

Bessel capacity of symmetric generalized Cantor sets

KAORU HATANO

(Received June 26, 1986)

§1. Introduction

In [8] M. Ohtsuka obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for a symmetric generalized Cantor set to be of zero α -(or logarithmic-) capacity. In the non-linear potential theory the Bessel capacity of Cantor sets of special type was estimated in Maz'ya and Khavin [6]. In order to explain their results, let us recall the definitions of Bessel capacity and symmetric generalized Cantor sets.

Let $g_\alpha = g_\alpha(x)$ be the Bessel kernel of order α , $0 < \alpha < \infty$, on the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n ($n \geq 1$), whose Fourier transform is $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$. The Bessel capacity $B_{\alpha,p}$ is defined as follows: For a set $A \subset R^n$,

$$B_{\alpha,p}(A) = \inf \int f(x)^p dx,$$

the infimum being taken over all functions $f \in L_p^+$ such that

$$g_\alpha * f(x) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

We shall always assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha p \leq n$.

Let $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of integers and $\{\ell_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $k_j \geq 2$ and $k_{j+1}\ell_{j+1} < \ell_j$ ($j \geq 0$). Let $\delta_{j+1} = (\ell_j - k_{j+1}\ell_{j+1}) / (k_{j+1} - 1)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$). Let I be a closed interval of length ℓ_0 in R^1 . In the first step, we remove from I $(k_1 - 1)$ open intervals each of the same length δ_1 so that k_1 closed intervals $I_i^{(1)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k_1$) each of length ℓ_1 remain. Set $E^{(1)} = \cup_{i=1}^{k_1} I_i^{(1)}$. Next in the second step, we remove from each $I_i^{(1)}$ $(k_2 - 1)$ open intervals each of the same length δ_2 so that k_2 closed intervals $I_{i,j}^{(2)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, k_2$) each of length ℓ_2 remain. We set $E^{(2)} = \cup_{i=1}^{k_1} \cup_{j=1}^{k_2} I_{i,j}^{(2)}$. We continue this process and obtain $E^{(j)}$, $j \geq 1$. We define $E = \cap_{j=1}^\infty E_n^{(j)}$, where the set $E_n^{(j)} = E^{(j)} \times \dots \times E^{(j)}$ is the product set of n $E^{(j)}$'s in R^n . We call the set E the n -dimensional symmetric generalized Cantor set constructed by the system $[\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\ell_j\}_{j=0}^\infty]$.

The Cantor set E considered by Maz'ya and Khavin [6] is the one constructed as above with $k_j = 2$ for all $j \geq 1$. For such a Cantor set E , they proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *If $\alpha p < n$, then*

$B_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-jn/(p-1)} \ell_j^{(\alpha p - n)/(p-1)} = \infty$

and if $\alpha p = n$, then

$B_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-jn/(p-1)} (-\log \ell_j) = \infty$.

In this paper we obtain upper and lower estimates for the Bessel capacity of symmetric generalized Cantor sets. Namely, we shall prove

THEOREM. *Let E be the n -dimensional symmetric generalized Cantor set constructed by the system $[\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \{\ell_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}]$ with $\ell_0 \leq 1$. If $\alpha p < n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \{ \ell_0^{(\alpha p - n)/(p-1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n/(p-1)} \ell_j^{(\alpha p - n)/(p-1)} \}^{1-p} \\ \leq B_{\alpha,p}(E) \leq C \{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n/(p-1)} \ell_j^{(\alpha p - n)/(p-1)} \}^{1-p} \end{aligned}$$

and if $\alpha p = n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \{ 1 + (-\log \ell_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n/(p-1)} (-\log \ell_j) \}^{1-p} \\ \leq B_{\alpha,p}(E) \leq C \{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n/(p-1)} (-\log \ell_j) \}^{1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

where the number $C (\geq 1)$ depends only on n , p and α .

In case $p=2$, this theorem is a refinement of Ohtsuka's result in [8]. Clearly, Theorem A is a corollary of this theorem.

As an application of our estimates we construct a set which belongs to the (β, q) -fine topology $\tau_{\beta,q}$ but not to the (α, p) -fine topology $\tau_{\alpha,p}$, provided either $0 < \beta q < \alpha p < n$ or $0 < \beta q = \alpha p < n$ and $q > p$ or $0 < \beta q < \alpha p = n$ or $\beta q = \alpha p = n$ and $q > p$ (Inclusion relations among these fine topologies have been obtained in [3, Theorem B]).

Throughout this paper the symbol C stands for a constant ≥ 1 , whose value may vary from a line to the next.

§2. The upper estimate

In this section we obtain the upper estimate. In the sequel, for simplicity, let $a=1/(p-1)$ and $d=n-\alpha p$. We use the following theorem obtained by Maz'ya and Khavin.

THEOREM B ([6; Theorem 7.3]). *Let A be a Borel set in R^n with diameter $\leq n^{1/2}$ and for $r > 0$, let $\mathcal{A}(r)$ be the minimum number of closed balls with radii $\leq r$ which cover A . Then*

$$B_{\alpha,p}(A) \leq C \left\{ \int_0^{n^{1/2}} (r^d \mathcal{A}(r))^{-a} r^{-1} dr \right\}^{1-p},$$

where C depends only on n , p and α .

Now, let $A = E$. Then $\mathcal{A}(r) \leq (k_1 \cdots k_{j+1})^n$ for $t_{j+1} \leq r < t_j$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$), where $t_j = n^{1/2} \ell_j / 2$, because $E_n^{(j+1)}$ can be covered by $(k_1 \cdots k_{j+1})^n$ closed balls with radii t_{j+1} .

In the case where $\alpha p < n$, by Theorem B we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha,p}(E) &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_j} (r^d \mathcal{A}(r))^{-a} r^{-1} dr \right\}^{1-p} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_{j+1})^{-an} (\ell_{j+1}^{-ad} - \ell_j^{-ad}) \right\}^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_{j+1} \ell_{j+1} < \ell_j$ and $k_{j+1} \geq 2$, we have

$$\ell_{j+1}^{-ad} - \ell_j^{-ad} \geq C^{-1} \ell_{j+1}^{-ad},$$

which implies

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E) \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \ell_j^{-ad} \right\}^{1-p}.$$

In the case where $\alpha p = n$, by simple modification of the above proof we obtain

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E) \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} (-\log \ell_j) \right\}^{1-p}.$$

Thus the estimate from the above is proved.

§3. The lower estimate

To obtain the lower estimate, we may assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \ell_j^{-ad} < \infty$ for $\alpha p < n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} (-\log \ell_j) < \infty$ for $\alpha p = n$. For a Borel set A in R^n , we consider another capacity $\tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}$ defined by

$$\tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}(A) = \sup \nu(R^n),$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-negative measures ν such that $\nu(R^n \setminus A) = 0$ and $\int W_{\alpha,p}^{\nu}(x) d\nu(x) \leq 1$. Here $B(x, r)$ denotes the open ball with center at x and radius r and

$$W_{\alpha,p}^{\nu}(x) = \int_0^1 \{r^{-d} \nu(B(x, r))\}^{\alpha} r^{-1} dr.$$

Then it follows from [4; Theorem 1] (and also see [1] and [2]) that there exists a positive number $C (\geq 1)$ such that

$$(1) \quad C^{-1} \tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}^p(A) \leq B_{\alpha,p}(A) \leq C \tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}^p(A)$$

for every Borel set $A \subset R^n$.

The following lemma can be proved by using Fatou's lemma and [5; Introduction, Corollary 1 of Lemma 0.1].

LEMMA. *If non-negative measures μ_j converge vaguely to μ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then for every $x \in R^n$*

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}(x) \geq W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu}(x).$$

Let $\mu_j = (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n} \ell_j^{-n} \chi_{E_n^{(j)}} dx$ on R^n for $j=1, 2, \dots$, where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A and dx means the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then $\mu_j(R^n) = 1$ and for $x \in E_n^{(j)}$ we obtain

$$(2) \quad \mu_j(B(x, r)) \leq \begin{cases} C(k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-n} \ell_j^{-n} r^n, & 0 < r \leq \ell_j, \\ C(k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-n} s^n, & r_{q,s} \leq r < r_{q,s+1} \\ & (1 \leq s \leq k_q - 1, 1 \leq q \leq j), \end{cases}$$

where $r_{q,s} = s\ell_q + (s-1)\delta_q$, since for $r_{q,s} \leq r < r_{q,s+1}$ ($1 \leq s \leq k_q - 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq j$), the number of cubes composing the set $E_n^{(j)}$ which meet $B(x, r)$ is at most $(6s)^n \times (k_{q+1} \cdots k_j)^n$.

First, we assume $\alpha p < n$ and estimate $W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}$ on E . For $x \in E$, we write $W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}(x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}(x) &= \int_0^{\ell_j} \{r^{-d} \mu_j(B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{s=1}^{k_q-1} \int_{r_{q,s}}^{r_{q,s+1}} \{r^{-d} \mu_j(B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ &\quad + \int_{\ell_0}^1 \{r^{-d} \mu_j(B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2) we have

$$I_1 \leq C(k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \ell_j^{-ad}.$$

For I_2 , if $s=1$, then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_{q,1}}^{r_{q,2}} \{r^{-d} \mu_j(B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ &\leq C(k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \int_{r_{q,1}}^{r_{q,2}} r^{-ad-1} dr \\ &\leq C(k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \ell_q^{-ad}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2 \leq s \leq k_q - 1$, then again by using (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_{q,s}}^{r_{q,s+1}} \{r^{-d} \mu_j(B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ &\leq C(k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} s^{an-1} r_{q,s}^{-ad}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$1 - (r_{q,s}/r_{q,s+1})^{ad} \leq 1 - (1 - 1/s)^{ad} \leq C/s$$

for $s \geq 2$. Since $r_{q,s} > 2^{-1}s(\ell_q + \delta_q)$ for $s \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C\{\sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \ell_q^{-ad} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} (\ell_q + \delta_q)^{-ad} \sum_{s=2}^{k_q-1} s^{\alpha p-1}\} \\ &\leq C\{\sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \ell_q^{-ad} + \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_{q-1})^{-an} \ell_{q-1}^{-ad}\}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{s=2}^{k_q-1} s^{\alpha p-1} \leq Ck_q^{\alpha p}$ and $\ell_{q-1} < k_q(\ell_q + \delta_q)$. Thus

$$I_2 \leq C\{\ell_0^{-ad} + \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \ell_q^{-ad}\}.$$

For I_3 , since $\mu_j(R^n) = 1$, we have

$$I_3 \leq C\ell_0^{-ad}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(3) \quad W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}(x) \leq C\{\ell_0^{-ad} + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \ell_q^{-ad}\}$$

for every $x \in E$. Note that by our assumption the right side of (3) is convergent. From the sequence $\{\mu_j\}$ we can extract a subsequence which converges vaguely to some measure μ with support in E and $\mu(R^n) = 1$. Hence by the Lemma

$$(4) \quad W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu}(x) \leq C\{\ell_0^{-ad} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \ell_j^{-ad}\}$$

for every $x \in E$. Since $\int W_{\alpha,p}^{c\mu}(x) d(c\mu)(x) = c^{\alpha p} \int W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu}(x) d\mu(x)$ for $c > 0$, it follows from (4) that

$$\tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}(E) \geq C^{-1}\{\ell_0^{-ad} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \ell_j^{-ad}\}^{(1-p)/p}.$$

Thus on account of (1) we obtain the desired lower estimate in case $\alpha p < n$.

Next, we assume that $\alpha p = n$. We slightly modify the above estimate of $W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}$ as follows: For $x \in E$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\alpha,p}^{\mu_j}(x) &\leq C(k_1 \cdots k_j)^{-an} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{s=1}^{k_q-1} (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} s^{\alpha n} \log(r_{q,s+1}/r_{q,s}) + C(-1 \log \ell_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2\ell_q + \delta_q \leq \ell_0 \leq 1$ and $\log(r_{q,s+1}/r_{q,s}) \leq \log(1 + 2/s) \leq 2/s$ for $s \geq 2$, the second term on the right side of (5) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} &C \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} (-\log \ell_q) + C \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} \sum_{s=2}^{k_q-1} s^{\alpha n-1} \\ &\leq C\{1 + \sum_{q=1}^j (k_1 \cdots k_q)^{-an} (-\log \ell_q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by an argument similar to the above, we can prove the desired result. Thus the lower estimate is obtained.

§4. Application

Following N. G. Meyers [7], we shall say that a set E is (α, p) -thin at $x \in R^n$ if

$$\int_0^1 \{r^{-d} B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr < \infty.$$

We define the (α, p) -fine topology $\tau_{\alpha,p}$ (see, e.g. [3]) to be the collection of all sets $H \subset R^n$ such that $R^n \setminus H$ is (α, p) -thin at every point of H . In this section we construct sets stated in the introduction by using the estimate of Bessel capacity of Cantor sets.

PROPOSITION. Assume that (i) $0 < \beta q < \alpha p < n$ or (ii) $0 < \beta q = \alpha p < n$ and $q > p$ or (iii) $0 < \beta q < \alpha p = n$ or (iv) $\beta q = \alpha p = n$ and $q > p$. Then there exists a generalized Cantor set E such that $(R^n \setminus E) \cup \{x_0\} \in \tau_{\beta,q} \setminus \tau_{\alpha,p}$, where $x_0 \in E$.

PROOF. We construct a Cantor set of zero $B_{\beta,q}$ -capacity which is not (α, p) -thin at each of its points. In case (i), (ii) or (iii) let $k_j = 2$ for $j \geq 1$ and let $\ell_j = \{2^{-n(j+j_0)}(j+j_0)^{q-1}\}^{1/(n-\beta q)}$ for $j \geq 0$, where j_0 is so chosen that $2\ell_{j+1} < \ell_j$ ($j \geq 0$) and $\ell_0 \leq 1$. Let E be a symmetric generalized Cantor set constructed by the system $[\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\ell_j\}_{j=0}^\infty]$. We have $B_{\beta,q}(E) = 0$ by the Theorem, since $\sum_{j=1}^\infty (2^{nj} \ell_j^{n-\beta q})^{-1/(q-1)} = \infty$. Thus the set E is (β, q) -thin at every point. Next, we show that E is not (α, p) -thin at each of its points. Let $x \in E$. Observe that for $k \geq 1$, $E \cap B(x, \ell_k) \supset E \cap I_n^{(k'+1)}$, where k' is the largest integer such that $n^{1/2} \ell_{k'} \geq \ell_k$ and $I_n^{(k'+1)}$ is the n -dimensional cube appeared in the definition of $E_n^{(k'+1)}$ which contains the given point x . By the choice of k' we easily see that $k' \leq k + C$. In the cases (i) and (ii), since $E \cap I_n^{(k'+1)}$ is a symmetric generalized Cantor set constructed by the system $[\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\ell_{j+k'+1}\}_{j=0}^\infty]$ with $k_j = 2$ ($j \geq 1$), by using the lower estimate obtained in the Theorem we have

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap I_n^{(k'+1)}) \geq C^{-1} \{ \ell_{k'+1}^{-ad} + \sum_{j=k'+2}^\infty 2^{(k'+1-j)an} \ell_j^{-ad} \}^{1-p}.$$

In case (i) by the choice of ℓ_j and the fact that $k \leq k' \leq k + C$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=k'+2}^\infty 2^{(k'+1-j)an} \ell_j^{-ad} \\ & \leq C 2^{akn} \sum_{j=k+2}^\infty 2^{(\beta q - \alpha p)ajn/(n-\beta q)} j^{-(q-1)ad/(n-\beta q)} \\ & \leq C 2^{adkn/(n-\beta q)} k^{-(q-1)ad/(n-\beta q)} \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta q < \alpha p$. Thus

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k)) \geq C^{-1} 2^{-dkn/(n-\beta q)} k^{(q-1)d/(n-\beta q)} \geq C^{-1} \ell_k^d.$$

Since $\sup_k (\ell_k / \ell_{k-1}) < 1/2$ and

$$\int_0^1 \{r^{-d} B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \geq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \{B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k))\}^a \ell_k^{-ad},$$

we have

$$\int_0^1 \{r^{-d} B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr = \infty.$$

In case (ii), as above, we can prove the following inequality:

$$\sum_{j=k'+2}^\infty 2^{(k'+1-j)an} \ell_j^{-ad} \leq C 2^{akn} k^{(p-q)/(p-1)},$$

since $\alpha p = \beta q < n$ and $p < q$. Thus we have

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k)) \geq C^{-1} 2^{-kn} k^{q-p}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \{r^{-d} B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ & \geq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \{B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k))\}^a \ell_k^{-ad} \geq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{-1} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In case (iii) similar arguments give

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k)) \geq C^{-1} k^{1-p}.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\ell_{k-1}/\ell_k) = 2^{n/(n-\beta q)} > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \{B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr \\ & \geq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k))^a \log(\ell_{k-1}/\ell_k) \\ & \geq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{-1} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The case (iv). Let $k_j = 2$ for $j \geq 1$ and let $\ell_j = \exp\{- (j+j_0)^{-1} 2^{n(j+j_0)/(q-1)}\}$ for $j \geq 0$, where $j_0 (> 0)$ is so chosen that $2\ell_{j+1} < \ell_j$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $\ell_0 \leq 1$. Let E be a symmetric generalized Cantor set constructed by the system $[\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\ell_j\}_{j=0}^\infty]$. Then $B_{\beta,q}(E) = 0$, because

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-nj/(q-1)} (-\log \ell_j) = \infty.$$

Also, by arguments similar to the above we obtain

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, \ell_k)) \geq C^{-1} \{k^{-1} 2^{kn/(q-1)}\}^{1-p}$$

for every $x \in E$. Since $\log(\ell_{k-1}/\ell_k) \geq C^{-1} k^{-1} 2^{kn/(q-1)}$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1 B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x, r))\}^a r^{-1} dr = \infty.$$

Therefore in each case we have constructed a Cantor set with desired properties.

Finally, take a point $x_0 \in E$ and set $H = (R^n \setminus E) \cup \{x_0\}$. Then $H \in \tau_{\beta, q} \setminus \tau_{\alpha, p}$, because $B_{\beta, q}(E) = 0$, $R^n \setminus H = E \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $E \setminus \{x_0\}$ is not (α, p) -thin at x_0 .

References

- [1] D. R. Adams and N. G. Meyers, Thinness and Wiener criteria for non-linear potentials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22** (1972), 169–197.
- [2] D. R. Adams and N. G. Meyers, Bessel potentials. Inclusion relations among classes of exceptional sets, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22** (1973), 873–905.
- [3] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, Inclusion relations among fine topologies in non-linear potential theory, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **33** (1984), 117–126.
- [4] L. I. Hedberg and Th. H. Wolff, Thin sets in nonlinear potential theory, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, **33–4** (1983), 161–187.
- [5] N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [6] V. G. Maz'ya and V. P. Khavin, Non-linear potential theory, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **27** (1972), 71–148.
- [7] N. G. Meyers, Continuity properties of potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 157–166.
- [8] M. Ohtsuka, Capacité d'ensembles de Cantor généralisés, *Nagoya Math. J.* **11** (1957), 151–160.

*Faculty of Education,
Shimane University*