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## § 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $p$. will denote a prime $\geqq 5$. In the $p$-component of the stable homotopy group $\pi_{*} S$ of spheres, H. Toda and L. Smith introduced a family $\left\{\beta_{s} ; s \geqq 1\right\}$, and then S. Oka introduced another family $\left\{\beta_{t p / r} ; t \geqq 1,1 \leqq r \leqq p\right.$ and $(t, r) \neq(1, p)\}$ (cf. [2]). The products $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / r}$ (by composition) is trivial if $r<p$ by [2] and [5]; and some results for $r=p$ are found in [2], [4], [5]. In this paper, we have the following

Theorem. $\quad \beta_{r p+1} \beta_{t p / p} \neq 0$ in $\pi_{*} S$ if $p \nmid t u(u+1)$ for $u=(r+t) / p^{n}$.
By this theorem and the results in [2], [4], [5], the products a) $\beta_{r p} \beta_{t p / p}$ with $p \mid r+t$ and b) $\beta_{r p+1} \beta_{t p / p}$ with $r+t=(u p-1) p^{n}$ are not determined to be trivial or not; and we see that the other product $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p}$ is non-trivial if and only if $p \nmid s t$. We note that the product a) is trivial in the $E_{2}$-term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for $\pi_{*} S$, by [6; Cor. 2.8].

Furthermore, recall the family $\left\{\beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2} ; t \geqq 2\right\}$ in $\pi_{*} S$ given by $S$. Oka (cf. [2]). Then the equality $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}=\beta_{s+t\left(p^{2}-p\right)} \beta_{t p / p}\left(\left[2 ;\right.\right.$ Prop. 6.1]) in the $E_{2}$-term implies

Corollary. $\quad \beta_{r p+1} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2} \neq 0$ in $\pi_{*} S$ if $p \nmid t u(u+1)$ for $u=(r+t p) / p^{n}$.
We recall [1] the comodule $M_{0}^{2}$ (see (2.3)) over the Hopf algebroid $B P_{*} B P$ of the Brown-Peterson spectrum $B P$ at $p$; and we prepare some $B P_{*} B P$-comodules in §2. It is proved in $[4 ; \S 5]$ that there exists an element $b_{s+t p-1}$ in Ext $_{B P * B P}^{2}$ $\left(B P_{*}, M_{0}^{2}\right)$ whose non-triviality implies that of $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p}$ in $\pi_{*} S$ (see Lemma 3.1); and we prove the theorem in $\S 3$ by showing $b_{(r+t) p} \neq 0$.

The author wishes to thank Professor M. Sugawara for his helpful suggestions.

## § 2. The $B P_{*} B P$-comodules $M(n, j)$ and $M(n)$

For a given prime $p \geqq 5$, let $B P$ be the Brown-Peterson spectrum at $p$, and consider the Hopf algebroid

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A, \Gamma)=\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*} B P\right)=\left(Z_{(p)}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots\right], B P_{*}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots\right]\right) \text { with } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left|v_{i}\right|=\left|t_{i}\right|=e(i)=\left(p^{i}-1\right) /(p-1), \text { where }|x|=(\operatorname{deg} x) / q \text { and } q=2 p-2
$$

Then, for a $\Gamma$-comodule $M$ with coaction $\psi_{M}: M \rightarrow M \otimes_{A} \Gamma, H^{*} M=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(A, M)$ is the homology of the cobar complex $\left(\Omega^{*} M, d_{*}\right)$ defined by
(2.2) $\quad \Omega^{s} M=M \otimes_{A} \Gamma \otimes_{A} \cdots \otimes_{A} \Gamma(s$ copies of $\Gamma)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{s}(m \otimes x)=\psi_{M} m \otimes x+\sum_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^{i} m \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \\
& \Delta x_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s}-(-1)^{s} m \otimes x \otimes 1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m \in M, x_{i} \in \Gamma$ and $x=x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s}$, where $\Delta: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{A} \Gamma$ is the diagonal of $\Gamma$. In particular, for the $\Gamma$-comodule $A$ with $\psi_{A}=\eta$, the right unit of $\Gamma$, we have
(2.2.1) $d_{0} v_{1}=p t_{1}, d_{0} v_{2} \equiv v_{1} t_{1}^{p}-v_{1}^{p} t_{1} \bmod (p)$ and $d_{1} t_{1}=0$ in $\Omega^{*} A$. See [3] for details.

We now consider the following $\Gamma$-comodules $M(n, j)$ and $M(n)$ with coactions $\eta$ induced from the above $\eta$ for $A$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(n, j)=v_{2}^{-1} A /\left(p^{n}, v_{1}^{j}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad p^{n-1} \mid j, \text { and }  \tag{2.3}\\
& \begin{aligned}
M(n) & =\operatorname{dirlim}_{j} M(n, j)=v_{2}^{-1} A /\left(p^{n}, v_{1}^{\infty}\right) \\
\quad= & \left\{x / v_{1}^{j} \mid x \in v_{2}^{-1} A, j \geqq 1, \text { and } x / v_{1}^{j}=0 \text { if } p^{n} \mid x \text { or } v_{1}^{j} \mid x\right\} .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the $\Gamma$-comodules $M_{i}^{j}(i+j=2)$ in [1] are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1) } \quad M_{2}^{0}=M(1,1), \quad M_{1}^{1}=M(1) \quad \text { and } \quad M_{0}^{2}=\operatorname{dirlim}_{n} M(n)=  \tag{2.3.1}\\
& \left\{x / v_{0}^{i} v_{1}^{j} \mid x \in v_{2}^{-1} A, i, j \geqq 1 \text { and } x / v_{0}^{i} v_{1}^{j}=0 \text { if } p^{i} \mid x \text { or } v_{1}^{j} \mid x\right\} \quad\left(v_{0}=p\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we have the short exact sequences

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
0 \longrightarrow M(k, l) \xrightarrow{p} M(k+1, l) & \longrightarrow M(1, l) \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 & \longrightarrow(1, k) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{1}^{k}} M(1) \xrightarrow{v_{1}^{k}} M(1) \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow M(k, l) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{1}^{t}} M(k) \xrightarrow{v_{1}^{t}} M(k) \longrightarrow \\
\text { and } 0 & 0 \longrightarrow M(k) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{0}^{k}} M_{0}^{2} \xrightarrow{p^{k}} M_{0}^{2} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

for $1 \leqq k \leqq n$ and $l=2 p^{n}$ of the $\Gamma$-comodules. These give rise to the long exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdots \longrightarrow H^{*-1} M(1, l) \xrightarrow{\partial_{k, l}} H^{*} M(k, l) \xrightarrow{p}  \tag{2.3.2}\\
& H^{*} M(k+1, l) \longrightarrow H^{*} M(1, l) \longrightarrow \cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdots \longrightarrow H^{*-1} M(1) \xrightarrow{\partial_{k}} H^{*} M(1, k) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{1}^{k}}  \tag{2.3.3}\\
& \cdots \longrightarrow H^{*-1} M(1) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k, l}} H^{*} M(k, l) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{1}^{l}} \\
& H^{*} M(k) \xrightarrow{v_{1}^{l}} H^{*} M(k) \longrightarrow, \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.3.4}\\
& \cdots \longrightarrow H^{*-1} M_{0}^{2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{k}^{k}} H^{*} M(1) \xrightarrow{1 / v_{0}^{k}} H^{*} M_{0}^{2} \xrightarrow{p^{k}} H^{*} M_{0}^{2} \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{align*}
$$

We now recall the notations of cycles
(2.4.1) $\zeta$ of degree 0 for $i=1, g_{0}$ of degree $q$ for $i=2, \rho=v_{2}^{-1} t_{1}^{p} \otimes g_{0}$ of degree 0 for $i=3$ and $\rho \otimes \zeta$ of degree 0 for $i=4$ in $\Omega^{i} v_{2}^{-1} A$.
which represent some bases of the $F_{p}\left[v_{2}, v_{2}^{-1}\right]$-vector space $H^{*} M(1,1)=H^{*} M_{2}^{0}$ (cf. [3; Ch. 6]). Then, we have the following:
(2.4.2) [1; Lemma 3.19] $d_{1} \zeta^{p^{n}}=0$ in $\Omega^{2} M\left(1, p^{n}\right)$ and $\zeta^{p^{k}}=\zeta^{p^{n}}(k \geqq n)$ in $H^{1} M\left(1, p^{n}\right)$.
(2.4.3) [4; Prop. 3.7] There exists an element $G_{0} \in \Omega^{2} v_{2}^{-1} A$ such that $G_{0}=g_{0}$ in $\Omega^{2} M(1,1)$ and $d_{1} G_{0}=v_{1} \rho$ in $\Omega^{3} M(1,2)$.
$v_{1}$ acts on $H^{*} M(1)$ by (2.2.1), and the $F_{p}\left[v_{1}\right]$-module $H^{*} M(1)=H^{*} M_{1}^{1}$ is determined by $[4 ; \mathrm{Th} .4 .4]$. Besides, the $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$-module $H^{*} M(1, k)$ is determined by the $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}\left[v_{1}\right]$-module $H^{*} M(1)$ and (2.3.3). In particular, [4; Th. 4.4] implies immediately the following:
(2.5.1) Each element in $H^{2} M\left(1, p^{n}\right)(n \geqq 1)$ at degree 0 is 0 in $H^{2} M(1$, $a_{n-1}$ ), where $a_{0}=1, a_{i}=p^{i}+p^{i-1}-1$.
(2.5.2) $\quad H^{3} M(1, l)\left(l=2 p^{n}\right)$ at degree $m(p+1) q\left(m=s p^{n}, p \nmid s(s+1)\right)$ is the $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$-vector space spanned by $\partial_{l} v_{m, i}(i=0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m, 0}=v_{2}^{m} g_{0} / v_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{m, 1}=v_{2}^{m} t_{1} \otimes \zeta / v_{1} \quad \text { in } \quad H^{2} M(1) \tag{2.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $\zeta \otimes \zeta / v_{1}=0$ in $H^{2} M(1)$, we see by (2.2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m, 1} \otimes \zeta=0 \quad \text { in } \quad H^{3} M(1) \tag{2.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have $\partial_{l} v_{m, 0}=(m+1) v_{2}^{m} \rho$ in $H^{3} M(1,1)$ by (2.4.3), (2.2.1) and the definition of $\partial_{l}$ and $v_{m, 0}$. Then, $v_{2}^{m} \rho \otimes \zeta \neq 0$ in $H^{4} M(1,1)$ (by (2.4.1)) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{l} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta^{p^{k}} \neq 0 \text { in } H^{4} M(1, l)\left(l=2 p^{n}\right) \text { for } k>n, \text { if } p \nmid m+1 . \tag{2.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. There exists a cycle $\zeta_{n}$ in $\Omega^{1} M\left(n+1, p^{n}\right)(n \geqq 0)$ such that $\zeta_{n}=\zeta^{p^{2 n}}$ in $\Omega^{1} M\left(1, p^{n}\right)$, and so $\zeta_{n}=\zeta$ in $H^{1} M(1,1)(b y(2.4 .2))$.

Proof. $\zeta_{n, 0}=\zeta^{p^{2 n}}$ is a cycle in $\Omega^{1} M\left(1, p^{2 n}\right)$ by (2.4.2). Assume inductively that there is a cycle $\zeta_{n, j}$ in $\Omega^{1} M\left(j+1, p^{k}\right)(k=2 n-j)$ for $0 \leqq j<n$ such that $\zeta_{n, j}=$ $\zeta_{n, 0}$ in $\Omega^{1} M\left(1, p^{k}\right)$. Then $d_{1} \zeta_{n, j}=p^{j+1} z$ in $\Omega^{2} M\left(j+2, p^{k}\right)$ for some $z \in \Omega^{2} M(1$, $p^{k}$ ), and $z$ is a cycle because $p^{j+1}: \Omega^{*} M\left(1, p^{k}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{*} M\left(j+2, p^{k}\right)$ is a monomorphism of complexes (since $k=2 n-j>n>j)$. Thus $z=v_{1}^{a} x\left(a=a_{k-1}\right)$ in $H^{2} M(1$, $p^{k}$ ) for some cycle $x$ by (2.5.1), and so $v_{1}^{a} x-z=d_{1} \phi$ for some $\phi$ in $\Omega^{1} M\left(1, p^{k}\right)$. Hence $d_{1} \zeta^{\prime}=p^{j+1} v_{1}^{a} x$ in $\Omega^{2} M\left(j+2, p^{k}\right)$ for $\zeta^{\prime}=\zeta_{n, j}+p^{j+1} \phi$, and so $\zeta^{\prime}$ is a cycle in $\Omega^{1} M\left(j+2, p^{k-1}\right)$. Thus we have $\zeta_{n, j+1}=\zeta^{\prime}$ satisfying the statement for $j+1$. Now, the lemma holds by setting $\zeta_{n}=\zeta_{n, n}$. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.7. For $i=0,1$ and $m=s p^{n}$ with $p \nmid s$, there exists a cycle $N_{m, i}$ in $\Omega^{2} M(n+1)$ such that $N_{m, i}=v_{m, i}$ in $\Omega^{2} M(1)$. Furthermore, $2 d_{1} N_{m, 1}=m p v_{m, 0} \otimes$ $\zeta_{n+1}$ in $\Omega^{3} M(n+2)$.

Proof. Recall [4; Lemma 4.7] an element $z_{m} \in \Omega^{1} M(n+2)$ for $m=s p^{n}$ with $p \nmid s$, such that
(2.7.1) $z_{m}=2 v_{2}^{m} t_{1} / v_{1}$ in $\Omega^{1} M(1)$ and $d_{1} z_{m}=m p\left(v_{m, 0}-v_{m, 1}\right)$ in $\Omega^{2} M(n+2)$.

The last equality gives us an element $w$ in $\Omega^{2} M(n+1)$ such that $d_{1} z_{m}=m p w$ in $\Omega^{2} M(2 n+2)$ and $w=v_{m, 0}-v_{m, 1}$ in $\Omega^{2} M(1)$. Since $p^{n+1}: \Omega^{*} M(n+1) \rightarrow \Omega^{*} M(2 n$ +2 ) is monomorphic, we see that $w$ is a cycle. Thus the lemma holds for $N_{m, 0}=$ $w+N_{m, 1}$ and $N_{m, 1}=2^{-1} z_{m} \otimes \zeta_{n+1}$ by the first equality in (2.7.1) and Lemma 2.6. In fact, the last equality in the lemma follows from (2.5.4), Lemma 2.6 and the last equality of (2.7.1).
q.e.d.

## §3. Proof of Theorem

We recall the following
Lemma 3.1 [4; §5]. $\quad \beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p} \neq 0$ in $\pi_{*} S$ if $t b_{s+t p-1} \neq 0$ in $H^{2} M_{0}^{2}$, where $b_{m}=v_{2}^{m} t_{1} \otimes \zeta / v_{0} v_{1}=N_{m, 1} / v_{0} \in H^{2} M_{0}^{2}$.

In fact, the last equality follows from Lemma 2.7.
In view of this lemma, the theorem in $\S 1$ follows immediately from the following

Proposition 3.2. $\quad b_{m} \neq 0$ in $H^{2} M_{0}^{2}$ if $p \nmid s(s+1)$ for $s=m / p^{n}$.
Remark. $b_{m}=0$ in $H^{2} M_{0}^{2}$ for $m=\left(r p^{i}-1\right) p^{n}$ with $1 \leqq i \leqq n+2$ by [ 1 ; Prop. 6.9].

Now, we prove this proposition.
Lemma 3.3. For $1 \leqq k \leqq n$ and $l=2 p^{n}$, the map $p: H^{4} M(k, l) \rightarrow H^{4} M(k+1, l)$ in (2.3.2) is monomorphic at degree $m(p+1) q$ for $m=s p^{n}$ with $p \nmid s(s+1)$.

Proof. Consider the $\Gamma$-comodule $B=v_{2}^{-1} A /\left(p^{n+1}\right)$, the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\lambda}{\hookrightarrow}} v_{1}^{-1} B \xrightarrow{\mu} M(n+1) \longrightarrow 0$ and the projection $p_{r}: B \rightarrow M(r, l)(1 \leqq r \leqq n+1)$. Then we have a cycle $c_{i}=\lambda^{-1} d_{2} \mu^{-1} N_{m, i}(i=0,1)$ in $\Omega^{3} B$ for the cycle $N_{m, i}$ in Lemma 2.7, and $p_{1} c_{i}=\partial_{l} v_{m, i}$ for $\partial_{l}: H^{2} M(1) \rightarrow H^{3} M(1, l)$ by definition since $N_{m, i}=v_{m, i}$ in $\Omega^{2} M(1)$ by Lemma 2.7. Thus, for $\partial_{k, l}: H^{3} M(1, l) \rightarrow H^{4} M(k, l)$ in (2.3.2),

$$
\partial_{k, l}\left(\partial_{l} v_{m, i}\right)=\partial_{k, l}\left(p_{1} c_{i}\right)=p^{-1} d_{3}\left(p_{k+1} c_{i}\right)=p^{-1} p_{k+1}\left(d_{3} c_{i}\right)=0
$$

Hence, $\partial_{k, l}=0$ at degree $m(p+1) q$ by (2.5.2), which shows the lemma by (2.3.2).
q.e.d.

Proposition 3.4. $p^{n} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta_{n+1} \neq 0$ in $H^{3} M(n+1)$ for $m$ in Lemma 3.3.
Proof. Note that $p^{n} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta_{n+1}=p^{n} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta^{k}\left(k=p^{2 n+2}\right)$ in $H^{3} M(n+1)$ and $\zeta^{k}$ is a cycle in $\Omega^{1} M(1, k)$ by (2.4.2). Then, for $\delta_{n+1, l}$ in (2.3.4) with $l=2 p^{n}$, $\delta_{n+1, l}\left(p^{n} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta^{k}\right)=p^{n} \partial_{l} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta^{k}$ holds by the definition of $\delta$ and $\partial$. On the other hand, $p^{n} \partial_{l} v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta^{k} \neq 0$ in $H^{4} M(n+1, l)$ by (2.5.5) and Lemma 3.3. These show the proposition.
q.e.d.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. For $\delta_{n+1}$ in (2.3.5) and $b_{m}$ in Lemma 3.1, $2 \delta_{n+1} b_{m}=2 d_{1} N_{m, 1} / p=m v_{m, 0} \otimes \zeta_{n+1}$ in $H^{3} M(n+1)$ by Lemma 2.7. Thus, $b_{m} \neq 0$ by the above proposition.
q.e.d.
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