

## A SECOND ORDER AXIOMATIC THEORY OF STRINGS

HOWARD C. WASSERMAN

*Introduction* A second order axiomatic theory with equality is presented which completely characterizes systems of the form  $\langle X^*, \lambda, 1, *, l \rangle$ , where  $X^*$  is the set of all strings over the non-null alphabet  $X$ ,  $\lambda$  is the null string,  $1 \in X$ ,  $*$  is string concatenation, and  $l$  is the mapping on  $X^*$  such that for all  $x \in X^*$ ,  $l(x)$  is the string resulting from  $x$  by substituting 1 for each occurrence of a letter in  $x$ . The theory is based on eleven axioms, all but one of which, a second order induction principle, are first order statements. The language of the theory is based on four primitive first order constants: two individual constants 0 and 1, a 2-place function constant  $\cdot$ , and a 1-place function constant  $L$ . For simplification of presentation and for motivation, the theory also includes three defined first order constants: a 2-place predicate  $\leq$ , and two 1-place predicates ATOM and NAT. The reader is advised that a more obvious notion of "string system" than that given above would be that of an ordered triple  $\langle X^*, \lambda, \mathcal{L} \rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the length function mapping  $X^*$  onto the set of natural numbers such that  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \text{length of } \sigma$ . But the desire to provide a second order theory led us to include in our definition the specification of a particular member 1 of  $X$ , so that via the 1-adic number representation system there would be an internal representation  $1^*$  of the set of natural numbers. Given this internal representation, we were then able to utilize  $l$ , a unary operation on  $X^*$ , to correspond to the length function  $\mathcal{L}$ .

### 1 The theory and its intended models

$$\text{Ax.1} \quad (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z) [(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)]$$

$$\text{Ax.2} \quad (\forall x) [0 \cdot x = x \wedge x \cdot 0 = x]$$

$$\text{D1} \quad (\forall x)(\forall y) [x \leq y \equiv (\exists z)(\exists w)(y = z \cdot x \cdot w)]$$

$$\text{D2} \quad (\forall x) [ \text{ATOM}(x) \equiv x \neq 0 \wedge (\forall y)(y \leq x \supset y = x \vee y = 0) ]$$

$$\text{Ax.3} \quad \text{ATOM}(1)$$

$$\text{Ax.4} \quad (\forall x) [x \leq 0 \supset x = 0]$$

$$\text{D3} \quad (\forall x) [ \text{NAT}(x) \equiv (\forall y)( \text{ATOM}(y) \wedge y \leq x \supset y = 1) ]$$

*Received May 30, 1975*

Note:  $\vdash \text{NAT}(0)$ , since, by D2,  $\vdash \sim \text{ATOM}(0)$ , hence, by Ax.4,  $\vdash \sim (\exists y)(\text{ATOM}(y) \wedge y \leq 0)$ , and thus  $\vdash \text{NAT}(0)$ .

Ax.5  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[x \cdot y = x \supset y = 0]$

Note: Ax.5 does *not* state that 0 is the only right identity (a triviality), but states, more strongly, that no object other than 0 can operate on the right upon *any* object  $y$  leaving  $y$  unchanged.

Ax.6  $(\forall P)[P(0) \wedge (\forall x)(\text{NAT}(x) \wedge P(x) \supset P(x \cdot 1)) \supset [(\forall x)(\text{NAT}(x) \supset P(x))]$

Ax.7  $(\forall x)[(\exists y)(x = \mathbf{L}(y)) \supset \text{NAT}(x)]$

Ax.8  $(\forall x)[\mathbf{L}(x) = 0 \supset x = 0]$

Ax.9  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[\mathbf{L}(x \cdot y) = \mathbf{L}(x) \cdot \mathbf{L}(y)]$

Ax.10  $(\forall x)[(x \neq 0 \wedge \mathbf{L}(x) \neq 1) \supset (\exists y)(\exists z)(y \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \wedge x = y \cdot z)]$

Ax.11  $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(\forall w)[(x \cdot y = z \cdot w \wedge \mathbf{L}(x) = \mathbf{L}(z) \wedge \mathbf{L}(y) = \mathbf{L}(w)) \supset (x = z \wedge y = w)]$

The intended models of Ax.1-Ax.11 are the string systems over non-null alphabets. More specifically, we give

**Definition 1** A *string system* is an ordered 5-tuple  $\langle X^*, \lambda, 1, *, l \rangle$ , where  $X^*$  is the set of all strings over the non-null alphabet  $X$ ,  $\lambda$  is the null string,  $1 \in X$ ,  $*$  is the binary operation of string concatenation on  $X^*$ , and  $l$  is the substitution operation on  $X^*$  such that for every  $x \in X^*$ ,  $l(x)$  is the string obtained from  $x$  by substituting 1 for each occurrence of a letter in  $x$ .

**Definition 2** A *concatenation system* is any model  $\langle C, 0, 1, \cdot, \mathbf{L} \rangle$  of Ax.1-Ax.11.

Clearly, every string system  $\langle X^*, \lambda, 1, *, l \rangle$  is a concatenation system, the substring relation on  $X^*$  is the extension of  $\leq$ ,  $X$  is the extension of  $\text{ATOM}$ , and  $1^*$  is the extension of  $\text{NAT}$ . In section 2, we shall show that every concatenation system is, up to isomorphism, a string system.

**2 The isomorphism theorem** For the remainder, let  $\langle C, 0, 1, \cdot, \mathbf{L} \rangle$  be a fixed but arbitrary concatenation system, let  $A$  denote the extension of  $\text{ATOM}$  in  $C$ , and  $N$  the extension of  $\text{NAT}$  in  $C$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all natural numbers, and let  $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow N$  be defined recursively, as follows:

$$\Phi(0) = 0, \Phi(n+1) = \Phi(n) \cdot 1$$

**Lemma 1**  $\mathbf{L}(0) = 0$ .

*Proof:*  $\mathbf{L}(0) \cdot \mathbf{L}(0) = \mathbf{L}(0 \cdot 0) = \mathbf{L}(0)$ , by Ax.9 and Ax.2. Thus,  $\mathbf{L}(0) = 0$ , by Ax.5.

**Lemma 2** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Phi(m+n) = \Phi(m) \cdot \Phi(n)$ .

*Proof* (by induction on  $n$ ): (i)  $n = 0$ : trivial, by definition of  $\Phi$  and Ax.2.

(ii) Assume true for  $k$ , and suppose  $n = k+1$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(m+n) &= \Phi(m+k+1) = \Phi(m+k) \cdot 1 = \Phi(m) \cdot \Phi(k) \cdot 1 \\ &= \Phi(m) \cdot \Phi(k+1) = \Phi(m) \cdot \Phi(n). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3**  $\Phi$  is a bijection.

*Proof:* (i)  $\Phi$  is 1-to-1: Suppose  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < n$ . Then  $n = m + k$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ . Then:

$$\Phi(n) = \Phi(m) \cdot \Phi(k) = \Phi(m) \cdot (\Phi(k-1) \cdot 1). \quad (\text{by Lemma 2})$$

Now,  $1 \leq \Phi(k-1) \cdot 1$ , and  $1 \neq 0$  by Ax.3. Hence, by Ax.4,  $\Phi(k-1) \cdot 1 \neq 0$ . Thus, by Ax.5,  $\Phi(m) \cdot (\Phi(k-1) \cdot 1) \neq \Phi(m)$ ; i.e.,  $\Phi(n) \neq \Phi(m)$ .

(ii)  $\Phi$  is surjective: Let  $P = \text{Range}(\Phi)$ . By Ax.6, it suffices to show that  $0 \in P$ , and for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $x \in P$ , then  $x \cdot 1 \in P$ . We have that  $0 \in P$  since  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . Suppose  $x \in P$ . Then, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x = \Phi(n)$ . Then  $x \cdot 1 = \Phi(n+1)$ , and hence  $x \cdot 1 \in P$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $\mathbf{L}': C \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \in C$ ,  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x))$  (n.b.,  $\mathbf{L}(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  by Ax.7).

**Lemma 4**

- (a) For every  $x \in C$ ,  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (b) For all  $x, y \in C$ ,  $\mathbf{L}'(x \cdot y) = \mathbf{L}'(x) + \mathbf{L}'(y)$ .
- (c) For every  $x \in C$ , if  $\mathbf{L}'(x) > 1$ , then there are  $x_1, x_2 \in C - \{0\}$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdot x_2$ .
- (d) For all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in C$ , if  $x_1 \cdot x_2 = y_1 \cdot y_2$  and  $\mathbf{L}'(x_i) = \mathbf{L}'(y_i) (i = 1, 2)$ , then  $x_i = y_i (i = 1, 2)$ .

*Proof:*

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{L}'(x) = 0 \iff \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) = 0 \iff \mathbf{L}(x) = \Phi(0) \iff \mathbf{L}(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$$

(by Ax.8 and Lemma 1).

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad \mathbf{L}'(x \cdot y) &= \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x \cdot y)) = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x) \cdot \mathbf{L}(y)) && (\text{by Ax.9}) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) + \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(y)) && (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &= \mathbf{L}'(x) + \mathbf{L}'(y). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let  $x \in C$  such that  $\mathbf{L}'(x) > 1$ . Then  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) \neq 0$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) \neq 1$ . Since  $\Phi^{-1}(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{L}(x) \neq 0$ ; hence, by Lemma 1,  $x \neq 0$ . Now,  $\Phi(1) = \Phi(0 + 1) = \Phi(0) \cdot 1 = 0 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Hence  $\Phi^{-1}(1) = 1$ . But  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x)) \neq 1$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{L}(x) \neq 1$ . Thus,  $x \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{L}(x) \neq 1$ . Hence, by Ax.10, there are  $x_1, x_2 \in C - \{0\}$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdot x_2$ .

(d) Let  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in C$  such that  $x_1 \cdot x_2 = y_1 \cdot y_2$  and  $\mathbf{L}'(x_i) = \mathbf{L}'(y_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . Then  $x_1 \cdot x_2 = y_1 \cdot y_2$  and  $\mathbf{L}(x_i) = \mathbf{L}(y_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . Hence, by Ax.11,  $x_i = y_i (i = 1, 2)$ .

**Lemma 5** For every  $x \in C$ ,  $x \in A$  if and only if  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = 1$ .

*Proof:* Let  $x \in C$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = 1$ . Suppose  $y \in C$  such that  $y \leq x$ . Then  $x = y_1 \cdot y \cdot y_2$  for some  $y_1, y_2 \in C$ . Suppose  $y \neq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 4(a),  $\mathbf{L}'(y) > 0$ . But, by Lemma 4(b),  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = \mathbf{L}'(y_1) + \mathbf{L}'(y) + \mathbf{L}'(y_2)$ . Hence, since  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{L}'(y_1) = \mathbf{L}'(y_2) = 0$ , and, thus, by Lemma 4(a),  $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ . Hence, by Ax.2,  $y = x$ . Thus,  $x \in A$ .

Now suppose  $\mathbf{L}'(x) \neq 1$ . If  $\mathbf{L}'(x) = 0$ , then, by Lemma 4(a),  $x = 0$ , and

$x \notin A$ . Suppose, now, that  $L'(x) > 1$ . Then, by Lemma 4(c), there are  $x_1, x_2 \in C - \{0\}$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdot x_2$ . Hence  $x_1 \leq x$ . But  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Moreover, since (by Lemma 4(a))  $L'(x_2) > 0$  and  $L'(x) = L'(x_1) + L'(x_2)$  (by Lemma 4(b)),  $L'(x) \neq L'(x_1)$ , and hence  $x_1 \neq x$ . Since  $x_1 \neq 0$ ,  $x_1 \neq x$ , and  $x_1 \leq x$ , we have that  $x \notin A$ .

**Lemma 6 (Unique Decomposition)** *For every  $x \in C - \{0\}$ , there is a unique sequence  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  with  $x_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and such that  $n = L'(x)$  and  $x = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $x \in C - \{0\}$  and let  $n = L'(x)$ . The proof proceeds by induction on  $n$ :

(i)  $n = 1$ : Then, by Lemma 5,  $x \in A$ .

(ii) Assume  $n > 1$  and for every  $x' \in C - \{0\}$  with  $m = L'(x') < n$ , there is a unique sequence  $\langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m \rangle$  with  $x'_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and such that  $x' = x'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x'_m$ . Since  $n > 1$ , we have by Lemma 4(c) that there are  $y_1, y_2 \in C - \{0\}$  such that  $x = y_1 \cdot y_2$ . Let  $n_i = L'(y_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then, by Lemma 4(b),  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and, by Lemma 4(a),  $n_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus,  $n_i < n$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Hence, there are unique sequences  $\langle w_1, \dots, w_{n_1} \rangle$  and  $\langle z_1, \dots, z_{n_2} \rangle$  with  $w_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ ),  $z_j \in A$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n_2$ ),  $y_1 = w_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w_{n_1}$ , and  $y_2 = z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_{n_2}$ . Thus,  $x = w_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w_{n_1} \cdot z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_{n_2}$ .

Suppose also that  $\langle w'_1, \dots, w'_{n_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_2} \rangle$  is a sequence with  $w'_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ ),  $z'_j \in A$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n_2$ ), and  $x = w'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w'_{n_1} \cdot z'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z'_{n_2}$ . Then, letting  $u_1 = w'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w'_{n_1}$  and  $u_2 = z'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z'_{n_2}$ , we have that  $u_1, u_2 \in C$  such that  $x = u_1 \cdot u_2$ , and, since  $w'_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ ),  $z'_j \in A$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n_2$ ), it follows by Lemma 4(b) and Lemma 5 that  $L'(u_i) = L'(y_i) = n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus, by Lemma 4(d),  $u_i = y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Hence, by induction hypothesis,  $w_i = w'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ ) and  $z_j = z'_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n_2$ ), and the sequence  $\langle w_1, \dots, w_{n_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_2} \rangle$  is unique.

We shall refer to the sequence  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  of Lemma 6 as the *decomposition sequence for  $x$* .

**Theorem (Isomorphism)** *The concatenation system  $\langle C, 0, 1, \cdot, L \rangle$  is isomorphic to the string system  $\langle A^*, \lambda, 1, *, l \rangle$ .*

*Proof:* Define  $\Psi: C \rightarrow A^*$  as follows: for every  $x \in C$ ,

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x_1 * \dots * x_n, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \text{ where } \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \\ & \text{is the decomposition sequence for } x. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* It follows easily by Lemma 6 (applied to  $C$  and to  $A^*$ ) that  $\Psi$  is a 1 - to - 1 mapping of  $C$  onto  $A^*$  which maps 0 onto  $\lambda$ , and such that for all  $y_1, y_2 \in C$ ,  $\Psi(y_1 \cdot y_2) = \Psi(y_1) * \Psi(y_2)$ . Moreover,  $\Psi(1) = 1$ , since  $1 \in A$ . Also,  $l(\Psi(x)) = L'(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ , and hence  $l(\Psi(x)) = L(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ . Thus,  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism.

**Corollary 1** *The axiom system Ax.1-Ax.11 can be enlarged to one which characterizes exactly the string systems over finite alphabets by adding*

**Ax.12**  $(\exists x)[(\forall y)(\text{ATOM}(y) \supset y \leq x)]$ .

*Proof:* Clearly, every string system over a finite alphabet realizes Ax.12.

Moreover, if the concatenation system  $\mathfrak{C} = \langle C, 0, 1, \cdot, L \rangle$  satisfies Ax.12, then so does the string system  $\langle A^*, \lambda, 1, *, l \rangle$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and hence, since every member of  $A^*$  is the concatenation of only finitely many letters,  $A$  is finite.

**Corollary 2** *For each  $n \geq 1$ , the theory obtained by adding to the system Ax.1-Ax.11 the axiom Ax.12.n, stating that there exist exactly  $n$  atoms, is categorical.*

*Proof:* Given two models  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of Ax.1-Ax.11, Ax.12.n, an isomorphism from  $C_1$  onto  $C_2$  may be obtained using the isomorphisms  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  (see the proof of the preceding Theorem), and an arbitrary one-to-one correspondence between the atoms of  $C_1$  and the atoms of  $C_2$ .

*Queens College of CUNY  
Flushing, New York*