ESTIMATING EQUATIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF A NUISANCE PARAMETER

BY V. P. GODAMBE AND M. E. THOMPSON

University of Waterloo

Estimating equations for a real parameter θ which indexes a family of densities $p(x, \theta)$ were considered in the note by Godambe (Ann. Math. Statist. 31 (1960) 1208-1211). An optimality property of the equation $\partial \log p/\partial \theta = 0$ among unbiased estimating equations was established. In this paper an analogous result is proved for estimation of a real parameter θ_1 in the presence of a nuisance parameter θ_2 .

Every procedure of point estimation for an unknown real parameter θ can be viewed as solving for θ an equation $g(x,\theta)=0$, g being a real function with arguments θ and the observed value of the corresponding random variable x. The equation g=0 is then called an estimating equation. Denoting by E_{θ} the expectation on θ , let

(1)
$$\mathscr{G} = \{g : E_{\theta}(g) = 0 \text{ for all permissible } \theta\}.$$

An estimating equation g=0 is called unbiased if $g\in \mathscr{C}$. Restricting ourselves to the class of unbiased estimating equations we define $g^*=0$ as an optimum estimating equation if $g^*\in \mathscr{C}$ and for every other $g\in \mathscr{C}$,

(2)
$$E_{\theta} \left[g^* / E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g^*}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 \leq E_{\theta} \left[g / E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right]^2$$

for all permissible values of θ . For a proper motivation of this criterion of optimality we refer to Godambe (1960), where it is also proved that under some general regularity conditions to be satisfied by \mathcal{S} above and the underlying frequency function $p(x, \theta)$, (which is supposed to be completely specified up to the unknown parameter θ), g^* in (2) is given by

$$g^* = \frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta};$$

thus the maximum likelihood equation $\partial \log p/\partial \theta = 0$ is optimum.

In this article we investigate optimum estimating equations in the presence of a nuisance parameter; that is, now we assume $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, θ_1 , θ_2 being both real; and we are interested in estimating θ_1 only (ignoring θ_2). Hence we may ask the question, if \mathcal{O}_1 is the subclass of \mathcal{O} in (1) consisting of functions which have arguments x and θ_1 only and which satisfy appropriate regularity conditions

Received January 1973; revised July 1973.

AMS 1970 subject classification. 62.20.

Key words and phrases. Estimating equations, maximum likelihood estimation, nuisance parameter.

((ii) to (iv) below), is there a $g^* \in \mathscr{G}_1$ such that for all $g \in \mathscr{G}_1$

$$(4) E_{\theta} \left[g^* / E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g^*}{\partial \theta_1} \right]^2 \le E \left[g / E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_1} \right]^2$$

for all permissible θ ? An answer to this question, under the conditions specified below, is given by the theorem to follow.

The frequency function $p(x,\theta)$ is defined on the abstract measurable (measure μ) sample space $\mathscr W$ for every value of the parameter $\theta \in \Omega$, the parameter space. Thus the function p is completely specified up to the unknown parameter θ . We assume $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ where $\Omega_1 = \{\theta_1\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{\theta_2\}$ and

- (a) both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open intervals of the real line;
- (b) for almost all $x(\mu)$, $\partial \log p/\partial \theta_i$, $\partial^2 \log p/\partial \theta_i^2$, i = 1, 2 exist for all $\theta \in \Omega$;
- (c) $\int p \, d\mu$ and $\int (\partial \log p/\partial \theta_i) p \, d\mu$, i = 1, 2 are differentiable under the integral sign for all $\theta \in \Omega$;
 - (d) $E_{\theta}(\partial \log p/\partial \theta_i)^2 > 0$, i = 1, 2 for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

Next the class of functions \mathcal{G}_1 on $\mathcal{H} \times \Omega_1$ referred to above is assumed to satisfy the following conditions: For every $g \in \mathcal{G}_1$

- (i) $E_{\theta}(g) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$;
- (ii) for almost all $x(\mu)$, $\partial g/\partial \theta_1$ exists for all $\theta \in \Omega$;
- (iii) $\int gp \, d\mu$ is once differentiable w.r.t. θ_1 and twice w.r.t. θ_2 , under the integral sign;
 - (iv) $[E_{\theta}(\partial g/\partial \theta_1)]^2 > 0$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

With this we have the

THEOREM. Under the conditions (a)—(d) and (i)—(iv) above for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_1$, a function $g^* \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and satisfying (4) above is given by

$$(5) g^* = C_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) \partial \log p / \partial \theta_1 + C_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) [(\partial \log p / \partial \theta_2)^2 + (\partial^2 \log p / \partial \theta_2)^2],$$

provided $C_1(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $C_2(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ in (5) are such that the resulting g^* is independent of θ_2 , and satisfies (ii)—(iv).

Proof. For all $g \in \mathcal{G}_1$ we have

(6)
$$E_{\theta} \left(g/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right)^{2} = E_{\theta} \left[\left(g/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right) - \left(g^{*}/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g^{*}}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right) \right]^{2} - E_{\theta} \left[g^{*}/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g^{*}}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right]^{2} + 2E_{\theta} \left[\left(g/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right) \left(g^{*}/E_{\theta} \frac{\partial g^{*}}{\partial \theta_{1}} \right) \right]$$

where g^* is given by (5). Because of the conditions (i) and (iii) above for $g \in \mathcal{G}_1$,

$$0 = \int g p \, d\mu = \int g [\partial \log p / \partial \theta_1] p \, d\mu + \int (\partial g / \partial \theta_1) p \, d\mu ;$$

that is, for all $\theta \in \Omega$

(7)
$$E_{\theta}(g[\partial \log p/\partial \theta_1])/E_{\theta}(\partial g/\partial \theta_1) = -1.$$

Further, because of the condition (iii) above we have for $g \in \mathcal{G}_1$

$$0 = \int g p \, d\mu = \int g [\partial \log p / \partial \theta_2] p \, d\mu = \int g [\partial \log p / \partial \theta_2]^2 p \, d\mu + \int g [\partial^2 \log p / \partial \theta_2] p \, d\mu,$$

i.e. for all $\theta \in \Omega$

(8)
$$E_{\theta}g[(\partial \log p/\partial \theta_2)^2 + (\partial^2 \log p/\partial \theta_2)^2] = 0.$$

Substituting (7) and (8) in (6) we get that $E_{\theta}(g/E_{\theta}(\partial g/\partial \theta_1))^2$ is minimized in \mathcal{G}_1 for $g = g^*$ given by (5), provided $g^* \in \mathcal{G}_1$. Differentiating twice in the equation

$$1 = \int p \, d\mu$$

and using condition (c) shows that $E_{\theta}g^*=0$. Hence the theorem.

Example. Let in the above notation $x = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and

$$p(x, \theta) = (1/(2\pi\theta_1)^{\frac{1}{2}})^n \cdot \exp(-\sum (y_i - \theta_2)^2/2\theta_1)$$

for $-\infty < y_1 < \infty$, $0 < \theta_1 < \infty$, $-\infty < \theta_2 < \infty$. Then for all θ_1 and θ_2 ,

$$\partial \log p / \partial \theta_1 = -\frac{n}{2\theta_1} + \frac{\sum (y_i - \theta_2)^2}{2\theta_1^2} = -\frac{n}{2\theta_1} + \frac{(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{y} - \theta_2)^2}{2\theta_1^2};$$

$$\partial \log p/\partial \theta_2 = \sum (y_i - \theta_2)/\theta_1 = n(\bar{y} - \theta_2)/\theta_1; \qquad \partial^2 \log p/\partial \theta_2^2 = -n/\theta_1;$$

with $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i/n$ and $s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2/(n-1)$. It is easy to see that substituting $C_2(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ in (5) equal to -1/2n and $C_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1$ we get

(9)
$$g^* = \frac{n-1}{2\theta_1^2} (s^2 - \theta_1).$$

Also the verification of the conditions (ii)—(iv) for g^* and (a)—(d) for this example is obvious.

By applying the theorem to this example a second time, this time regarding θ_2 (the mean) as the parameter of interest and θ_1 (the variance) as the nuisance parameter, one can easily show that the equation $\bar{y} - \theta_2 = 0$ is optimal for estimating θ_2 .

A method of wide applicability for obtaining a plausible (possibly not optimal) member of \mathcal{O}_1 to estimate θ_1 has been proposed by G. A. Barnard (1972). This method can be used, for example, when it is possible to write $\partial \log p/\partial \theta_1$ in the form $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ where (A) ϕ_2 takes the value 0 when θ_2 is set equal to its maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\theta}_2$ and (B) $g_1 = \phi_1 + E_{\theta} \phi_2$ is a function of x and θ_1 only. The estimating equation $g_1 = 0$ seems especially reasonable when it is noted that conditions (A) and (B) hold if appropriate regularity conditions are satisfied and if

$$g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \phi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \theta} \phi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \bigg|_{\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \hat{\theta}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \theta} \left(\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \bigg|_{\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \hat{\theta}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \right)$$

is a function of x and θ_1 only. It is not known whether g_1 is optimal in the sense of (4) except in the special case of the example above,

For a different approach to the theory of estimating equations in the multiparameter situation the reader is referred to Bhapkar (1971).

Acknowledgment. With pleasure the authors acknowledge that the possibility of some kind of optimality of the estimating equation giving (9) was suggested by G. A. Barnard in a personal conversation. However, the formulation of the optimality criterion (4) and the theorem above is due to the authors.

REFERENCES

BARNARD, G. A. (1972). Oral communication.

BHAPKAR, V. P. (1972). On a measure of efficiency of an estimating equation. Sankhyā Ser. A 34 467-472.

DURBIN, J. (1960). Estimation of parameters in time series regression models. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 22 139-153.

GODAMBE, V. P. (1960). An optimum property of regular maximum likelihood estimation. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 31 1208-1211.

GODAMBE, V. P. and THOMPSON, M. E. (1973). Estimating equations in the presence of a nuisance parameter (abstract). *Bull. IMS* 2 57.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA