

# ENVELOPES AND $p$ -SIGNALIZERS OF FINITE GROUPS

BY  
JOHN G. THOMPSON

The object of this paper is to obtain information about  $p$ -signalizers of finite groups, more particularly, to construct a characteristic subgroup which contains all the  $p$ -signalizers and is "small". As an application of the result obtained, and of independent interest, we obtain some information about groups whose c.f. are Suzuki groups.

If  $X$  is a group and  $p$  is a prime,  $\mathbf{S}_p(X)$  is the join of all the normal  $p$ -solvable subgroups of  $X$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{S}_2(X) = \mathbf{S}(X)$  is the largest solvable normal subgroup of  $X$ . The *socle* of  $X$  is  $\mathbf{Soc}(X)$ , the join of all the minimal normal subgroups of  $X$ ; and  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(X) = \mathbf{Soc}(X \bmod \mathbf{S}_p(X))$ . Finally,  $\mathbf{ESoc}(X)$  is the *extended socle* of  $X$  and is defined by  $\mathbf{ESoc}(X) = \bigcap \mathbf{N}_X(M)$ , where  $M$  ranges over all subgroups of  $\mathbf{Soc}(X)$  which are subnormal in  $X$ ;<sup>1</sup> and  $\mathbf{ESoc}_p(X) = \mathbf{ESoc}(X \bmod \mathbf{S}_p(X))$ .

If  $1 = \mathbf{S}(X)$ , then  $\mathbf{Soc}(X) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ , where each  $S_i$  is simple,  $1 = \mathbf{C}_X(\mathbf{Soc}(X))$  and  $\mathbf{ESoc}(X) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathbf{N}_X(S_i)$ .

*Lemma 1.* (a) *Suppose  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) \subseteq H \subseteq G$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathbf{S}_p(G) = \mathbf{S}_p(H)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) = \mathbf{Soc}_p(H)$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbf{ESoc}_p(H) \subseteq \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G)$ .

(b) *If  $H \triangleleft G$ , then*

- (i)  $\mathbf{S}_p(H) = H \cap \mathbf{S}_p(G)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(H) \subseteq \mathbf{Soc}_p(G)$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbf{ESoc}(H) \subseteq \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since  $\mathbf{S}_p(G)$  is a  $p$ -solvable normal subgroup of  $H$ , we have  $\mathbf{S}_p(G) \subseteq \mathbf{S}_p(H)$ . Since  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) \cap \mathbf{S}_p(H)$  is a  $p$ -solvable normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G)$ , we have  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) \cap \mathbf{S}_p(H) \subseteq \mathbf{S}_p(G)$ .

Thus

$$[\mathbf{Soc}_p(G), \mathbf{S}_p(H)] \subseteq \mathbf{Soc}_p(G) \cap \mathbf{S}_p(H) \subseteq \mathbf{S}_p(G),$$

so  $\mathbf{S}_p(H)$  centralizes  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G)/\mathbf{S}_p(G)$ , whence  $\mathbf{S}_p(H) \subseteq \mathbf{S}_p(G)$ . This gives

(i). In proving (ii), we may assume that  $\mathbf{S}_p(G) = \mathbf{S}_p(H) = 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) \triangleleft \mathbf{Soc}_p(H)$ , and so  $\mathbf{Soc}_p(G) = \mathbf{Soc}_p(H)$ , since  $\mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{Soc}_p(G)) = 1$ . This yields (ii). Clearly,  $\mathbf{ESoc}_p(H) = \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G) \cap H$ , so (iii) holds.

As for (b), since every characteristic subgroup of  $H$  is normal in  $G$ , (i), (ii), (iii) follow.

For each group  $G$ , let  $\mathfrak{A}(G) = \{A \mid A \triangleleft G, \mathbf{C}_G(A) = \mathbf{Z}(A)\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $p$  is a prime,  $P$  is a  $S_p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_G(A; p')$ . Then  $Q \subseteq \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G_0)$ , where  $G_0 = \mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{O}_{p',p}(G) \bmod \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))$ .*

<sup>1</sup> Observe that if  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{Soc}(X)$  then  $Y \triangleleft \triangleleft X$  if and only if  $Y \triangleleft \mathbf{Soc}(X)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by lexicographic induction on the ordered triple  $(|G|, |P:A|, |Q|)$ , and by way of contradiction. The induction hypothesis gives

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G) = 1.$$

Let  $H = \mathbf{O}_p(G) \subseteq P$  and let  $H_1 = [H, Q]$ . Suppose  $H_1 \neq 1$ . Let  $Q_0 = \mathbf{C}_Q(A)$ . Since  $AH \subseteq P$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{C}_H(A) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(A)$ . Hence,  $Q_0$  centralizes  $\mathbf{C}_{H_1}(A)$ , and so  $Q_0$  centralizes  $H_1$ . Let  $Q_1 = [Q, A]$ . We will show that  $Q_1$  centralizes  $H$ . This follows from the induction hypothesis if  $Q_1 \subset Q$ , so suppose  $Q_1 = Q$ . In any case,  $[H, A] \subseteq A$ , and so  $[H, A, Q] \subseteq H \cap Q = 1$ . This violates Lemma 5.16 of [3]. We conclude that

$$(2) \quad Q \subseteq \mathbf{C}_G(H) = G_0.$$

Our induction hypothesis, together with Lemma 1, gives

$$(3) \quad G = G_0 A.$$

Let  $\tilde{A} = A \cdot \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ , so that  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ . Since  $Q \in \mathcal{U}(\tilde{A}; p')$ , our induction hypothesis gives  $A = \tilde{A}$ .

Let  $G_1 = \mathbf{Soc}_p(G_0)$ . Now  $\mathbf{S}_p(G_0) = \mathbf{Z}(G_0)$  is a  $p$ -group and  $G_1/\mathbf{Z}(G_0) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_m$ , where each  $S_i$  is a simple non abelian group of order divisible by  $p$ . Since  $Q \not\subseteq \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G_0)$ , we may assume that  $Q$  does not normalize  $S_1$ .

By our induction hypothesis, every proper subgroup of  $Q$  which admits  $A$  normalizes  $S_1$ . Hence,  $Q$  is a  $q$ -group for some prime  $q \neq p$ , and  $Q \cap \mathbf{N}_G(S_1) = Q_1 \supseteq \mathbf{D}(Q)$ , while  $Q/\mathbf{D}(Q)$  is an irreducible  $A$ -group.

Let  $S_i = L_i/\mathbf{Z}(G_0)$ , and let  $G_2$  be the normal closure of  $L_1$  in  $G$ . Thus,  $Q \not\subseteq \mathbf{ESoc}_p(G_2 QA)$ , and so

$$(4) \quad G = G_2 QA, \quad G_1 = G_2, \quad L_1 \simeq L_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let  $P_i = P \cap L_i$ . Since  $L_i \triangleleft \triangleleft G$ , we see that

$$(5) \quad P_i \text{ is an } S_p\text{-subgroup of } L_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

We proceed to show that

$$(6) \quad A \text{ normalizes } L_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Suppose false. Choose  $a \in A$  such that  $L_i^a = L_j$  with  $j \neq i$ . Thus, for each  $x \in P_i$ ,  $x^a \in L_j$ , so

$$y = x^{-1} \cdot x^a = [x, a] \in L_i L_j \cap A.$$

For each  $z \in Q$ , we get  $[y, z] \in Q \cap G_1 = \mathbf{D}(Q)$ , so  $y$  centralizes  $Q/\mathbf{D}(Q)$ . Hence  $[y, z] = 1$ . Hence  $Q$  normalizes  $L_i L_j$ , and so  $Q \cap \mathbf{N}(L_i)$  is of index at most 2 in  $Q$ . First, suppose  $q$  is odd. Then  $Q$  normalizes  $L_i$ , so  $Q$  normalizes  $L_1$ , as  $QA$  permutes  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  transitively. This is not the case, so  $|Q:Q \cap \mathbf{N}(L_i)| = 2$ . Thus,  $\{L_i, L_j\}$  is permuted transitively by  $Q$ , so we may

assume that

$$\{L_1, L_2\}, \{L_3, L_4\}, \dots, \{L_{2n-1}, L_{2n}\}$$

are the orbits of  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  under  $Q$ ,  $2n = m$ , and that  $i = 1, j = 2$ . On the other hand,  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $A$  which does not normalize  $L_1$ , and so we conclude that  $A$  normalizes  $L_1 L_2$ . Hence  $m = 2, n = 1$ , and so  $A$  normalizes  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ,  $p$  being odd. We conclude that (6) holds.

Since  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathbf{N}(L_i)$ , so also  $[A, Q] \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathbf{N}(L_i)$ . This implies that  $[A, Q] \subseteq \mathbf{D}(Q)$ , and so

$$(7) \quad AQ = A \times Q.$$

Since  $P$  is a  $S_p$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{N}_G(A)$ ,  $P \cap \mathbf{C}_G(A) = \mathbf{Z}(A)$  is a  $S_p$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$ . Hence  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) = \mathbf{Z}(A) \times D$ , where  $D = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(\mathbf{C}_G(A)) \supseteq Q$ . By induction, we get  $A = P$ .

Since  $A = P$ , it follows that  $Q$  centralizes  $P_i$ . Hence, for each  $x \in Q$ ,  $L_i \cap L_i^x \supseteq P_i$ , and so  $L_i \cap L_i^x \supset \mathbf{Z}(G_1)$ . This implies that  $L_i = L_i^x$ , for all  $x \in Q, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and completes the proof.

For each group  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , the *envelope* of  $G$ , be the class of all groups  $H$  which have a normal subgroup  $K$  such that

- (1)  $K/\mathbf{Z}(K) \simeq G$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{C}_H(K/\mathbf{Z}(K)) = \mathbf{Z}(K)$ .

For each prime  $p$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_p$  be the class of all groups  $G$  such that if  $H \in \mathcal{E}(G), H_p$  is an  $S_p$ -subgroup of  $H$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}(H_p)$ , then  $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(H)$  contains every element of  $\mathfrak{N}_H(A; p')$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be the class of all groups  $G$  such that if  $H \in \mathcal{E}(G)$  and  $U$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , then  $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(\mathbf{C}_H(U)) \subseteq \mathbf{O}_{p'}(H)$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $q = 2^n > 2$  is an odd power of 2, then  $Sz(q) \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cap \mathfrak{S}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $H \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ , where  $G = Sz(q)$ . In proving the lemma, we may assume that  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(H) = 1$ . Let  $K$  be a normal subgroup of  $H$  such that  $K/\mathbf{Z}(K) \simeq G$  and  $\mathbf{C}_H(K/\mathbf{Z}(K)) = \mathbf{Z}(K)$ . Let  $P$  be a  $S_2$ -subgroup of  $H$ . As is well known [2],  $|H:K|$  is odd, so  $P \subseteq K$ .

*Case 1.*  $q > 8$ . By a result of Alperin and Gorenstein [1],  $K = K' \times \mathbf{Z}(K)$ . Thus, for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ , we have  $A = A_1 \times \mathbf{Z}(K)$ , where  $A_1 = A \cap K' \in \mathcal{A}(P \cap K')$ . In particular,  $A$  contains  $\mathbf{Z}(P \cap K')$ . By a result of Suzuki [2], 1 is the only element of  $\mathfrak{N}_H(A; 2')$ , and so  $G \in \mathcal{S}_2$ .

If  $U$  is a 2-subgroup of  $H$ , we may assume  $U \subseteq P$ . Thus,  $C_P(U)$  contains  $\mathbf{Z}(P \cap K')$ , and by the result of Suzuki alluded to above, 1 is the only element of  $\mathfrak{N}_H(C_P(U); 2')$ , and so  $G \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ .

*Case 2.*  $q = 8$ . Let  $L = K'$ . Thus,  $K$  is a central product of  $L$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(K)$ , and  $L$  is perfect. Let  $P_1 = P \cap L$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ , then  $A$  is a central product of  $A_1$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(K)$ , where  $A_1 = A \cap P_1 \in \mathcal{A}(P_1)$ . If  $\mathbf{Z}(L) = 1$ , the argument in Case 1 applies, so suppose  $\mathbf{Z}(L) \neq 1$ . Set  $Z = \mathbf{Z}(L)$ .

By the result of Alperin and Gorenstein, we get that  $Z$  is elementary of order

2 or 4, and in addition,  $Z = \mathbf{Z}(P_1)$ . Also,  $P_1' = Z \times E$ , where  $E$  is elementary of order 8. By a basic property of  $P_1/Z$ , each of its normal subgroups either contains  $P_1'/Z$  or is contained in  $P_1'/Z$ . Since  $A_1 \triangleleft P_1$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{P_1}(A_1) = \mathbf{Z}(A_1)$ , we get that  $A_1 \supseteq P_1'$ . Hence, 1 is the only element of  $\mathbf{N}_H(A; 2')$ , so  $G \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ .

Now suppose  $U$  is a 2-subgroup of  $H$ . We may assume that  $U \subseteq P$ .

First, suppose  $\mathbf{C}_H(U) \subseteq K$ . If  $U \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(K)$ , clearly  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(\mathbf{C}_H(U)) = 1$ , so suppose  $U \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}(K)$ . Since  $K/\mathbf{Z}(K)$  is a CIT-group, it follows that  $\mathbf{C}_H(U) = \mathbf{C}_K(U)$  is a 2-group, so  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(\mathbf{C}_H(U)) = 1$ .

Finally, suppose  $\mathbf{C}_H(U) \not\subseteq K$ . In this case,  $H/\mathbf{Z}(K) \simeq \text{Aut } Sz(8)$ . As observed by Alperin and Gorenstein,  $H/K$  acts non-trivially on  $\mathbf{Z}(L) = Z$ , and so  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(\mathbf{C}(U)) \subseteq K$ , whence  $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(\mathbf{C}(U)) = 1$ .

Combining the various cases gives  $G \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  and completes the proof.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. ALPERIN AND D. GORENSTEIN, *The multipliers of certain simple groups*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 17 (1966), pp. 515-519.
2. M. SUZUKI, *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Ann. of Math., vol. 75 (1962), pp. 105-145.
3. J. THOMPSON, *Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 74 (1968), pp. 383-437.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS