

HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF PRO-SPACES

BY

JERROLD W. GROSSMAN

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the investigation [4], [5] of the homotopy theory of pro-spaces indexed over the positive integers. It is known that the homotopy type of a “nice” pro-space $\{X_s\}$ is dependent upon (among other things) its homotopy pro-groups $\{\pi_n X_s\}$. We show here that in fact, homotopy groups $\pi_n\{X_s\}$ —defined as the set of homotopy classes of maps from a kind of pro- n -sphere $\{S_s^n\}$ into $\{X_s\}$ —capture the same information as $\{\pi_n X_s\}$. More generally we show that pro-groups indexed over the positive integers contain no more information than groups, by exhibiting a functor P from such pro-groups to groups, such that a map f between pro-groups is an isomorphism if and only if Pf is an isomorphism.

In Section 2 we review pro-spaces and define the homotopy groups. The more general algebraic situation is discussed in Section 3. In Section 4 we show that $\pi_n\{X_s\} \cong P\{\pi_n X_s\}$ and comment on the connection with the proper homotopy groups of a complex.

2. Pro-spaces

For more details see [4]. Let \mathcal{S}_0 be the category of pointed, connected spaces, i.e., pointed, connected simplicial sets; $*$ is the basepoint or a one-point space. Then $\text{tow-}\mathcal{S}_0$ consists of towers in \mathcal{S}_0 ,

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_{s+1} \rightarrow X_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = *,$$

denoted $\{X_s\}$, and informally called a pro-space, with maps defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{tow-}\mathcal{S}_0}(\{X_s\}, \{Y_s\}) = \lim_{\vec{j}} \lim_{\vec{i}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(X_i, Y_j).$$

Similar definitions apply to $\text{tow-}\mathcal{G}$ and $\text{tow-}\mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{G} is the category of groups, and \mathcal{A} is the category of abelian groups.

For $n \geq 1$, the n th homotopy pro-group of $\{X_s\}$ is the pro-group $\{\pi_n X_s\}$. We say that two maps, f and g , from $\{X_s\}$ to $\{Y_s\}$ are homotopic if there is a map $h: \{X_s \times I\} \rightarrow \{Y_s\}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{X_s \vee X_s\} & \xrightarrow{f \vee g} & \{Y_s\} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow h \\ \{X_s\} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{X_s \times I\} \end{array}$$

Received March 24, 1975.