## A SEQUENTIAL SOLUTION TO THE INVERSE LINEAR REGRESSION PROBLEM<sup>1</sup>

By S. K. PERNG AND YUNG LIANG TONG

Kansas State University and University of Nebraska

In this note we apply the sequential theory developed by Chow and Robbins [1] and Gleser [3], [4] to the inverse linear regression problem. A two-stage sequential procedure has been proposed for the construction of a fixed-width confidence interval for x (an unknown parameter). It is shown that the limiting probabilities of "correct decision" are equal to  $P^*$  (pre-assigned).

1. Introduction. Consider the following model of the inverse linear regression problem:

$$(1.1) Y_{1i} = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_{1i} i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$$

$$(1.2) Y_{2j} = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon_{2j} j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \dots$$

where  $\{\varepsilon_{1i}\}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_{2j}\}$  are two sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables with means zero and finite unknown variances  $\sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2$  respectively,  $\{x_i\}$  is a sequence of known constants,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and x are unknown. The problem is to estimate x based on the observed  $Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n}$  and  $Y_{21}, \dots, Y_{2m}$ . The solution of this problem has various statistical applications.

In previous literature ([5], [6]) the point and interval estimation of x has been considered when the random variables are normally distributed, the sample size n and m are fixed, and the variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are equal. Due to the undesirable facts that the mean square error of maximum likelihood estimator of x is infinite, and the length of the confidence interval of x may be infinite, other approaches to the estimation of x should be considered. In this note we apply the sequential sampling rules developed by Chow and Robbins [1] and Gleser [3], [4] to construct a fixed-width confidence interval for x. In the first stage we observe the sequence  $\{Y_{1i}\}$  sequentially for the estimation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If the estimator of  $\beta$  is not significantly different for 0 we do not proceed to the second stage and conclude that this model is not suitable for the estimation of x. Otherwise, we proceed to the second stage to observe  $\{Y_{2i}\}$  sequentially. When the experiment terminates, a fixed-width confidence interval for x is constructed so that the probability of coverage is approximately  $P^*$  (pre-assigned) when the length of the interval is small.

www.jstor.org

Received January 1972; revised July 1973.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Contribution number 192. Department of Statistics, Statistical Laboratory, Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Manhattan, Kansas 66506.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62L10.

Key words and phrases. Inverse linear regression problem, two-stage sequential procedure, fixed-width confidence interval.

535

In Section 2 notations and assumptions are introduced. The sequential procedure is specified in Section 3, and certain asymptotic properties of this procedure are proved in Section 4.

2. Notations and assumptions.  $d_1 > 0$ ,  $d_2 > 0$  and  $P^* \in (0, 1)$  are specified constants, and a satisfies

$$P^* = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx$$
.

For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

(2.1) 
$$X_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{n} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{x}(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \qquad [S(n)]^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x}(n))^{2}.$$

For observed  $Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n}$  and  $Y_{21}, \dots, Y_{2m}, \hat{\alpha}(n), \hat{\beta}(n)$  are the least-squares estimators of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively based on  $Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n}; \ \bar{Y}_1(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{1i}, \ \bar{Y}_2(m) = m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m Y_{2j}$  are the sample means and

(2.2) 
$$\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_{1i} - \hat{\alpha}(n) - \hat{\beta}(n)x_{i}]^{2},$$

(2.3) 
$$\hat{\sigma}_2^2(m) = m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_{2j} - \bar{Y}_2(m))^2$$

are estimators of  $\sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2$  respectively.

Throughout this note we shall make the following assumptions on  $X_n$ :

Assumption A.  $X_n$  is of rank 2 for every n.

Assumption B. There exists a  $(2 \times 2)$  positive definite matrix  $\Sigma$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}(X_n X_n') = \Sigma .$$

We observe that Assumption B is Assumption 3.1 of Gleser [3], which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} [S(n)]^2/n = \theta$$

for some positive real number  $\theta$ .

- 3. The procedure and its probability of correct decision. For given constants  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and  $P^*$  we state the sequential procedure:
- (1) First Stage: (a) Start by observing  $Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n_0}$  where  $n_0 \ge 2$  is predetermined. Then sample one at a time and stop according to the stopping variable N where

(3.1) 
$$N = \text{the first integer} \quad n \ge n_0 \quad \text{such that}$$
$$(\hat{\sigma}_1^2(n) + n^{-1}) \le d_1^2 [S(n)]^2 / a^2.$$

- (b) If  $|\hat{\beta}(N)| < d_1$ , then conclude that  $\beta$  is not significantly different from zero and the model is not suitable for estimating x. Otherwise, proceed to the second stage.
- (2) Second Stage: (a) Start by observing  $Y_{21}, \dots, Y_{2m_0}$  where  $m_0 \ge 2$  is predetermined. Then with the observed  $\hat{\beta}(N)$  from the first stage, sample one at a

time according to the following stopping variable M:

(3.2) 
$$M = \text{the integer} \quad m \ge m_0 \quad \text{such that}$$
$$(\hat{\sigma}_2^2(m) + m^{-1}) \le [d_2 \hat{\beta}(N)]^2 m/a^2.$$

(b) When sampling is stopped at M = m, construct

$$(3.3) I = (\hat{x} - d_2, \hat{x} + d_2)$$

and conclude that I covers x, where  $\hat{x} = [\bar{Y}_2(M) - \hat{\alpha}(N)]/\hat{\beta}(N)$ .

Note that the stopping variable M also depends on  $d_1$  through  $\hat{\beta}(N)$ ; and that if  $[S(n)]^2$  is replaced by n in (3.1) then the first-stage stopping rule is similar to the stopping rule considered in [3] and the second-stage stopping rule is almost the same as the stopping rule in [1].

It seems reasonable to define a correct decision as not to proceed to the second stage if  $\beta=0$ , and to proceed to the second stage and to have  $x \in I$  if  $\beta \neq 0$ . Hence, under the sequential procedure for every  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2$  and x the probability of correct decision (CD) is

(3.4) 
$$P[CD] = P[|\hat{\beta}(N)| \leq d_1] \quad \text{if} \quad \beta = 0,$$

(3.5) 
$$P[CD] = P[|\hat{\beta}(N)| > d_1, x \in I] \quad \text{if} \quad \beta \neq 0.$$

**4.** Asymptotic results. In this section we investigate the asymptotic properties of the procedure.

LEMMA 1. Under the proposed sequential procedure:

(4.1) 
$$\lim_{d_1 \to 0} N = \infty \quad \text{a.s.} , \qquad \lim_{d_1 \to 0} \frac{d_1^2 N}{a^2 \sigma_1^2} = \frac{1}{\theta} \quad \text{a.s.} ,$$
 
$$\lim_{d_1 \to 0} \frac{d_1 S(N)}{\sigma_1} = a \quad \text{a.s.} ;$$

(4.2) 
$$\lim_{d_2 \to 0} M = \infty$$
 a.s.  $and$   $\lim_{d_2 \to 0} \frac{(d_2 \hat{\beta}(N))^2 M}{a^2 \sigma_2^2} = 1$  a.s.

PROOF. The proof follows immediately from Lemma 1 of [1].

LEMMA 2. Under the stopping rule specified in (3.1)

(4.3) 
$$\lim_{d_1\to 0} \hat{\alpha}(N) = \alpha \quad \text{a.s.}, \qquad \lim_{d_1\to 0} \hat{\beta}(N) = \beta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. Obviously for every  $n \ge 2$ , we have

$$\hat{\beta}(n) = \beta + c_n(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ni} Z_i = \beta + U_n$$
 (say),

where  $c_n = \sigma_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}}/S(n)$ ,  $b_{ni} = (x_i - \bar{x}(n))/S(n)$  and  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \dots$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with zero mean and unit variance. Since  $c_n \to \sigma_1/(\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for some  $\theta > 0$ , applying Lemma 2 of [4] it follows that  $U_n \to 0$  a.s. and  $\hat{\beta}(n) \to \beta$  a.s. Therefore, by (4.1) we have  $\hat{\beta}(N) \to \beta$  a.s. The proof of the a.s. convergence of  $\hat{\alpha}(N)$  is similar.

We now prove two theorems regarding the expected sample sizes and the limiting probabilities of correct decision.

THEOREM 1. For every finite  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ ,

(4.4) 
$$P[N < \infty] = 1$$
,  $P[M < \infty] = 1$ ;

(4.5) 
$$\lim_{d_1 \to 0} \frac{d_1^2(EN)}{a^2 \sigma_1^2} = \frac{1}{\theta}, \qquad and$$

(4.6) 
$$\lim_{d_2\to 0} \frac{(d_2\hat{\beta}(N))^2(EM)}{a^2\sigma_2^2} = 1 \quad \text{for every observed} \quad \hat{\beta}(N) .$$

PROOF. (4.4) follows from the a.s. convergences of  $\hat{\sigma}_1^2(N)$  to  $\sigma_1^2([3])$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_2^2(M)$  to  $\sigma_2^2$ . (4.6) follows from Lemma 3 of [1]. (4.5) also follows from Lemma 3 of [1]; clearly the discussion following the lemma (page 460 in [1]) applies to the proof of (4.5) with the aid of the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} [y_i - \hat{\alpha}(n+1) - \hat{\beta}(n+1)x_i]^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - \hat{\alpha}(n+1) - \hat{\beta}(n+1)x_i]^2$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - \hat{\alpha}(n) - \hat{\beta}(n)x_i]^2,$$

which follows from a property of the least squares estimators.

THEOREM 2. Under the sequential procedure: (a) If  $\beta=0$ , then  $\lim_{d_1\to 0}P[CD]=P^*$ . (b) If  $\beta\neq 0$  and if  $\{Y_{2j}\}$  defined in (1.2) is a sequence of continuous random variables, then  $\lim_{d_2\to 0}\lim_{d_1\to 0}P[CD]=P^*$ .

PROOF. If  $\beta = 0$ , then by Corollary  $B_2$  of [7],  $S(N)\hat{\beta}(N)/\sigma_1$  has a limiting standard normal distribution as  $d_1 \to 0$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{d_1 \to 0} P[CD] = \lim_{d_1 \to 0} P\left[\left|\frac{S(N)\hat{\beta}(N)}{\sigma_1}\right| \le \frac{d_1 S(N)}{\sigma_1}\right] = P^*,$$

where the second equality follows from (4.1) and a convergence theorem of Cramér ([2] page 254). This proves (a).

To prove (b) we first define a new stopping variable  $M^*$  with  $\hat{\beta}(N)$  replaced by  $\beta$  in (3.2) (clearly we would not be able to apply this stopping rule in practice because  $\beta$  is unknown), and show that for fixed  $d_2 > 0$ , M converges almost surely to  $M^*$  as  $d_1 \to 0$ . Let  $w = (y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots)$  be a point in the sample space. Then  $M^*(w) = m$  for some m iff

(4.7) 
$$T_m(w) \le \frac{d_2^2}{a^2} \beta^2 < \min_{m_0 \le k < m} T_k(w)$$

holds, where  $T_k = (k)^{-1} [\hat{\sigma}_2^2(k) + (k)^{-1}]$ .

Using the facts that  $\hat{\beta}(N)$  converges to  $\beta$  almost surely as  $d_1 \to 0$ , and  $T_k$  is a continuous random variable for every k, we have that for sufficiently small  $d_1 > 0$ ,

$$T_m(w) < \frac{d_2^2}{a^2} \hat{\beta}(N)(w) < \min_{m_0 \le k < m} T_k(w)$$

holds with probability one. This implies that for fixed  $d_2 > 0$ ,  $\lim_{d_1 \to 0} M = M^*$  a.s. It follows that for fixed  $d_2 > 0$ ,  $\bar{Y}_2(M)$  converges a.s. to  $\bar{Y}_2(M^*)$  as  $d_1 \to 0$ . Applying a convergence theorem of [2], page 254, Lemma 1 of [4], (4.3) and the

.

fact that

$$\lim_{d_2\to 0} \left[ \frac{d_2|\beta|(M^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sigma_2} \right] = a \quad \text{a.s.} ,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{d_2 \to 0} \lim_{d_1 \to 0} P[\text{CD}] &= \lim_{d_2 \to 0} \lim_{d_1 \to 0} P \bigg[ |\hat{\beta}(N)| > d_1, \left| \frac{\bar{Y}_2(M) - \hat{\alpha}(N)}{\hat{\beta}(N)} - x \right| \leq d_2 \bigg] \\ &= \lim_{d_2 \to 0} P \bigg[ \left| \frac{\bar{Y}_2(M^*) - \alpha - \beta x}{\sigma_2/(M^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \leq \frac{d_2 |\beta| (M^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sigma_2} \bigg] = P^* \; . \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Acknowledgment. We wish to thank the referees for their helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Chow, Y. S. and Robbins, H. (1965). On the asymptotic theory of fixed-width sequential confidence intervals for the mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 36 457-462.
- [2] CRAMÉR, H. (1947). Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [3] GLESER, L. J. (1965). On the asymptotic theory of fixed-size sequential confidence bounds for linear regression parameters. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 36 463-467.
- [4] GLESER, L. J. (1966). Correction to "On the asymptotic theory of fixed-size sequential confidence bounds for linear regression parameters." *Ann. Math. Statist.* 37 1053-1055.
- [5] HOADLEY, B. (1970). A Bayesian look at inverse linear regression. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 65 356-369.
- [6] Krutchkoff, R. G. (1967). Classical and inverse regression methods of calibration. Technometrics 9 425-439.
- [7] Srivastava, M. S. (1967). On fixed-width confidence bounds for regression parameters and mean vector. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 29 132-140.
- [8] WIJSMAN, R. A. (1965). Review #2643 in Math. Reviews 30 508-509.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY CALVIN HALL 19

Manhattan, Kansas 66506

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68508