

# LINEAR OPERATORS FOR WHICH $T^*T$ AND $TT^*$ COMMUTE (II)

STEPHEN L. CAMPBELL

Let  $(BN)$  denote the class of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space such that  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute. Let  $(BN)^+$  be those  $T \in (BN)$  which are hyponormal. Embry has observed that if  $T \in (BN)$ , then  $0 \in W(T)$  or  $T$  is normal. This is used to show that if  $T \in (BN)$ , then  $(T + \lambda I) \notin (BN)$  unless  $T$  is normal. It is also shown that if  $T \in (BN)^+$ , then  $T^n$  is hyponormal for  $n \geq 1$ . An example of a  $T \in (BN)^+$  such that  $T^2 \notin (BN)$  is given. Paranormality of operators in  $(BN)$  is shown to be equivalent to hyponormality. The relationship between  $T$  being in  $(BN)$  and  $T$  being centered is discussed. Finally, all  $3 \times 3$  matrices in  $(BN)$  are characterized.

This paper is a continuation of [3]. In that paper we studied bounded linear operators  $T$  acting on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute. Such operators are called bi-normal and the class of all such operators is denoted  $(BN)$ . This paper will explore some of the properties of hyponormal bi-normal operators. In addition, we will show that no translate of a non-normal bi-normal operator is bi-normal and characterize all  $2 \times 2$  and  $3 \times 3$  bi-normal matrices.

It has been pointed out to the author that the term bi-normal has been used earlier by Brown [2]. However, his usage does not appear to be in the current literature so we will continue to use bi-normal for operators in  $(BN)$ .

1. All shifts, weighted and unweighted, bilateral and unilateral, are in  $(BN)$ . Further, operators in  $(BN)$ , if completely nonnormal, have a tendency to be "shift-like". Our first result, due to Embry, is an example of this.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $T \in (BN)$ , then either  $T$  is normal or zero is in the interior of the numerical range of  $T$ ,  $W(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Embry has shown that if  $T \in (BN)$  and  $T$  is not normal, then  $0 \in W(T)$  [7, Theorem 1]. She has also shown that if  $T \in (BN)$  and  $T + T^* \geq 0$ , then  $T$  is normal [5, Theorem 2]. Thus if 0 were on the boundary of  $W(T)$ , by a suitable choice of  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ , we could consider  $T_1 = \alpha T$  where  $T_1 \in (BN)$  and  $T_1 + T_1^* \geq 0$ . Then  $T$  would be normal.