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For an analytic univalent function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ in the unit disk, it is well-known that $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$. But the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ does not imply the univalence of $f$. This motivated several authors to determine various radii constants associated with the analytic functions having prescribed coefficient bounds. In this paper, a survey of the related work is presented for analytic and harmonic mappings. In addition, we establish a coefficient inequality for sense-preserving harmonic functions to compute the bounds for the radius of univalence, radius of full starlikeness/convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ for functions with prescribed coefficient bound on the analytic part.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f$ defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ normalized by $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. For functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

belonging to the subclass $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of univalent functions, de Branges [1] proved the famous Bieberbach conjecture that $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$. However, the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n(n \geq 2)$ does not imply that $f$ is univalent. A function $f$ given by (1) whose coefficients satisfy $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$ is necessarily analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ by the usual comparison test and hence a member of $\mathscr{A}$. But it need not be univalent. For example, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-2 z^{2}-3 z^{3}-\cdots=2 z-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n(n \geq 2)$ but its derivative vanishes inside $\mathbb{D}$ and so the function $f$ is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. It is therefore of interest to determine the largest subdisk
$|z|<\rho<1$ in which the functions $f$ satisfying the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ are univalent. Motivated by this problem, various radii problems associated with analytic as well as harmonic functions having prescribed coefficient bounds have been studied and we present a brief review of the research on this topic. Recall that given two subsets $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ of $\mathscr{A}$, the $\mathscr{G}$ radius in $\mathscr{F}$ is the largest $R$ such that, for every $f \in \mathscr{F}$, $r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathscr{G}$ for each $r \leq R$.
1.1. Analytic Case. Most of the classes in univalent function theory are characterized by the quantities $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ or $1+$ $z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ lying in a given domain in the right half-plane. For instance, the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{K}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and convex functions of order $\alpha$, respectively, are defined analytically by the equivalences

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha,  \tag{3}\\
f \in \mathscr{K}(\alpha) \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)>\alpha
\end{gather*}
$$

These classes were introduced by Robertson [2]. The classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)$ and $\mathscr{K}:=\mathscr{K}(0)$ are the familiar classes of starlike and convex functions, respectively. Goodman [3] introduced the class $\mathscr{U C V}$ of uniformly convex functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$, which map every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\mathbb{D}$ with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ onto a convex arc. For $f \in \mathscr{A}$, Rønning [4] and Ma and Minda [5] independently proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathscr{U} \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Closely related to the class $\mathscr{U C V V}$ is the class $\mathcal{S}_{P}$ of parabolic starlike functions, introduced by Rønning [4] consisting of functions $f=z g^{\prime}$ where $g \in \mathscr{U C V}$; that is, a function $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{P}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1970, Gavrilov [6] showed that the radius of univalence for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n \quad(n \geq 2)$ is the real root $r_{0} \simeq 0.164$ of the equation $2(1-r)^{3}-(1+r)=0$. In 1982, Yamashita [7] showed that the radius of univalence obtained by Gavrilov [6] is also the radius of starlikeness for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$. Yamashita [7] also proved that the radius of convexity for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ ( $n \geq 2$ ) is the real root $r_{0} \simeq 0.090$ of the equation $2(1-r)^{4}-$ $\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)=0$.

The inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M$ holds for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $|f(z)| \leq M$. Gavrilov [6] proved that the radius of univalence for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M(n \geq 2)$ is $1-\sqrt{M /(1+M)}$, which also turned out to be their radius of starlikeness, a result proved by Yamashita [7]. The radius of convexity for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M(n \geq 2)$ is the real root of the equation $(M+1)(1-r)^{3}-M(1+r)=0$.

For $0 \leq b \leq 1$, let $\mathscr{A}_{b}$ denote the class of functions $f$ given by (1) with $\left|a_{2}\right|=2 b$. Since the second coefficient of normalized univalent functions determines their important properties such as Koebe-one-quarter theorem, growth and distortion theorems, the last author [8] obtained the sharp $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha), \mathscr{K}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1), \mathscr{U} \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{P}$ radii for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}_{b}$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n,\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M$, or $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M / n(M>0)$ for $n \geq 3$. Observe that a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0$ satisfies $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 2 / n$ for $n \geq 2$. Indeed, Ravichandran [8] proved the following theorem, which includes the results of Gavrilov [6] and Yamashita [7] as special cases.

Theorem 1 (see [8]). Let $f \in \mathscr{A}_{b}$ be given by (1) with $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for $n \geq 3$. Then we have the following.
(i) $f$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<1-\alpha \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $|z|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}=r_{0}(\alpha)$ is the real root in $(0,1)$ of the equation $1-\alpha+(1+\alpha) r=2(1-\alpha+(2-$ $\alpha)(1-b) r)(1-r)^{3}$. In particular, the number $r_{0}(\alpha)$
is also the radius of starlikeness of order $\alpha$ and the number $r_{0}(1 / 2)$ is the radius of parabolic starlikeness of the given functions.
(ii) $f$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<1-\alpha \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $|z|<s_{0}$ where $s_{0}=s_{0}(\alpha)$ is the real root in $(0,1)$ of the equation $2(1-\alpha+2(2-\alpha)(1-b) r)(1-r)^{4}=$ $1-\alpha+4 r+(1+\alpha) r^{2}$. In particular, the number $s_{0}(\alpha)$ is also the radius of convexity of order $\alpha$ and the number $s_{0}(1 / 2)$ is the radius of uniform convexity of the given functions.

The results are sharp for the function

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{0}(z) & =2 z+2(1-b) z^{2}-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}  \tag{8}\\
& =z-2 b z^{2}-3 z^{3}-4 z^{4}-\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

It is observed that [9] if a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 2 / n^{2}$. Similarly, Reade [10] proved that a close-to-star function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n^{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. However, the converse in both the cases is not true, in general. Recently, Mendiratta et al. [11] obtained sharp radii of starlikeness of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, parabolic starlikeness and uniform convexity for the class $\mathscr{A}_{b}$ when $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M / n^{2}$ or $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M n^{2}$ ( $M>0$ ) for $n \geq 3$. Ali et al. [12] also worked in the similar direction and obtained similar radii constants.
1.2. Harmonic Case. In a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, a complex-valued harmonic function $f$ has the representation $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\Omega$. We call the functions $h$ and $g$ the analytic and the coanalytic parts of $f$, respectively. Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the class of all harmonic functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ normalized so that $h$ and $g$ take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \\
g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the Jacobian of $f$ is given by $J_{f}=\left|h^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}$, by a theorem of Lewy [13], $f$ is sense-preserving if and only if $\left|g^{\prime}\right|<\left|h^{\prime}\right|$, or equivalently if $h^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and the second dilatation $w_{f}=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ satisfies $\left|w_{f}(z)\right|<1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ consisting of sense-preserving functions. Then it is easy to see that $\left|b_{1}\right|<1$ for functions in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$. Set $\mathscr{H}^{0}:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}: b_{1}=0\right\}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}:=\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }} \cap \mathscr{H}^{0}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ be subclasses of $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$, respectively, consisting of univalent functions.

One of the important questions in the study of class $\delta_{H}^{0}$ and its subclasses is related to coefficient bounds. In 1984,

Clunie and Sheil-Small [14] conjectured that the Taylor coefficients of the series of $h$ and $g$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}(2 n+1)(n+1) \\
& \left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}(2 n-1)(n-1) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall n \geq 2$
and it is still open. These researchers proposed this coefficient conjecture because the harmonic Koebe function $K=H+\bar{G}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
H(z) & =\frac{z-(1 / 2) z^{2}+(1 / 6) z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}} \\
& =z+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n+1)(2 n+1) z^{n} \\
G(z) & =\frac{(1 / 2) z^{2}+(1 / 6) z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}}  \tag{11}\\
& =\frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)(2 n-1) z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

is expected to play the extremal role in the class $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$. However, this conjecture is proved for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ with real coefficients and all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ for which either $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin, close-to-convex, or convex in one direction (see [14-16]).

If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ for which $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex, Clunie and SheilSmall [14] proved that the Taylor coefficients of $h$ and $g$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality occurs for the harmonic half-plane mapping

$$
\begin{align*}
& L(z)=M(z)+\overline{N(z)} \\
& M(z):=\frac{z-(1 / 2) z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}},  \tag{13}\\
& N(z):=\frac{-(1 / 2) z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{K}_{H}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{* 0}$ be subclasses of $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ consisting of functions $f$ for which $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to origin, respectively. Recall that convexity and starlikeness are not hereditary properties for univalent harmonic mappings (see [17-19]). Chuaqui et al. [19] introduced the notion of fully starlike and fully convex harmonic functions that do inherit the properties of starlikeness and convexity, respectively. The last two authors [18] generalized this concept to fully starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and fully convex harmonic functions of order $\alpha$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Let $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ be subclasses of $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ consisting
of fully starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and fully convex functions of order $\alpha$, with $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}:=\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(0)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}$ := $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(0)$. The functions in the classes $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$ are characterized by the conditions $(\partial / \partial \theta) \arg f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)>\alpha$ and $(\partial / \partial \theta)\left(\arg \left\{(\partial / \partial \theta) f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}\right)>\alpha$ for every circle $|z|=r$, $z=r e^{i \theta}$, respectively, where $0 \leq \theta<2 \pi, 0<r<1$.

The radius of full convexity of the class $\mathscr{K}_{H}^{0}$ is $\sqrt{2}-1$ while the radius of full convexity of the class $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{* 0}$ is $3-\sqrt{8}$ (see $[14,16,20]$ ). The corresponding problems for the radius of full starlikeness are still unsolved. However, Kalaj et al. [21] worked in this direction and determined the radius of univalence and full starlikeness of functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ whose coefficients satisfy the conditions (10) and (12). This, in turn, provides a bound for the radius of full starlikeness for convex and starlike mappings in $\delta_{H}^{0}$. These results are generalized in context of fully starlike and fully convex functions of order $\alpha$ ( $0 \leq \alpha<1$ ) in [18]. The authors [18] proved the following result.

Theorem 2 (see [18]). Let $h$ and $g$ have the form (9) with $b_{1}=$ $g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then we have the following.
(a) If the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (10), then $f=h+\bar{g}$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<r_{S}$, where $r_{S}=r_{S}(\alpha)$ is the real root in $(0,1)$ of the equation $2(1-\alpha)(1-r)^{4}+\alpha(1-r)^{2}-$ $(1+r)^{2}=0$.
(b) If the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (12), then $f=h+\bar{g}$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<r_{S}$, where $r_{S}=r_{S}(\alpha)$ is the real root in $(0,1)$ of the equation $(2-\alpha)(1-r)^{3}+\alpha r(1-r)^{2}-1-r=0$.

Moreover, the results are sharp for each $\alpha \in[0,1)$.
Theorem 2 gives the bounds for the radius of full starlikeness of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ for the classes $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{* 0}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{H}^{0}$. In addition, the authors in [18] also determined the bounds for the radius of full convexity of order $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$ for these classes.

The analytic part of harmonic mappings plays a vital role in shaping their geometric properties. For instance, if $f=$ $h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ and $h$ is convex univalent, then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ and maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a close-to-convex domain (see [14, Theorem 5.17, p. 20]). However, if $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) and $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for $n \geq 2$, then $f$ need not be even univalent; for example, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\overline{\overline{z^{2}}} \frac{\overline{z^{3}}}{3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ but is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ since $f\left(z_{0}\right)=$ $f\left(\bar{z}_{0}\right)=3 / 4$ where $z_{0}=(3+\sqrt{3} i) / 4 \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that a convex univalent function $z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ satisfies $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$. This paper aims to determine the coefficient inequalities and radius constants for certain subfamilies of $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ with the prescribed coefficient bound on the analytic part.

A coefficient inequality for functions in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ is obtained in Section 2 which, in particular, improves the coefficient inequality proved by Polatoğlu et al. [22] for perturbed harmonic mappings. Using this inequality, the bounds for the radius of univalence, full starlikeness, and full convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ are obtained for functions $f=h+\bar{g} \epsilon$ $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$ where the coefficients of the analytic part $h$ satisfy one of the conditions $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n,\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$, or $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ for $n \geq 2$. In addition, we will also discuss a case under which these bounds can be improved.

In the third section, sharp bounds on $\beta$ (depending upon $\alpha$ and $\left.\left|b_{1}\right|\right)$ are determined for a function $f=h+\bar{g} \epsilon$ $\mathscr{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9), satisfying either of the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \beta \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \beta \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be either fully starlike of order $\alpha$ or fully convex of order $\alpha$. The obtained results are applied to hypergeometric functions in Section 4.

## 2. A Coefficient Inequality and Radius Constants

Firstly, we will obtain a coefficient inequality for functions in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$.

Theorem 3. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{s p}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq\left|b_{1}\right|\left|a_{n}\right|+\frac{\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, with $a_{1}=1$. In particular, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k\left|a_{k}\right|, \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$, the function $w(z)=g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{n} z^{n}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $|w(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. On equating the coefficients of $z^{n-1}$ in $g^{\prime}(z)=w(z) h^{\prime}(z)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
n b_{n}= & a_{1} w_{n-1}+2 w_{n-2} a_{2}+3 w_{n-3} a_{3} \\
& +\cdots+(n-1) w_{1} a_{n-1}+n w_{0} a_{n}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}=1$. Since $\left|w_{n}\right| \leq 1-\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}$ (see [23, p. 172]), it immediately follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq\left(1-\left|w_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right|+n\left|w_{0}\right|\left|a_{n}\right|, \quad\left(a_{1}=1\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{0}=g^{\prime}(0) / h^{\prime}(0)=b_{1}$, the desired result follows.
For specific choices of the analytic part $h$ in a harmonic function $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$, Theorem 3 yields the following result.

Corollary 4. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{s p}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9). Then we have the following.
(i) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ or, in particular, $h$ is univalent, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq$ $(2 n+1)(n+1) / 6, n=2,3, \ldots$
(ii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ or, in particular, $h$ is convex univalent, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n+1) / 2, n=2,3, \ldots$.
(iii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ or, in particular, $\operatorname{Re} h^{\prime}(z)>0$, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq$ $1, n=2,3, \ldots$.

Remark 5. Polatoğlu et al. [22] determined the coefficient inequality for harmonic functions in a subclass of $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$, for which the analytic part is a univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$. They proved that if $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}$ where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) and if $h$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(2^{n} 6-n^{2}-4 n-6\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that Corollary 4(i) improves this bound.
Now, we will determine the radius of univalence, radius of full starlikeness/full convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ for the class $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$ with specific choices of the coefficient bound on the analytic part. We will make use of the following sufficient coefficient conditions for a harmonic function to be in the classes $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ that directly follow from the corresponding results in [24, 25].

Lemma 6 (see $[24,25]$ ). Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) and let $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then we have the following.
(i) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$.
(ii) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$.
Theorem 7. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{s p}^{0}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then we have the following.
(i) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ or, in particular, $h$ is univalent, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<$ $r_{1}$ where $r_{1}=r_{1}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& 12(1-\alpha) r^{4}+(49 \alpha-48) r^{3}+8(9-8 \alpha) r^{2} \\
& \quad+3(11 \alpha-18) r+6(1-\alpha)=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
(ii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ or, in particular, $h$ is convex univalent, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<r_{2}$ where $r_{2}=r_{2}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4(1-\alpha) r^{3}+(9 \alpha-12) r^{2}+(12-7 \alpha) r \\
& \quad-2(1-\alpha)=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
(iii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ or, in particular, $\operatorname{Re} h^{\prime}(z)>0$, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<$ $r_{3}$ where $r_{3}=r_{3}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
2(1-\alpha) r^{3}+(5 \alpha-4) r^{2}+(1-3 \alpha) r \\
-2 \alpha(1-r)^{2} \log (1-r)=0 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
Proof. Since $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right|, \quad\left(n \geq 2 ; a_{1}=1\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

by applying Theorem 3 . We will make use of (26) to obtain the coefficient bounds for $b_{n}$ in three different cases specified in the theorem. For $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{r}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(z):=\frac{f(r z)}{r}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$. In view of Lemma 6(i), it suffices to show that the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded above by 1 for $0 \leq r<r_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$.
(i) Since $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$, it is easy to deduce that $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n-$ $1)(2 n-1) / 6$ by (26). Using these coefficient bounds in (28) and simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S \leq \frac{1}{6(1-\alpha)} & {\left[2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}+(3+2 \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}\right.}  \tag{29}\\
& \left.+(1-9 \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}+\frac{\alpha r}{1-r}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $S \leq 1$ if $r$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}+(2 \alpha+3) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}  \tag{30}\\
& \quad+(1-9 \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}+\frac{\alpha r}{1-r} \leq 6(1-\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

By using the identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{r}{(1-r)^{2}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n r^{n}, \\
\frac{r(1+r)}{(1-r)^{3}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n},  \tag{31}\\
\frac{r\left(r^{2}+4 r+1\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

the last inequality reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2\left(r^{2}+4 r+1\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}+(2 \alpha+3) \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^{3}}  \tag{32}\\
& \quad+\frac{1-9 \alpha}{(1-r)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{1-r} \leq 12(1-\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left(r^{2}\right. & +4 r+1)+(2 \alpha+3)\left(1-r^{2}\right) \\
& +(1-9 \alpha)(1-r)^{2}+\alpha(1-r)^{3}  \tag{33}\\
\leq & 12(1-\alpha)(1-r)^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& 12(1-\alpha) r^{4}+(49 \alpha-48) r^{3}+8(9-8 \alpha) r^{2} \\
& \quad+3(11 \alpha-18) r+6(1-\alpha) \geq 0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by Lemma 6(i), $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq r_{1}$ where $r_{1}$ is the real root of (23) in ( 0,1 ). In particular, $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r_{1}$.
(ii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ then (26) gives $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n-1) / 2$. These coefficient bounds lead to the following inequality for the sum (28):

$$
\begin{align*}
S \leq & \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+(1+\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}-\frac{3 \alpha r}{1-r}\right] \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore it follows that $S \leq 1$ if $r$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+(1+\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}-\frac{3 \alpha r}{1-r} \leq 2(1-\alpha) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of identities (31) in the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+r}{(1-r)^{3}}+\frac{1+\alpha}{(1-r)^{2}}-\frac{3 \alpha}{1-r} \leq 4(1-\alpha) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1-\alpha)+(7 \alpha-12) r+(12-9 \alpha) r^{2}-4(1-\alpha) r^{3} \geq 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6(i) shows that $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq r_{2}$ where $r_{2}$ is the real root of $(24)$ in $(0,1)$. In particular, $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r_{2}$.
(iii) Using (26), it is easily seen that $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n-1) / n$. Using the coefficient bounds for $\left|a_{n}\right|$ and $\left|b_{n}\right|$ in (28), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}-2 \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} r^{n-1}+\frac{\alpha r}{1-r}\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $S \leq 1$ if $r$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}-2 \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} r^{n-1}+\frac{\alpha r}{1-r} \leq 1-\alpha \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (31) and the identity $-\log (1-r)=r+r^{2} / 2+r^{3} / 3+\cdots$, the last inequality reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1-r)^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{r} \log (1-r)+\frac{\alpha}{1-r} \leq 2(1-\alpha) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
2(1-\alpha) r^{3}+(5 \alpha-4) r^{2}+(1-3 \alpha) r \\
-2 \alpha(1-r)^{2} \log (1-r) \geq 0 \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

By applying Lemma 6(i), $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq r_{3}$ where $r_{3}$ is the real root of $(25)$ in $(0,1)$. In particular, $f$ is univalent and fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r_{3}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 8. By (26), it follows that $\left|b_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2$ for all functions $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{0}$. The bound $1 / 2$ is sharp for the function $f_{0}(z)=$ $z+\bar{z}^{2} / 2 \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$. Since $f_{0}$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, the coefficient inequality $\left|b_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2$ remains sharp in the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$. Clunie and Sheil-Small [14] were the first to observe the sharp inequality $\left|b_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$.

Remark 9. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9). In the proof of part (i) of Theorem 7, we noticed that if $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n-1)(2 n-1) / 6$. The bound for $\left|b_{n}\right|$ coincides with conjectured bound for $\left|b_{n}\right|$ when $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ proposed by Clunie and Sheil-Small [14].

The next theorem calculates the radius of full convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ for the class $\mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$ under certain choices of the coefficient bound on the analytic part.

Theorem 10. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{s p}^{0}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then we have the following.
(a) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ or, in particular, $h$ is univalent, then $f$ isfully convex of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<s_{1}$ where $s_{1}=s_{1}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(1-\alpha) r^{5}-10(1-\alpha) r^{4}+2(10-11 \alpha) r^{3}  \tag{43}\\
& \quad+3(7 \alpha-6) r^{2}+(15-8 \alpha) r-(1-\alpha)=0
\end{align*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
(b) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ or, in particular, $h$ is convex univalent, then $f$ is fully convex of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<s_{2}$ where $s_{2}=s_{2}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
2(1-\alpha) r^{4}-8(1-\alpha) r^{3}+2(6-5 \alpha) r^{2}  \tag{44}\\
-5(1-\alpha) r+(1-\alpha)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
(c) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ or, in particular, $\operatorname{Re} h^{\prime}(z)>0$, then $f$ is fully convex of order $\alpha$ in the disk $|z|<s_{3}$ where $s_{3}=s_{3}(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(1-\alpha) r^{3}-2(3-2 \alpha) r^{2}+(7-3 \alpha) r \\
& -(1-\alpha)=0 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
Proof. Following the method of the proof of Theorem 7, it suffices to show that the function $f_{r}$ defined by (27) belongs to $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$. Using the coefficient bounds $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ and $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq$ $(n-1)(2 n-1) / 6$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime}= & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{6(1-\alpha)}\left[2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{4} r^{n-1}+(2 \alpha+3) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}\right.  \tag{46}\\
& \left.+(1-9 \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 6(ii), we need to show that $S^{\prime} \leq 1$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{4} r^{n-1}+(2 \alpha+3) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}  \tag{47}\\
& \quad+(1-9 \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1} \leq 6(1-\alpha) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (31) and the identity $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{4} r^{n}=r(1+r)(1+10 r+$ $\left.r^{2}\right) /(1-r)^{5}$, the last inequality reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\alpha)+(8 \alpha-15) r+(18-21 \alpha) r^{2} \\
& \quad+(22 \alpha-20) r^{3}+10(1-\alpha) r^{4}-2(1-\alpha) r^{5} \geq 0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6(ii) shows that $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq s_{1}$ where $s_{1}$ is the real root of (43) in ( 0,1 ). In particular, $f$ is fully convex of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<s_{1}$. This proves (a). The proof of (b) and (c) follows on similar lines.

The sharpness of the radii constants for the class $\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{0}$ obtained in Theorems 7 and 10 is still unresolved. However, these constants can be shown to be sharp for certain subclasses of $\mathscr{H}^{0}$ as seen by the following theorem.

Theorem 11. Let $A_{n}, B_{n} \geq 0(n=2,3, \ldots)$ and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family of harmonic functions $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}^{0}$ where $h$ and $g$, given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$, satisfy $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq A_{n}$ and $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq$ $B_{n}$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$. Furthermore, if $r_{\mathcal{S}_{H}}(\mathscr{F}), r_{\mathscr{F} \delta_{H}^{*}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})$, and $r_{\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})$ denote, respectively, the radii of univalence, full starlikeness of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, and full convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in $\mathscr{F}$, then we have the following.
(1) If $A_{n}=n$ and $B_{n}=(n-1)(2 n-1) / 6$, then $r_{\mathcal{S}_{H}}(\mathscr{F})=r_{1}(0) \simeq 0.132529, r_{\mathscr{F} S_{H}^{*}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=r_{1}(\alpha)$, and $r_{\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=s_{1}(\alpha)$ where $r_{1}=r_{1}(\alpha)$ and $s_{1}=s_{1}(\alpha)$ are the real roots of (23) and (43), respectively, in ( 0,1 ).
(2) If $A_{n}=1$ and $B_{n}=(n-1) / 2$, then $r_{\mathcal{S}_{H}}(\mathscr{F})=r_{2}(0)=$ $1-1 / 2^{1 / 3} \simeq 0.206299, r_{\mathscr{F} S_{H}^{*}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=r_{2}(\alpha)$, and $r_{\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=s_{2}(\alpha)$ where $r_{2}=r_{2}(\alpha)$ and $s_{2}=s_{2}(\alpha)$ are the real roots of (24) and (44), respectively, in $(0,1)$.
(3) If $A_{n}=1 / n$ and $B_{n}=(n-1) / n$, then $r_{\mathcal{S}_{H}}(\mathscr{F})=$ $r_{3}(0)=1-1 / \sqrt{2} \simeq 0.292893, r_{\mathscr{F} S_{H}^{*}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=r_{3}(\alpha)$, and $r_{\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F})=s_{3}(\alpha)$ where $r_{3}=r_{3}(\alpha)$ and $s_{3}=s_{3}(\alpha)$ are the real roots of (25) and (45), respectively, in ( 0,1 ).

Proof. Note that the roots of $(23)$ in $(0,1)$ are decreasing as functions of $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Consequently, $r_{1}(\alpha) \leq r_{1}(0)$. A similar remark holds for (24), (25), and (43)-(45). This observation together with Theorems 7 and 10 gives $r_{\mathcal{S}_{H}}(\mathscr{F}) \geq$ $r_{i}(0), r_{\mathscr{F} S_{H}^{*}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F}) \geq r_{i}(\alpha)$, and $r_{\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)}(\mathscr{F}) \geq s_{i}(\alpha)$ for $i=$ $1,2,3$ in the respective three cases specified in the theorem. Therefore it is enough to show that these radii constants are best possible.
(1) For sharpness of the numbers $r_{1}(\alpha)$, let $f_{S}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{S}(z) & =2 z-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{\overline{3 z^{2}+z^{3}}}{6(1-z)^{3}}  \tag{49}\\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)(2 n-1) z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

As $f_{S}$ has real coefficients, for $r \in(0,1)$, the Jacobian of $f_{S}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{f_{s}}(r) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-7 r+14 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}\right)\left(1-9 r+12 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}\right)}{(1-r)^{8}} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $J_{f_{S}}\left(r_{1}(0)\right)=0$ the function $f_{S}$ is not univalent in $|z|<r$ if $r>r_{1}(0)$. Also, since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg f_{S}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=r_{1}} \\
& \quad=\frac{6\left(1-9 r_{1}+12 r_{1}^{2}-8 r_{1}^{3}+2 r_{1}^{4}\right)}{6-33 r_{1}+64 r_{1}^{2}-49 r_{1}^{3}+12 r_{1}^{4}}=\alpha \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that $f_{S}$ is not fully starlike of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r$ if $r>r_{1}$, where $r_{1}=r_{1}(\alpha)$ is the real root of $(23)$ in $(0,1)$.

For sharpness of the numbers $s_{1}(\alpha)$, consider the function

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{C}(z) & =2 z-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}-\overline{\frac{3 z^{2}+z^{3}}{6(1-z)^{3}}}  \tag{52}\\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}-\overline{\frac{1}{6}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)(2 n-1) z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{C}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=s_{1}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1-15 s_{1}+18 s_{1}^{2}-20 s_{1}^{3}+10 s_{1}^{4}-2 s_{1}^{5}}{\left(1-s_{1}\right)\left(1-7 s_{1}+14 s_{1}^{2}-8 s_{1}^{3}+2 s_{1}^{4}\right)}=\alpha . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $f_{C}$ is not fully convex of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r$ if $r>s_{1}$, where $s_{1}=s_{1}(\alpha)$ is the real root of (43) in ( 0,1 ).
(2) The Jacobian of the function $f_{S}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{S}(z) & =2 z-\frac{z}{1-z}+\overline{\frac{z^{2}}{2(1-z)^{2}}}  \tag{54}\\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}+\overline{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) z^{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

vanishes at $z=r_{2}(0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg f_{S}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=r_{2}}=\frac{2\left(1-6 r_{2}+6 r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{2}^{3}\right)}{2-7 r_{2}+9 r_{2}^{2}-4 r_{2}^{3}}=\alpha . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two observations imply that the numbers $r_{2}(\alpha)$ are sharp, where $r_{2}=r_{2}(\alpha)$ is the real root of (24) in ( 0,1 ). For sharpness of the constants $s_{2}(\alpha)$, observe that the function

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{C}(z) & =2 z-\frac{z}{1-z}-\overline{\frac{z^{2}}{2(1-z)^{2}}}  \tag{56}\\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}-\overline{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) z^{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{C}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=s_{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1-10 s_{2}+12 s_{2}^{2}-8 s_{2}^{3}+2 s_{2}^{4}}{1-5 s_{2}+10 s_{2}^{2}-8 s_{2}^{3}+2 s_{2}^{4}}=\alpha \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{2}=s_{2}(\alpha)$ is the real root of $(44)$ in $(0,1)$.
(3) The function $f_{S}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{S}(z) & =2 z+\log (1-z)+\overline{\frac{z}{1-z}}+\log (1-z) \\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n} z^{n}} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies $J_{f_{s}}\left(r_{3}(0)\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg f_{S}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=r_{3}} \\
& \quad=\frac{r_{3}\left(1-4 r_{3}+2 r_{3}^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r_{3}\right)\left[r_{3}\left(3-2 r_{3}\right)+2\left(1-r_{3}\right) \log \left(1-r_{3}\right)\right]}=\alpha, \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{3}=r_{3}(\alpha)$ is the real root of $(25)$ in $(0,1)$. If $s_{3}=s_{3}(\alpha)$ is the real root of $(45)$ in $(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{C}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=s_{3}}=\frac{1-7 s_{3}+6 s_{3}^{2}-2 s_{3}^{3}}{1-3 s_{3}+4 s_{3}^{2}-2 s_{3}^{3}}=\alpha, \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{C}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{C}(z) & =2 z+\log (1-z)-\overline{\left(\frac{z}{1-z}+\log (1-z)\right)} \\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{n}-\overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n} z^{n}} . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we will discuss a particular case under which the results of Theorems 7 and 10 can be further improved.

Theorem 12. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{s p}^{0}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$. Further, suppose that the dilatation $w(z)=g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)=z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we have the following.
(i) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ or, in particular, $h$ is univalent, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike in the disk $|z|<R_{1}$ where $R_{1} \simeq 0.135918$ is the real root of the equation $2 r^{3}-$ $5 r^{2}+8 r-1=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$. Moreover, $f$ is fully convex in $|z|<S_{1}$ where $S_{1} \simeq 0.0739351$ in the real root of the equation $2 r^{4}-8 r^{3}+7 r^{2}-14 r+1=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$.
(ii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ or, in particular, $h$ is convex univalent, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike in the disk $|z|<R_{2}$ where $R_{2}=(5-\sqrt{17}) / 4 \simeq 0.219224$. Also, $f$ is fully convex in $|z|<S_{2}$ where $S_{2} \simeq 0.120385$ in the real root of the equation $2 r^{3}-6 r^{2}+9 r-1=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$.
(iii) If $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$ or, in particular, $\operatorname{Re} h^{\prime}(z)>0$, then $f$ is univalent and fully starlike in the disk $|z|<R_{3}$ where $R_{3}=1 / 3 \simeq 0.333333$. And $f$ is fully convex in $|z|<S_{3}$ where $S_{3}=(3-\sqrt{6}) / 3 \simeq 0.183503$.

Proof. Setting $w_{1}=1$ and $w_{n}=0$ for $n \neq 1$ in (18), we obtain $n b_{n}=(n-1) a_{n-1}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\left|a_{n-1}\right| \quad\left(n \geq 2 ; a_{1}=1\right) . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{r}$ be defined by (27). For the proof of (i), note that since $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$, it is easily seen that $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq(n-1)^{2} / n$ using (62). Using these coefficient bounds, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} r^{n-1}  \tag{63}\\
& =\frac{(1+r)^{2}}{(1-r)^{3}}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

using the identities (31). Thus $S \leq 1$ if $r$ satisfy the inequality $2(1-r)^{3} \geq(1+r)^{2}$ or $1-8 r+5 r^{2}-2 r^{3} \geq 0$. By Lemma 6(i), it follows that $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ for $r \leq R_{1}$ where $R_{1}$ is the real root of $2 r^{3}-5 r^{2}+8 r-1=0$ in $(0,1)$. In particular, $f$ is univalent and fully starlike in $|z|<R_{1}$. For full convexity, observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)^{2} r^{n-1}  \tag{64}\\
& =\frac{5 r^{2}+6 r+1}{(1-r)^{4}}-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

The sum $S^{\prime} \leq 1$ if $r$ satisfy the inequality $2 r^{4}-8 r^{3}+7 r^{2}-14 r+$ $1 \geq 0$. Thus Lemma 6(ii) shows that $f_{r} \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}$ for $r \leq S_{1}$ where $S_{1}$ is the real root of $2 r^{4}-8 r^{3}+7 r^{2}-14 r+1=0$ in $(0,1)$. In particular, $f$ is fully convex in $|z|<S_{1}$. This proves (i). The other two parts of the theorem are similar and hence their proofs are omitted.

Remark 13. Observe that $r_{i}(0)<R_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and $s_{i}(0)<$ $S_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3)$. Here $r_{i}(0), s_{i}(0), R_{i}$, and $S_{i}$ are as defined in Theorems 7, 10, and 12 .

Remark 14. If $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {sp }}^{0}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and the dilatation $w(z)=g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)$ is given by $w(z)=z^{m}(m \geq 1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n-m}{n}\left|a_{n-m}\right| \quad\left(n \geq m+1 ; a_{1}=1\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $w_{m}=1$ and $w_{n}=0$ for $n \neq m$ in (18). Radius constants may be obtained in this case by carrying out a similar calculation as in the proof of Theorem 12.

## 3. Sufficient Coefficient Estimates for Full Starlikeness and Convexity

In this section, we determine sufficient coefficient inequalities for functions to be in the classes $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$. As an application, these results are applied to hypergeometric functions in Section 4.

Theorem 15. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9). Suppose that $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Then one has the following.
(a) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \lambda \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is fully starlike of order $2(1-\lambda) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$. (b) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \lambda \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is fully starlike of order $2\left(2-\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) /\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|+\right.$ $\lambda)$. Moreover, $f$ is fully convex of order $2(1-\lambda) /(2+$ $\left.\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$.
The results are sharp.
Proof. If we set $\alpha_{0}=2(1-\lambda) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$ then $\alpha_{0} \in[0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} & \frac{n-\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \\
& +\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right|\right)  \tag{68}\\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha_{0}}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right)+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha_{0}}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|}{2}+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \\
= & \frac{2 \lambda+\alpha_{0}\left(\lambda+\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{2\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right)}=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 6(i), it follows that $f$ is fully starlike of order 2(1$\lambda) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$. The harmonic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z)=z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}+\frac{\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|}{2} \bar{z}^{2}, \quad\left|b_{1}\right|<\lambda, \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the coefficient inequality (66). Further, for $z=r e^{i \theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg f_{1}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2\left(z-\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}-\left(\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \bar{z}^{2}\right)}{2\left(z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}\right)+\left(\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \bar{z}^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{2\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|-\left(\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)|z|\right)}{2\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right)+\left(\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)|z|} \\
& >\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

which shows that the bound for the order of full starlikeness is sharp. This proves (a).

For the proof of (b), observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right)+\left|b_{1}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)+\left|b_{1}\right|  \tag{71}\\
& =\frac{\lambda+\left|b_{1}\right|}{2}:=\mu_{0}(\text { say }),
\end{align*}
$$

using (67). Since $\mu_{0} \in(0,1), f$ is fully starlike of order $2(1-$ $\left.\mu_{0}\right) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\mu_{0}\right)=2\left(2-\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) /\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$ by part (a) of the theorem. For the order of full convexity of $f$, note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n\left(n-\alpha_{0}\right)}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\left(n+\alpha_{0}\right)}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n\left(n+\alpha_{0}\right)}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\left(n+\alpha_{0}\right)}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|\right)  \tag{72}\\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha_{0}}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda+\left|b_{1}\right|}{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{2 \lambda+\alpha_{0}\left(\lambda+\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{2\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right)}=1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}$ is as defined in the proof of part (a) of the theorem. By Lemma 6(ii), $f$ is fully convex of order 2(1- $\lambda) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda\right)$. In this case, the harmonic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(z)=z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}+\frac{\lambda-\left|b_{1}\right|}{4} \bar{z}^{2}, \quad\left|b_{1}\right|<\lambda, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that the result is best possible.
If $b_{1}=0$, then Theorem 15 reduces to [26, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7]. Also, Theorem 15 gives the following two corollaries.

Corollary 16. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) and $0 \leq \alpha<2 /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|\right)$. Then we have the following.
(i) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2-\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha}{2+\alpha} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$.
(ii) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2-\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha}{2+\alpha} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$.
All these results are sharp.

Proof. First, we will prove (i). Setting $\lambda_{0}=\left(2-\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha\right) /(2+$ $\alpha$ ) we see that $\lambda_{0} \in(0,1]$ and the coefficient inequality (66) is satisfied for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$. Hence by Theorem 15(a), $f$ is fully starlike of order $2\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda_{0}\right)=\alpha$. This proves (i). For part (ii), since inequality (67) is satisfied for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ it follows that $f$ is fully convex of order $2\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) /\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|+\lambda_{0}\right)=\alpha$ by Theorem 15(b). The functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(z)=z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}+\frac{\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha}{2+\alpha} \bar{z}^{2} \\
& f_{2}(z)=z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}+\frac{\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha}{2(2+\alpha)} \bar{z}^{2} \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

show that the upper bound $\left(2-\left(2+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha\right) /(2+\alpha)$ is best possible in (i) and (ii), respectively.

Corollary 17. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{5+3\left|b_{1}\right|} \leq \alpha<\frac{2\left(2-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{4+3\left|b_{1}\right|} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2\left(2-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\alpha\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{2+\alpha} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=z+\left|b_{1}\right| \bar{z}+\frac{\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \alpha}{2+\alpha} \bar{z}^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that the bound $\left(2\left(2-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\alpha\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|\right)\right) /(2+\alpha)$ is best possible.

Proof. If we set $v_{0}=\left(2\left(2-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)-\alpha\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|\right)\right) /(2+\alpha)$, then $v_{0} \in$ $(0,1]$ and the coefficient inequality (67) is satisfied for $\lambda=v_{0}$ using the hypothesis. By Theorem 15(b), $f$ is fully starlike of order $2\left(2-v_{0}-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) /\left(4+3\left|b_{1}\right|+v_{0}\right)=\alpha$ as desired.

If $b_{1}=0$, then Corollaries 16 and 17 reduce to the following theorem.

Theorem 18. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathscr{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (9) with $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
(1) If $\alpha \in[0,1)$, then the sharp implications hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2+\alpha} \Longrightarrow f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)  \tag{80}\\
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2+\alpha} \Longrightarrow f \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

(2) If $\alpha \in[2 / 5,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{2+\alpha} \Longrightarrow f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq 1 \Longrightarrow f \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Interplay between <br> Hypergeometric Functions and Full Starlikeness and Convexity

In recent years, there has been a growth of interest in the interplay between hypergeometric functions and harmonic mappings in $\mathbb{D}$; see [27-31]. Let $F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; z)$ be the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_{n}(\gamma)_{n}}{(\delta)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex numbers with $\delta \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, and $(\theta)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol: $(\theta)_{0}=1$ and $(\theta)_{n}=$ $\theta(\theta+1) \cdots(\theta+n-1)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Since the hypergeometric series in (83) converges absolutely in $\mathbb{D}$, it follows that $F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; z)$ defines an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and plays an important role in the theory of univalent functions.

The first author and Silverman [27] initiated the study of harmonic functions $\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2}$ where $\phi_{1}(z) \equiv \phi_{1}\left(\beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1} ; z\right)$ and $\phi_{2}(z) \equiv \phi_{2}\left(\beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2} ; z\right)$ are the hypergeometric functions defined by
$\phi_{1}(z):=z F\left(\beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1} ; z\right), \quad \phi_{2}(z):=F\left(\beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2} ; z\right)-1$.

Making use of Corollaries 16 and 17, we determine the sufficient conditions in terms of hypergeometric inequalities for the function $\Phi=\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2}$ to be in the classes $\mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$. However, we first need the well-known Gauss summation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\delta-\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta-\beta) \Gamma(\delta-\gamma)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\delta-\beta-\gamma)>0 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following result by the first author [29].
Lemma 19. If $\beta, \gamma, \delta>0$, then
(i) $F(\beta+k, \gamma+k, \delta+k ; 1)=\left((\delta)_{k} /(\delta-\beta-\gamma-k)_{k}\right) F(\beta$, $\gamma, \delta ; 1)$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, if $\delta>\beta+\gamma+k$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left((\beta)_{n-1}(\gamma)_{n-1} /(\delta)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}\right)=(\beta \gamma /(\gamma-\beta-$ $\gamma-1)) F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; 1)$ if $\delta>\beta+\gamma+1$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left((\beta)_{n-1}(\gamma)_{n-1} /(\delta)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}\right)=\left((\beta)_{2}(\gamma)_{2} /\right.$ $\left.(\gamma-\beta-\gamma-1)_{2}+\beta \gamma /(\gamma-\beta-\gamma-1)\right) F(\beta, \gamma, \delta ; 1)$ if $\delta>\beta+\gamma+2$.

Theorem 20. Let $\beta_{j}, \gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\delta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\delta_{j}>\left|\beta_{j}\right|+$ $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+1$ for $j=1,2$. Set $\eta=\beta_{2} \gamma_{2} / \delta_{2}$ and let $0 \leq \alpha<2 /(2+|\eta|)$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\left|\beta_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}\right|}{\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-1}+1\right) F\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|, \delta_{1} ; 1\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\left|\beta_{2}\right|\left|\gamma_{2}\right|}{\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-1} F\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|, \delta_{2} ; 1\right)  \tag{86}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{4-(1+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\Phi=\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$, where $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are given by (84).

Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact $\left|(\theta)_{n}\right| \leq(|\theta|)_{n}$, Gauss summation formula given by (85), and Lemma 19, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n & \left.n \frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n-1} \mid}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}\left|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\right| \frac{\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}} \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{n-1}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{n}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{n}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{n}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}  \tag{88}\\
= & \left(\frac{\left|\beta_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}\right|}{\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-1}+1\right) F\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|, \delta_{1} ; 1\right) \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{2}\right|\left|\gamma_{2}\right|}{\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-1} F\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|, \delta_{2} ; 1\right)-1 \\
\leq & \frac{4-(1+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha}-1=\frac{2-(2+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha} .
\end{array}
$$

By Corollary 16(i), $\Phi \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Theorem 21. Let $\beta_{j}, \gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\delta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\delta_{j}>\left|\beta_{j}\right|+$ $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+2$ for $j=1,2$. Set $\eta=\beta_{2} \gamma_{2} / \delta_{2}$. Then one has the following.
(i) If $0 \leq \alpha<2 /(2+|\eta|)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{3\left|\beta_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}\right|}{\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-1}+1\right) \\
& \quad \times F\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|, \delta_{1} ; 1\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{2}\right|\left|\gamma_{2}\right|}{\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-1}\right) \\
& \quad \times F\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|, \delta_{2} ; 1\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4-(1+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { then } \Phi=\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2} \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha) .
$$

(ii) If $2(1-|\eta|) /(5+3|\eta|) \leq \alpha<2(2-|\eta|) /(4+3|\eta|)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{3\left|\beta_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}\right|}{\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-1}+1\right) \\
& \quad \times F\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|, \delta_{1} ; 1\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{2}\right|\left|\gamma_{2}\right|}{\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times F\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|, \delta_{2} ; 1\right) \\
\leq & \frac{3(2-\alpha)-|\eta|(2+3 \alpha)}{2+\alpha} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\Phi=\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2} \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$, where $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are given by (84).

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\frac{\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{n-1}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{n}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)^{2} \frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} \frac{\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{n}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{1}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{3\left|\beta_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}\right|}{\delta_{1}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|-1}+1\right) \\
& \quad \times F\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|,\left|\gamma_{1}\right|, \delta_{1} ; 1\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{\left.\left|\beta_{2}\right|\right)_{2}\left(\left|\gamma_{2}\right|\right)_{2}}{\left(\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-2\right)_{2}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{2}\right|}{\delta_{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|-1}\right) \\
& \quad \times F\left(\left|\beta_{2}\right|,\left|\gamma_{2}\right|, \delta_{2} ; 1\right)-1 . \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the hypothesis of part (i), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} & \left|\frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\frac{\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{4-(1+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha}-1  \tag{92}\\
\quad & =\frac{2-(2+|\eta|) \alpha}{2+\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

By Corollary 16(ii), it follows that $\Phi=\phi_{1}+\bar{\phi}_{2} \in \mathscr{F} \mathscr{K}_{H}(\alpha)$. Hypothesis of part (ii) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\frac{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{n-1}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|\frac{\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\delta_{2}\right)_{n}(1)_{n}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{3(2-\alpha)-|\eta|(2+3 \alpha)}{2+\alpha}-1  \tag{93}\\
& \quad=\frac{2(2-|\eta|)-\alpha(4+3|\eta|)}{2+\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\Phi \in \mathscr{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ by Corollary 17 .
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