{F_j\}$ converges to F in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\{F_{j_k}\}$ of $\{F_j\}$ such that for any $f_{j_k} \in \Phi(F_{j_k})$ and any $f \in \Phi(F)$, $\{f_{j_k}\}$ converges to f (m, p) -q.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [5; Théorème 4.1 in p. 357]).

A distribution $T \in BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ can be considered as a function f with $D^\alpha f \in L_{loc}^p(R^n)$ for any α with $|\alpha| \leq m$. For this f , there exists an (m, p) -quasi continuous function equal to f a.e. (cf. [5; Théorème 3.1 in p. 354]).

Let ω be an open set in R^n . Denote by $\mathcal{W}^{m,p}(\omega)$ the class of all $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that $F \geq 1$ a.e. on ω . Then we show

$$\text{LEMMA 2.4. } \Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) = \inf \{\|F\|_{m,p}; F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(\omega)\}.$$

PROOF. Let e be a compact set in ω , and let $\{\tilde{\psi}_j\}$ and $\{h_k\}$ be the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Then for any $F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(\omega)$, we have $\Gamma_{m,p}(e) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tilde{\psi}_j F) * h_k\|_{m,p} = \|F\|_{m,p}$. Therefore $\Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) \leq \inf \{\|F\|_{m,p}; F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(\omega)\}$. To prove the converse inequality we may assume $\Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) < \infty$. Take a sequence

$\{e_j\}$ of compact sets such that $e_j \subset$ the interior of $e_{j+1} \subset \omega$ for any $j \geq 1$, and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j = \omega$. Next, for each j , choose $\{\varphi_j\} \subset \mathcal{D}(R^n)$ satisfying $\varphi_j \geq 1$ on e_j and $\|\varphi_j\|_{m,p} < \Gamma_{m,p}(e_j) + (1/j)$. Then $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a bounded set in the reflexive Banach space $W^{m,p}(R^n)$. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{\varphi_{j_k}\}$ of $\{\varphi_j\}$ and $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that $\varphi_{j_k} \rightarrow F$ weakly in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $F \geq 1$ a.e. on ω and $\|F\|_{m,p} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_{j_k}\|_{m,p} \leq \Gamma_{m,p}(\omega)$. Thus our lemma is proved.

Let A be a set in R^n . Denote by $\mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$ the closure of the class of all $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that $F \geq 1$ a.e. on a neighborhood of A .

THEOREM 2.2. *If $\Gamma_{m,p}(A) < \infty$, then there exists a unique $F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$ such that*

- (a) *if $f \in \Phi(F)$, then $f \geq 1$ (m, p)-q.e. on A ,*
- (b) *$\|F\|_{m,p} = \Gamma_{m,p}(A)$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, the class of all $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that $f \geq 1$ (m, p)-q.e. on A for any $f \in \Phi(F)$ is a closed set in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ and includes $\mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$. Therefore all $F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$ satisfy (a).

On the other hand $\mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$ is a closed convex subset of the reflexive Banach space $W^{m,p}(R^n)$, and by using Lemma 2.4, we see that $\Gamma_{m,p}(A) = \inf \{\|F\|_{m,p}; F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)\}$. This infimum is attained at a unique element $F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A)$ because of the uniform convexity of $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ (see [7; Chapitre 1, 3.3]). This F is the required one.

For any set A in R^n , we set $\mathcal{W}_+^{m,p}(A) = \{F \in \mathcal{W}^{m,p}(A); F \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } R^n\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}_+^{m,p}(A)$ is a closed convex set and consists of all F in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that any function of $\Phi(F)$ is ≥ 0 (m, p)-q.e. on R^n and ≥ 1 (m, p)-q.e. on A .

LEMMA 2.5. $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) = \inf \{\|F\|_{m,p}; F \in \mathcal{W}_+^{m,p}(A)\}$.

PROOF. Let $F \in \mathcal{W}_+^{m,p}(A)$. Then $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) \leq \|F\|_{m,p}$ can be shown in the same way as J. Deny and J. L. Lions [5; Lemme 4.1 in p. 356]. Hence we have $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) \leq \inf \{\|F\|_{m,p}; F \in \mathcal{W}_+^{m,p}(A)\}$. The converse inequality can be shown in the same way as in Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.2.

By this lemma, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.2'. *For an arbitrary set A with $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) < \infty$, there exists a unique $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that*

- (a) *for any $f \in \Phi(F)$, $f \geq 0$ (m, p)-q.e. and $f \geq 1$ (m, p)-q.e. on A ,*
- (b) *$\|F\|_{m,p} = \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A)$.*

DEFINITION. We shall denote by f_A any function in $\Phi(F)$ in Theorem 2.2'.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $\{A_j\}$ be any increasing sequence of sets in R^n , and set $A = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$. Then $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A_j) \uparrow \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Take $F_j \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ with the properties in Theorem 2.2' for each A_j . We may assume that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A_j) < \infty$. Then $\{F_j\}$ is bounded in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$. Therefore there exist a subsequence $\{F_{j_k}\}$ of $\{F_j\}$ and $F \in W^{m,p}(R^n)$ such that $F_{j_k} \rightarrow F$ weakly in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover we have $F \in \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{m,p}^+(A_j) = \mathcal{W}_{m,p}^+(A)$. Hence

$$\Gamma_{m,p}^+(A) \leq \|F\|_{m,p} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{j_k}\|_{m,p} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A_j) \leq \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A),$$

which implies that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A_j) = \Gamma_{m,p}^+(A)$.

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6 mean that $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$ is a true capacity in the sense of M. Brelot [2]. Thus we have

THEOREM 2.3. Any analytic set in R^n is capacitable with respect to $\Gamma_{m,p}^+$.

Yu. G. Reshetnyak defined the (l, p) capacity $\text{Cap}_{(l,p)} E$ of sets E in R^n in case l is a positive number and $p > 1$, as follows (see [9]):

$$\text{Cap}_{(l,p)} E = \inf \{ \|f\|_p; f \in L^p(R^n), \geq 0 \text{ and } G_l * f \geq 1 \text{ on } E \}.$$

As another application of Lemma 2.4 we give

THEOREM 2.4. In case l is a positive integer m and $mp \leq n$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(2.2) \quad C^{-1} \{ \Gamma_{m,p}(E) \}^p \leq \text{Cap}_{(m,p)} E \leq C \{ \Gamma_{m,p}(E) \}^p$$

for any set E in R^n .

PROOF. It suffices to show (2.2) for any open set ω in R^n because of the definition of $\Gamma_{m,p}$ and Lemma 2.2 in [9]. Recall that, if $f \in L^p(R^n)$, then $G_m * f$ belongs to $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ and satisfies (2.1). To show the left inequality of (2.2) for ω , choose a non-negative function f in $L^p(R^n)$ such that $G_m * f \geq 1$ everywhere on ω . (If such an f does not exist, then $\text{Cap}_{(m,p)} \omega = \infty$.) By (2.1) and Lemma 2.4 we have $C^{-p} \{ \Gamma_{m,p}(\omega) \}^p \leq \text{Cap}_{(m,p)} \omega$.

To give the right inequality of (2.2), it suffices to show it only for any compact set e in R^n by the capacitability of e for $\text{Cap}_{(m,p)}$ (Theorem 2.1 in [9]) and the definition of $\Gamma_{m,p}$. Let us choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$ so that $\varphi \geq 1$ on e . As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we write $\varphi = G_m * f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ and have

$$\text{Cap}_{(m,p)} e \leq \|f\|_p^p \leq C^p \|\varphi\|_{m,p}^p.$$

This yields $\text{Cap}_{(m,p)} e \leq C^p \{ \Gamma_{m,p}(e) \}^p$.

§3. Integral representation I

Hereafter, let m be a positive integer.

Let l be an integer and α a multi-index such that $m = |\alpha| - l + n \geq 1$. We set $\kappa(x) = x^\alpha / |x|^l$. For a multi-index β with $|\beta| = m$, we can express

$$(3.1) \quad (D^\beta \kappa)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k(x)}{|x|^{l+2k}},$$

where each $a_k(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(l + 2k) - n$, or constantly zero. We shall show that $K = D^\beta \kappa$ fulfills the conditions for a kernel listed on p. 89 of [4]. In our case,

$$\Omega\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k(x)}{|x|^{l+2k-n}} \quad \text{and} \quad K(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^n} \Omega\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right).$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^m a_k(x) / |x|^{l+2k-n}$ is a homogeneous function of degree 0, we can consider $\Omega(x)$ as a function on the unit sphere with center at the origin of R^n . If $|x| = |y| = 1$, then

$$|\Omega(x) - \Omega(y)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k(x) - a_k(y)| \leq c|x - y|$$

for some positive constant c . Next we show

LEMMA 3.1. $\int_{|x|=1} K(x) dS(x) = 0.$

PROOF. First we observe

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{|x|=1} x^\gamma dS(x) = \frac{2 \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{\gamma_i}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma_i + 1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n + |\gamma|}{2}\right)}$$

for a multi-index γ , which can be obtained from an elementary calculus.

We prove the lemma by induction with respect to m . Let α, β and l be given so that $|\alpha| - l + n = |\beta| = 1$. Then we have

$$D^\beta \left(\frac{x^\alpha}{|x|^l}\right) = \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{x^{\alpha-\beta}}{|x|^l} - l \frac{x^{\alpha+\beta}}{|x|^{l+2}}$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i!}{\beta_i! (\alpha_i - \beta_i)!} & \text{if } \alpha_i \geq \beta_i \text{ for all } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using (3.2), we have

$$\int_{|x|=1} D^\beta \left(\frac{x^\alpha}{|x|^l} \right) dS(x) = \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{2 \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{\alpha_i - \beta_i}}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha_i - \beta_i + 1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{n + |\alpha - \beta|}{2} \right)} - l \frac{2 \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i}}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{n + |\alpha + \beta|}{2} \right)}$$

Since $|\beta|=1$, the right-hand side is seen to be zero.

Next we assume that the lemma is true for $|\alpha| - l + n = |\beta| = m$. Let α, β and l be given so that $|\alpha| - l + n = |\beta| = m + 1$. Writing $\beta = \gamma + \delta$, where $|\gamma|=1$ and $|\delta|=m$, we have

$$D^\beta \left(\frac{x^\alpha}{|x|^l} \right) = \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} D^\delta \left(\frac{x^{\alpha-\gamma}}{|x|^l} \right) - l D^\delta \left(\frac{x^{\alpha+\gamma}}{|x|^{l+2}} \right).$$

Here if $\alpha - \gamma$ is not a multi-index, the first term of the right-hand side disappears, and if otherwise, $|\alpha - \gamma| - l + n = m$. Moreover $|\alpha + \gamma| - (l + 2) + n = m$. Consequently, by the assumption of induction, we obtain

$$\int_{|x|=1} D^\delta \left(\frac{x^{\alpha-\gamma}}{|x|^l} \right) dS(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x|=1} D^\delta \left(\frac{x^{\alpha+\gamma}}{|x|^{l+2}} \right) dS(x) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{|x|=1} D^\beta \left(\frac{x^\alpha}{|x|^l} \right) dS(x) = 0.$$

Thus Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Let f be a function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For a positive integer j , we set $K_{(1/j)}(x) = K(x)$ if $|x| \geq 1/j$ and $= 0$ if $|x| < 1/j$. Then, we can apply the results of singular integrals in [4] and obtain:

(i) $K_{(1/j)} * f$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each j , and converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ ([4; Theorems 1 and 7]),

(ii)

$$(3.3) \quad \|K_{(1/j)} * f\|_p \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_p \quad ([4; \text{Theorem 1}]).$$

Next, we consider $\kappa_j(x) = x^\alpha / (|x|^2 + (1/j)^2)^{l/2}$. Let f be a function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$(3.4) \quad \int (1 + |x|)^{m-n} |f(x)| dx = \int (1 + |x|)^{|\alpha| - l} |f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

or equivalently,

$$\int |x - y|^{m-n} |f(y)| dy \neq \infty$$

(see Remark in p. 191 of [6] and also Lemma 9.1 of [8]). We set

$$(\kappa * f)(x) = \int \frac{(x - y)^{\alpha}}{|x - y|^l} f(y) dy$$

and $\kappa_j * f$ is similarly defined. By our assumptions, it is easy to see that $\kappa_j * f \in C^\infty$ and $D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) = (D^\beta \kappa_j) * f$ for any β . Furthermore we have

LEMMA 3.2. For any multi-index β with $|\beta| = m$, $D^\beta(\kappa_j * f)$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. We can write

$$(D^\beta(\kappa_j * f))(x) - (K_{(1/j)} * f)(x) = j^n \int \theta_\beta(j(x - y)) f(y) dy$$

where $\theta_\beta = D^\beta \kappa_1 - K_{(1)}$. We shall show that $\theta_\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. First we notice that

$$D^\beta \kappa_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k(x)}{(|x|^2 + 1)^{(l+2k)/2}}$$

for the same $a_k(x)$ as in (3.1). Therefore if $|x| \geq 1$, then

$$\theta_\beta(x) = - \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k(x)}{(|x|^2 + 1)^{(l+2k)/2} |x|^{l+2k}} ((|x|^2 + 1)^{(l+2k)/2} - |x|^{l+2k})$$

and $(|x|^2 + 1)^{(l+2k)/2} - |x|^{l+2k} = O(|x|^{l+2k-2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\theta_\beta(x) = O(|x|^{-n-2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, because each $a_k(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(l+2k) - n$, or constantly zero. Thus $\theta_\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We set $A_\beta = \int \theta_\beta(x) dx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (D^\beta(\kappa_j * f))(x) - (K_{(1/j)} * f)(x) - A_\beta f(x) \\ &= j^n \int \theta_\beta(j(x - y)) f(y) dy - \int \theta_\beta(y) f(x) dy \\ &= \int \theta_\beta(y) \{f(x - (y/j)) - f(x)\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - K_{(1/j)} * f - A_\beta f\|_p^p \\ & \leq \left(\int |\theta_\beta(y)| dy \right)^{p/q} \int |\theta_\beta(y)| \left(\int |f(x - (y/j)) - f(x)|^p dx \right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$. Noting that $\theta_\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\int |f(x - (y/j))|^p dx = \int |f(x)|^p dx$ and that $\int |f(x - (y/j)) - f(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly as $j \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \|D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - K_{(1/j)} * f - A_\beta f\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ by Lebesgue's convergence theorem. This yields Lemma 3.2.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let f be a function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (3.4). Suppose $|\beta| = m$. Then*

- (i) $D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) \rightarrow D^\beta(\kappa * f)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\|D^\beta(\kappa * f)\|_p \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_p$,
- (iii) $\kappa * f$ is (m, p) -quasi continuous.

PROOF. From Lemma 3.2, it follows that $\kappa_j * f$ is a Cauchy sequence in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then there exist a sequence $\{P_j\}$ of polynomials of degree $\leq m - 1$ and $u \in BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $\kappa_j * f \rightarrow u$ in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $D^{\beta'}(\kappa_j * f + P_j) \rightarrow D^{\beta'}u$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for any β' with $|\beta'| \leq m$ (see [5; Théorème 2.1 in Chap. III]).

First we consider the special case:

$$\kappa(x) = |x|^{m-n} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_j(x) = (|x|^2 + (1/j)^2)^{(m-n)/2}.$$

Since $\kappa_j * |f| \rightarrow \kappa * |f|$ pointwise as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a polynomial P_0 of degree $\leq m - 1$ such that $\kappa * |f| = u - P_0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi(\kappa_j * |f|) \rightarrow \varphi(\kappa * |f|)$ in $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 2.3, (ii), that $\varphi(\kappa * |f|)$ is (m, p) -quasi continuous, which means that $\kappa * |f|$ is (m, p) -quasi continuous and that $\left\{x; \int |x - y|^{m-n} |f(y)| dy = \infty\right\}$ is (m, p) -polar.

Now we consider the general case. We observe that $\kappa_j * f$ converges to $\kappa * f$ except on an (m, p) -polar set, in fact, except on the set $\left\{x; \int |x - y|^{m-n} |f(y)| dy = \infty\right\}$. Therefore, in a way similar to the above, we obtain (i) and (iii). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\beta(\kappa * f)\|_p &\leq \|D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - D^\beta(\kappa * f)\|_p \\ &\quad + \|D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - K_{(1/j)} * f - A_\beta f\|_p \\ &\quad + \|K_{(1/j)} * f\|_p + \|A_\beta\| \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

for any j . Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.3) and (3.5), we have (ii) of the lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. (Yu. G. Reshetnyak [9; Lemma 6.2]) *For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can write*

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha \varphi(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy$$

where $a_\alpha = (-1)^m m! / (\alpha! \omega_n)$, ω_n being the surface area of the unit sphere in R^n .

THEOREM 3.1. Let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function belonging to $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \int (1+|x|)^{m-n} |D^\alpha f(x)| dx < \infty \quad \text{for any } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha|=m.$$

If there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ of functions in $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$ such that $\varphi_j \rightarrow f$ in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(3.7) \quad f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha f(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy + P(x) \quad (m, p)\text{-q.e.},$$

where P is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$.

PROOF. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha \varphi_j(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy.$$

Here we set

$$G_f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha f(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy.$$

From Lemma 3.3, it follows that G_f is (m, p) -quasi continuous and that $\varphi_j \rightarrow G_f$ in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $G_j = f$ in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$. Hence there exists a polynomial P of degree $\leq m-1$ such that $f = G_f + P$ a.e. on R^n , which implies Theorem 3.1 by virtue of Lemma 2.3.

REMARK 3.1. Let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ satisfying (3.6). Then we have (3.7), because there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ of functions in $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$ such that $\varphi_j \rightarrow f$ in $W^{m,p}(R^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Remark 2.2).

REMARK 3.2. Let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function belonging to $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$. If f has compact support, then we have (3.7). Moreover if $(1 \leq m < n)$, then $P=0$.

REMARK 3.3. In Theorem 3.1, if $(m <) mp < n$, we can omit (3.6). In fact, in this case,

$$\int \frac{|D^\alpha f(x)|}{(1+|x|)^{n-m}} dx \leq \left(\int |D^\alpha f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int \frac{dx}{(1+|x|)^{q(n-m)}} \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$.

REMARK 3.4. In case $m=1$, these Remarks and Theorem 3.1 were given by M. Ohtsuka [8; Theorem 9.11].

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have

THEOREM 3.2. (cf. [9; Theorem 5.8] and [12; Theorem 1]) A set A in R^n is (m, p) -polar if and only if there exists a non-negative function f in $L^p(R^n)$ satisfying (3.4) such that $\int |x-y|^{m-n} f(y) dy = \infty$ for every $x \in A$.

PROOF. The "if" part was observed in the proof of Lemma 3.3. We prove the "only if" part. Suppose $m < n$. First we consider the case where A is bounded. Take a sequence $\{\omega_j\}$ of open sets in R^n such that ω_1 is bounded, $\omega_j \supset \omega_{j+1} \supset A$ and $\Gamma_{m,p}^+(\omega_j) < 1/2^j$ for each $j \geq 1$. Let φ be a non-negative function in $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on ω_1 . By Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we have

$$(\varphi f_{\omega_j})(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \int \frac{(x-y)^\alpha D^\alpha(\varphi f_{\omega_j})(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy \quad (m, p)\text{-}q.e.$$

(for the notation f_{ω_j} see the Definition given after Theorem 2.2'). This implies that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha| \int |x-y|^{m-n} |D^\alpha(\varphi f_{\omega_j})(y)| dy \geq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \omega_j.$$

We set $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \{ \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha| |D^\alpha(\varphi f_{\omega_j})| \}$. Then f is a non-negative function in $L^p(R^n)$ with compact support. Moreover, for $x \in A$, we obtain $\int |x-y|^{m-n} f(y) dy = \infty$.

Next we consider the general case. For each j , we set $A_j = A \cap \{x; |x| \leq j\}$. Then from the above argument, for each j , there exists a non-negative function $f_j \in L^p(R^n)$ satisfying (3.4) such that $\int |x-y|^{m-n} f_j(y) dy = \infty$ for every $x \in A_j$. By Lemmas 3.3 and 2.1, the set $B = \cup_{j=1}^\infty \{x; \int |x-y|^{m-n} f_j(y) dy = \infty\}$ is seen to be (m, p) -polar. Hence there exists a point $x_0 \notin B$. Set $c_j = \int |x_0-y|^{m-n} f_j(y) dy$, $\tilde{c}_j = 2^j \max \{c_j, \|f_j\|_p, 1\}$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (1/\tilde{c}_j) f_j$. Then $\int |x_0-y|^{m-n} f(y) dy < \infty$ and $\int |x-y|^{m-n} f(y) dy = \infty$ for any $x \in A$. Thus f is the required function.

If $m \geq n$, then $A = \emptyset$ on account of the next proposition, so that we may take $f=0$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Any non-empty set A in R^n is not (m, p) -polar if and only if $mp > n$.

This can be proved in the same way as H. Wallin [12; Proposition 2].

By using our integral representation, we can prove the following theorem; cf. Theorem 13.5 in [1].

THEOREM 3.3. *Let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function belonging to $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then any partial derivative of f of order α with $|\alpha| \leq m$ exists $(m - |\alpha|, p)$ -q.e. and is $(m - |\alpha|, p)$ -quasi continuous.*

§ 4. Integral representation II

In this section, we study a representation of the form (3) (see Introduction). We denote by Δ_m the Laplace operator iterated m times. First we show

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $H \in BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. If $\Delta_m H = 0$, then H is a polynomial of degree $\leq m - 1$.*

PROOF. Let α be any multi-index with $|\alpha| = m$, and set $T = D^\alpha H$. By our assumptions, $T \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Delta_m T = 0$ in the distribution sense. Then the Fourier transform of T exists and

$$(-4\pi^2|x|^2)^m \mathcal{F}(T) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta_m T) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{F}(T)$ denotes the Fourier transform of T . Hence $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is supported by $\{0\}$, so that we can write $\mathcal{F}(T) = \sum_\beta c_\beta D^\beta \delta$, where δ is the Dirac measure and constants c_β are equal to 0 except for a finite number of β . Therefore T is a polynomial. Noting that $T \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $T = 0$. Thus H is seen to be a polynomial of degree $\leq m - 1$.

We note the following well-known representation of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: If $n - 2m > 0$ or n is odd and $n - 2m < 0$, then

$$\varphi(x) = c \int |x - y|^{2m-n} \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy$$

and if $n - 2m \leq 0$ and n is even, then

$$\varphi(x) = c' \int |x - y|^{2m-n} \log |x - y| \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy$$

where c and c' are certain constants. Furthermore notice that Δ_m is of the form $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha D^{2\alpha}$ for suitable constants \tilde{c}_α . Setting $c_\alpha = (-1)^m c \tilde{c}_\alpha$ and $c'_\alpha = (-1)^m c' \tilde{c}_\alpha$, we have

LEMMA 4.2. (*H. Wallin [11; p. 71]*) *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If either $n - 2m > 0$ or n is odd and $n - 2m < 0$, then*

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n}) D^\alpha \varphi(y) dy,$$

and if $n-2m \leq 0$ and n is even, then

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c'_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n} \log|x-y|) D^\alpha \varphi(y) dy,$$

where c_α and c'_α are constants.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ such that $\int (1+|x|)^{m-n} |D^\alpha f(x)| dx < \infty$ for any α with $|\alpha|=m$. If either $n-2m > 0$ or n is odd and $n-2m < 0$, then*

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n}) D^\alpha f(y) dy + P(x) \quad (m, p)\text{-q.e.},$$

and if n is even and $m < n \leq 2m$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c'_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n} \log|x-y|) D^\alpha f(y) dy + P(x) \quad (m, p)\text{-q.e.},$$

where c_α and c'_α are the same constants as in Lemma 4.2 and P is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$.

PROOF. First, suppose $n-2m > 0$ or n is odd and $n-2m < 0$. We set $G_f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n}) D^\alpha f(y) dy$. By Lemma 3.3, G_f is seen to be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function belonging to $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$. In view of our assumption that $\int (1+|x|)^{m-n} |D^\alpha f(x)| dx < \infty$ for any α with $|\alpha|=m$, we can apply Fubini's theorem, and have

$$\begin{aligned} \int G_f(x) \Delta_m \varphi(x) dx &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha f(y) dy \int D_y^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n}) \Delta_m \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha f(y) dy D_y^\alpha \int |x-y|^{2m-n} \Delta_m \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \int D^\alpha f(y) \frac{1}{c} D^\alpha \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int f(y) \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (-1)^m \frac{c_\alpha}{c} D^{2\alpha} \varphi(y) \right\} dy \\ &= \int f(y) \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where c is the same constant as given after Lemma 4.1. Therefore, $\Delta_m(f - G_f) = 0$

in the distribution sense. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a polynomial P of degree $\leq m-1$ such that $f-G_f=P$ a.e. on R^n . Thus $f=G_f+P$ (m, p)-q.e. on account of Lemma 2.3.

The second half of the theorem is similarly obtained, since if $m < n$ and n is even then $D^\alpha(|x|^{2m-n} \log|x|)$ is a linear combination of functions like κ in § 3.

To consider the remaining case, we first prove the following lemma similar to Lemma 3.3.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $|\alpha|=m-n \geq 0$, and set $\kappa(x)=x^\alpha \log|x|$. Let f be a non-negative function in $L^p(R^n)$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \int (1+|x|)^{m-n} \log(1+|x|) f(x) dx < \infty.$$

*Then $\kappa * f$ is a continuous function belonging to $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$.*

PROOF. Set $\kappa_j(x)=x^\alpha \log(|x|^2+(1/j)^2)^{1/2}$. Then $\kappa_j * f \in C^\infty$. Moreover, recalling the discussions in § 3, we infer that $\{\kappa_j * f\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$. If $\alpha=0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa_j * f)(x) &= \log 2 \int_{|x-y|<1} f(y) dy - \int_{|x-y|<1} \log \frac{2}{\sqrt{|x-y|^2+(1/j)^2}} f(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} \log \sqrt{|x-y|^2+(1/j)^2} f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's convergence theorem, the second term of the right-hand side increases to $\int_{|x-y|<1} (\log 2/|x-y|) f(y) dy$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and the last term decreases to $\int_{|x-y|\geq 1} \log|x-y| f(y) dy$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ because of (4.1). Therefore $(\kappa_j * f)(x) \rightarrow (\kappa * f)(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $|\alpha| \geq 1$, then since $|(x-y)^\alpha \log(|x-y|^2+(1/j)^2)^{1/2}| \leq \text{const.} (1+|y|)^{m-n} \log(2+|y|)$, $(\kappa_j * f)(x) \rightarrow (\kappa * f)(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ by Lebesgue's convergence theorem. Hence, in a way similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3 $\kappa * f$ is shown to be (m, p)-quasi continuous. Because of Proposition 3.1, any (m, p)-quasi continuous function is continuous for $mp > n$. Thus we obtain the lemma.

On account of this lemma we can prove the following theorem in the same way as Theorem 4.1:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let n be even and $n \leq m$. Let f be an (m, p)-quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(R^n))$ such that*

$$\int (1+|x|)^{m-n} \log(1+|x|) |D^\alpha f(x)| dx < \infty \quad \text{for any } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha|=m.$$

Then we have the following representation of f :

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c'_\alpha \int D^\alpha(|x-y|^{2m-n} \log|x-y|) D^\alpha f(y) dy + P(x),$$

where P is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$, and c'_α are the same constants as in Lemma 4.2.

REMARK 4.1. The function f in the above theorem is continuous by Proposition 3.1.

§5. A representation by Riesz potentials of functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Given a multi-index α and a number l , we set $\kappa(x) = x^\alpha/|x|^l$ and $\kappa_j(x) = x^\alpha/(|x|^2 + (1/j)^2)^{l/2}$ for each positive integer j . Let β be any multi-index with $|\beta| = m$ and set $K = D^\beta \kappa$. For a function f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the convolutions $\kappa * f$, $\kappa_j * f$ and $K_{(1/j)} * f$ make sense (see §3).

Suppose that $|\alpha| - l + n = m$. Then we see from (i) and (ii) stated after Lemma 3.1 in §3 that $K_{(1/j)} * f$ converges to a function $R_{\alpha,l}^\beta f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \|R_{\alpha,l}^\beta f\|_p \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_p.$$

First we show

LEMMA 5.1. Let α, l be given so that $m \leq |\alpha| - l + n < m + 2$. If a function f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\int (1 + |x|)^{|\alpha|-l} |f(x)| dx < \infty$, then $\kappa * f$ is an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $D^\beta(\kappa * f) = R_{\alpha,l}^\beta f + a_{\alpha,l}^\beta f$ for any β with $|\beta| = m$, where

$$a_{\alpha,l}^\beta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < |\alpha| - l + n < m + 2, \\ A_\beta & \text{defined in the proof of Lemma 3.2} \\ \text{if } |\alpha| - l + n = m. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. First consider the case $|\alpha| - l + n = m$. From Lemma 3.3, we see that $\kappa * f$ is an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and that $\kappa_j * f \rightarrow \kappa * f$ in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In the proof of Lemma 3.2, we showed that $D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - K_{(1/j)} * f - a_{\alpha,l}^\beta f$ tends to 0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we have $D^\beta(\kappa * f) = R_{\alpha,l}^\beta f + a_{\alpha,l}^\beta f$.

Next let us consider the case where $m < |\alpha| - l + n < m + 2$. We note

$$|D^\beta \kappa(x)| \leq C|x|^{|\alpha|-l-m} \quad \text{for all } x$$

and

$$|D^\beta \kappa_j(x) - D^\beta \kappa(x)| \leq C|x|^{\alpha-l-m-2} \quad \text{for all } x \text{ with } |x| \geq N$$

where C and N are constants. Hence by using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int |D^\beta \kappa_j - D^\beta \kappa| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that $\{\kappa_j * f\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. In a way similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we see that $\kappa * f$ is an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and that $\kappa_j * f$ converges to $\kappa * f$ in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^\beta(\kappa_j * f) - K_{(1/j)} * f\|_p^p \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} \left\{ \left(\int |D^\beta \kappa_j - D^\beta \kappa| dx \right)^p + \left(\int_{|x| \leq 1/j} |D^\beta \kappa| dx \right)^p \right\} \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side tends to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we obtain $D^\beta(\kappa * f) = R_{\alpha, l}^\beta f$ and the lemma is proved.

For a number l and a function f , we set

$$Uf(x) = \int |x - y|^{l-n} f(y) dy.$$

By the above lemma we have

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose that $m \leq l < m + 2$. If a function f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\int (1 + |x|)^{l-n} |f(x)| dx < \infty$, then Uf is an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and*

$$(5.2) \quad D^\beta(Uf) = R_{0, n-l}^\beta f + a_{0, n-l}^\beta f$$

for any β with $|\beta| = m$.

REMARK 5.1. In case $m = 1$, Theorem 5.1 was given by M. Ohtsuka [8; Theorem 9.6].

Let $2m < n$. As was seen in § 4, any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is written in the form: $\varphi(x) = c \int |x - y|^{2m-n} \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy$, where $\Delta_m = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha D^{2\alpha}$. By Riesz's composition formula, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \int |x - z|^{m-n} dz \int |z - y|^{m-n} \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy,$$

where

$$c(m, m) = \pi^{n/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2m}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma(m)}.$$

Setting $\psi(z) = \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \int |z-y|^{m-n} \Delta_m \varphi(y) dy$, we have by (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha \int |z-y|^{m-n} D^{2\alpha} \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha \{ (R_{0, n-m}^\alpha D^\alpha \varphi)(z) + a_{0, n-m}^\alpha D^\alpha \varphi(z) \}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity we write R_α and a_α for $R_{0, n-m}^\alpha$ and $a_{0, n-m}^\alpha$ respectively. Then we obtain

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $2m < n$, and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$. Then $\varphi = U_m^\psi$, where $\psi = \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha (R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha \varphi$.*

LEMMA 5.3. *Let $m \leq |\alpha| - l + n < m + 1$. If $\varphi \in C^m$ satisfies $|D^\gamma \varphi| = O(|x|^{-|\gamma|-1})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for each γ with $|\gamma| \leq m$, then*

$$\int_{|x-y|>r} (D^\beta \kappa)(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \rightarrow (\kappa * D^\beta \varphi)(x) - a_{\alpha, l}^\beta \varphi(x) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0$$

for all x , where $|\beta| = m$.

PROOF. We write $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i$ where $|\beta_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and set $\gamma_0 = 0$, $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|x-y|>r} (D^\beta \kappa)(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{r < |x-y| < R} (D^\beta \kappa)(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{r < |x-y| < R} \kappa(x-y) D^\beta \varphi(y) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\{y; |x-y|=r\} \cup \{y; |x-y|=R\}} (D^{\gamma_{i-1}} \kappa)(x-y) (D^{\beta-\gamma_i} \varphi)(y) n_y \cdot \beta_i dS(y) \right] \\ &= \int_{|x-y|>r} \kappa(x-y) D^\beta \varphi(y) dy \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{|x-y|=r} (D^{\gamma_{i-1}} \kappa)(x-y) (D^{\beta-\gamma_i} \varphi)(y) n_y \cdot \beta_i dS(y), \end{aligned}$$

where n_y means the outward normal on the boundary of the domain $\{y; r < |x - y| < R\}$. Hence we obtain

$$\int_{|x-y|>r} (D^\beta \kappa)(x-y)\varphi(y)dy \rightarrow \int \kappa(x-y)D^\beta \varphi(y)dy - c\varphi(x) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

where $c = \int_{|x-y|=r} (D^{\gamma_{m-1}}\kappa)(x-y)n_y \cdot \beta_m dS(y) = \int_{|y|=1} (D^{\gamma_{m-1}}\kappa)(y)y^{\beta_m} dS(y)$ if $|\alpha| - l + n = m$ and $= 0$ otherwise. This c is just equal to $a_{\alpha,1}^\beta$. In fact, if $|\alpha| - l + n = m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha,1}^\beta &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|<R} \theta_\beta(x)dx \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|=R} [(D^{\beta-\beta_m}\kappa_1)(x) - (D^{\beta-\beta_m}\kappa)(x)]n_x \cdot \beta_m dS(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|=1} (D^{\beta-\beta_m}\kappa)(x)x^{\beta_m} dS(x) \\ &= c. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.4. *Let $2m < n$. Then for a function f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha (R_\alpha + a_\alpha)^2 f = f.$$

PROOF. It suffices to show (5.3) for $f = \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on account of (5.1). We note that $R_\alpha \varphi = |x|^{m-n} * D^\alpha \varphi - a_\alpha \varphi \in C^\infty$ and that $|D^\gamma(R_\alpha \varphi)| = O(|x|^{-m-1})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for any γ with $|\gamma| \leq m$. From Lemma 5.3 it follows that $R_\alpha(R_\alpha \varphi) = |x|^{m-n} * D^\alpha (R_\alpha \varphi) - a_\alpha R_\alpha \varphi$. Using (5.2) and Riesz's composition formula, we have

$$(R_\alpha + a_\alpha)^2 \varphi = c(m, m)|x|^{2m-n} * D^{2\alpha} \varphi,$$

which yields (5.3) with $f = \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus the lemma is shown.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $2m < n$, and let f be an (m, p) -quasi continuous function such that there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converging to f in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. If*

$$(5.4) \quad \int (1 + |x|)^{m-n} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha (R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha f \right| dx < \infty,$$

then there exists a function $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad \int (1 + |x|)^{m-n} |g(x)| dx < \infty$$

and

$$f = U_m^g + P \quad (m, p)\text{-}q.e.$$

for some polynomial P of degree $\leq m-1$; actually

$$(5.6) \quad g = \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha(R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha f.$$

Conversely if there exists a function $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (5.5) and $f - U_m^g$ is equal $(m, p)\text{-}q.e.$ to a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$, then (5.4) and (5.6) are fulfilled.

PROOF. Assume (5.4). By Lemma 5.2, we can write $\varphi_j = U_m^{\psi_j}$, where $\psi_j = \frac{c}{c(m, m)} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha(R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha \varphi_j$. Denote by g the right-hand side of (5.6). Then $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and by (5.1), $\|\psi_j - g\|_p$ tends to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore from Theorem 5.1, it follows that U_m^g is an (m, p) -quasi continuous function in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and that $\varphi_j = U_m^{\psi_j} \rightarrow U_m^g$ in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $f = (U_m^g)$ in $BL_m(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$, so that there exists a polynomial P of degree $\leq m-1$ such that $f = U_m^g + P$ $(m, p)\text{-}q.e.$

Conversely suppose that $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (5.5) and that $f - U_m^g$ is equal $(m, p)\text{-}q.e.$ to a polynomial of degree at most $m-1$. By (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha(R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha f &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha(R_\alpha + a_\alpha) D^\alpha U_m^g \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \tilde{c}_\alpha(R_\alpha + a_\alpha)^2 g \\ &= \frac{c(m, m)}{c} g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.6) is fulfilled and then so is (5.4) by assumption (5.5).

REMARK 5.2. If $mp < n$, then condition (5.4) is satisfied.

REMARK 5.3. In case the support of f is compact, then condition (5.4) is satisfied. Moreover, in this case, $f = U_m^g$ $(m, p)\text{-}q.e.$, where g is the right-hand side of (5.6).

REMARK 5.4. In case $m=1$, these Remarks and Theorem 5.2 were given by M. Ohtsuka [8; Theorem 9.7].

References

- [1] N. Aronszajn, F. Mulla and P. Steptycki, On spaces of potentials connected with L^p classes, Ann. Inst. Fourier **13** (1963), 211-306.
- [2] M. BreLOT, Lectures on potential theory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960.

- [3] A. P. Calderón, Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **Vol. IV**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1961, 33–49.
- [4] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, On the existence of certain singular integrals, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139.
- [5] J. Deny and J. L. Lions, Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi, Ann. Inst. Fourier **5** (1955), 305–370.
- [6] B. Fuglede, Extremal length and functional completion, Acta Math. **98** (1957), 171–219.
- [7] J. L. Lions, Problèmes aux limites dans les équations aux dérivées partielles, Sémin. Math. Sup. Univ. Montréal, **No. 1**, 1962.
- [8] M. Ohtsuka, Extremal length and precise functions in 3-space, Lecture notes, Hiroshima University, 1973.
- [9] Yu. G. Reshetnyak, The concept of capacity in the theory of functions with generalized derivatives, Siberian Math. J. **10** (1969), 818–842.
- [10] L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [11] H. Wallin, Continuous functions and potential theory, Ark. Math. **5** (1963), 55–84.
- [12] H. Wallin, Riesz potentials, k , p -capacity and p -modules, Mich. Math. J. **18** (1971), 257–263.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*